

McKechnie Aluminium Solutions Ltd
Monitoring Programme
Annual Report
2017-2018

Technical Report 2018-20

ISSN: 1178-1467 (Online)
Document: 2083400 (Word)
Document: 2148397 (Pdf)

Taranaki Regional Council
Private Bag 713
STRATFORD
February 2019

Executive summary

McKechnie Aluminium Solutions Ltd (MASL) operates an aluminium foundry and extrusion plant located at Bell Block, in the Mangaone and Mangati catchments. Processing of copper and brass (copper/zinc) at the plant ceased in June 2002 and January 2003, respectively. This report for the period July 2017 to June 2018 describes the monitoring programme implemented by the Taranaki Regional Council (the Council) to assess MASL's environmental and consent compliance performance during the period under review. The report details the results of the monitoring undertaken and assesses the environmental effects of MASL's activities.

MASL holds two resource consents that are covered within this particular report: consent 1857 to discharge stormwater into an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream, and consent 4034 to discharge emissions into the air, which together include a total of 22 conditions setting out the requirements that they must satisfy.

During the monitoring period, McKechnie Aluminium Solutions Ltd demonstrated an overall high level of environmental performance.

The Council's monitoring programme for the year under review included four inspections, 12 water samples collected for physicochemical analysis, two biomonitoring surveys of receiving waters, and one deposition gauge survey in the vicinity of the foundry site.

As in the previous monitoring period, sampling showed slight improvements in water quality in relation to dissolved copper and dissolved zinc concentrations. This supports the trend from previous sampling of an improvement in water quality within the receiving environment. Biomonitoring results have also continued to indicate a slight improvement in water quality and ecological conditions in the stream over the last few years. MCI and SQMCI₅ scores indicated that treated stormwater discharged from the site was not having a detrimental effect on the macroinvertebrate communities of the unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream.

The results from deposition gauging indicated that there was an environmentally acceptable level of particulate deposition in the vicinity of the foundry site. No visible emissions or odour issues were noted during inspections and no complaints were received during the period under review.

During the year, MASL demonstrated a high level of both environmental performance and administrative compliance with the resource consents.

For reference, in the 2017-2018 year, consent holders were found to achieve a high level of environmental performance and compliance for 76% of the consents monitored through the Taranaki tailored monitoring programmes, while for another 20% of the consents, a good level of environmental performance and compliance was achieved.

In terms of overall environmental and compliance performance by the consent holder over the last several years, this report shows that the consent holder's performance remains at a high level.

This report includes recommendations for the 2018-2019 year.

Table of contents

	Page	
1	Introduction	1
1.1	Compliance monitoring programme reports and the Resource Management Act 1991	1
1.1.1	Introduction	1
1.1.2	Structure of this report	1
1.1.3	The Resource Management Act 1991 and monitoring	2
1.1.4	Evaluation of environmental and administrative performance	2
1.2	Process description	4
1.2.1	Discharges to stormwater	4
1.2.2	Discharges to air	5
1.3	Resource consents	6
1.3.1	Water discharge permit	6
1.3.2	Air discharge permit	7
1.4	Monitoring programme	8
1.4.1	Introduction	8
1.4.2	Programme liaison and management	8
1.4.3	Site inspections	8
1.4.4	Chemical sampling	8
1.4.5	Biomonitoring surveys	9
2	Results	10
2.1	Water	10
2.1.1	Inspections	10
2.1.2	Results of physicochemical discharge and receiving water monitoring	10
2.1.3	Results of biological monitoring in receiving environment	13
2.2	Air	13
2.2.1	Inspections	13
2.2.2	Deposition dust gauging	13
2.3	Investigations, interventions, and incidents	16
3	Discussion	17
3.1	Discussion of site performance	17
3.2	Environmental effects of exercise of consents	17
3.2.1	Environmental effects of exercise of water discharge permit	17
3.2.2	Environmental effects of exercise of air discharge permit	17

3.3	Evaluation of performance	18
3.4	Recommendations from the 2016-2017 Annual Report	20
3.5	Alterations to monitoring programmes for 2018-2019	20
4	Recommendations	22
	Glossary of common terms and abbreviations	23
	Bibliography and references	25
	Appendix I Resource consents held by McKechnie Aluminium Solutions Ltd	1
	Appendix II Biomonitoring reports	1

List of tables

Table 1	Summary of resource consents held by MASL	6
Table 2	Results of comprehensive discharge and receiving environment monitoring	12
Table 3	Foundry deposition gauge results for the February 2018 survey	15
Table 4	Summary of performance for consent 1857-6	18
Table 5	Summary of performance for consent 4034-3	18
Table 6	Evaluation of environmental performance over time	19

List of figures

Figure 1	MASL foundry site, showing the boundary between Mangaone and Mangati Stream catchments and water monitoring sites	4
Figure 2	Location of the MASL plant in Bell Block and related water quality monitoring sites	10
Figure 3	Locations of dust deposition monitoring sites in relation to the MASL site	14

List of photos

Photo 1	MASL baghouse	6
---------	---------------	---

1 Introduction

1.1 Compliance monitoring programme reports and the Resource Management Act 1991

1.1.1 Introduction

This report is for the period July 2017 to June 2018 by the Taranaki Regional Council (the Council) on the monitoring programme associated with resource consents held by McKechnie Aluminium Solutions Ltd (MASL). MASL operates an aluminium foundry and extrusion process situated at Paraita Road, in the Mangaone and Mangati catchments. The location of the site is shown in Figures 1 and 2.

Copper and brass foundries and extrusion processes had previously been operated at the site. Copper processing ceased in June 2002 and brass processing ceased in January 2003. MASL also previously operated a separate powdercoating facility on Connett Road in the Mangati catchment. This operation was shifted to the main Paraita Road site during the 2008-2009 year and is now monitored under the existing consents for this site.

The report includes the results and findings of the monitoring programme implemented by the Council in respect of the consents held by MASL that relate to abstractions and discharges of water within the Mangaone¹ catchment, and the air discharge permit held by MASL to cover emissions to air from the site.

One of the intents of the *Resource Management Act 1991* (RMA) is that environmental management should be integrated across all media, so that a consent holder's use of water, air, and land should be considered from a single comprehensive environmental perspective. Accordingly, the Council generally implements integrated environmental monitoring programmes and reports the results of the programmes jointly. This report discusses the environmental effects of MASL's use of water, land and air, and is the 25th combined annual report by the Council for MASL.

1.1.2 Structure of this report

Section 1 of this report is a background section. It sets out general information about:

- consent compliance monitoring under the RMA and the Council's obligations;
- the Council's approach to monitoring sites through annual programmes;
- the resource consents held by the MASL in the Mangaone and Mangati catchments;
- the nature of the monitoring programme in place for the period under review; and
- a description of the activities and operations conducted at MASL's site.

Section 2 presents the results of monitoring during the period under review, including scientific and technical data.

Section 3 discusses the results, their interpretations, and their significance for the environment.

Section 4 presents recommendations to be implemented in the 2018-2019 monitoring year.

¹ Two stormwater discharge permits are held for the MASL site, consents 1857 and 3139. Consent 3139 (for the discharge of cooling water and stormwater from the MASL site to the Mangati Stream) monitoring is reported separately by the Council in a joint report covering discharges within the Mangati catchment.

A glossary of common abbreviations and scientific terms, and a bibliography, are presented at the end of the report.

1.1.3 The Resource Management Act 1991 and monitoring

The RMA primarily addresses environmental 'effects' which are defined as positive or adverse, temporary or permanent, past, present or future, or cumulative. Effects may arise in relation to:

- a. the neighbourhood or the wider community around an activity, and may include cultural and social-economic effects;
- b. physical effects on the locality, including landscape, amenity and visual effects;
- c. ecosystems, including effects on plants, animals, or habitats, whether aquatic or terrestrial;
- d. natural and physical resources having special significance (for example recreational, cultural, or aesthetic); and
- e. risks to the neighbourhood or environment.

In drafting and reviewing conditions on discharge permits, and in implementing monitoring programmes, the Council is recognising the comprehensive meaning of 'effects' inasmuch as is appropriate for each activity. Monitoring programmes are not only based on existing permit conditions, but also on the obligations of the RMA to assess the effects of the exercise of consents. In accordance with Section 35 of the RMA, the Council undertakes compliance monitoring for consents and rules in regional plans, and maintains an overview of the performance of resource users and consent holders. Compliance monitoring, including both activity and impact monitoring, enables the Council to continually re-evaluate its approach and that of consent holders to resource management and, ultimately, through the refinement of methods and considered responsible resource utilisation, to move closer to achieving sustainable development of the region's resources.

1.1.4 Evaluation of environmental and administrative performance

Besides discussing the various details of the performance and extent of compliance by MASL, this report also assigns them a rating for their environmental and administrative performance during the period under review.

Environmental performance is concerned with actual or likely effects on the receiving environment from the activities during the monitoring year. Administrative performance is concerned with MASL's approach to demonstrating consent compliance in site operations and management including the timely provision of information to Council (such as contingency plans and water take data) in accordance with consent conditions.

Events that were beyond the control of the consent holder and unforeseeable (that is a defence under the provisions of the RMA can be established) may be excluded with regard to the performance rating applied. For example loss of data due to a flood destroying deployed field equipment.

The categories used by the Council for this monitoring period, and their interpretation, are as follows:

Environmental Performance

High: No or inconsequential (short-term duration, less than minor in severity) breaches of consent or regional plan parameters resulting from the activity; no adverse effects of significance noted or likely in the receiving environment. The Council did not record any verified unauthorised incidents involving significant environmental impacts and was not obliged to issue any abatement notices or infringement notices in relation to such impacts.

Good: Likely or actual adverse effects of activities on the receiving environment were negligible or minor at most. There were some such issues noted during monitoring, from self reports, or in response to unauthorised incident reports, but these items were not critical, and follow-up inspections showed they have been dealt with. These minor issues were resolved positively, co-operatively, and quickly. The Council was not obliged to issue any abatement notices or infringement notices in relation to the minor non-compliant effects; however abatement notices may have been issued to mitigate an identified potential for an environmental effect to occur.

For example:

- High suspended solid values recorded in discharge samples, however the discharge was to land or to receiving waters that were in high flow at the time;
- Strong odour beyond boundary but no residential properties or other recipient nearby.

Improvement required: Likely or actual adverse effects of activities on the receiving environment were more than minor, but not substantial. There were some issues noted during monitoring, from self reports, or in response to unauthorised incident reports. Cumulative adverse effects of a persistent minor non-compliant activity could elevate a minor issue to this level. Abatement notices and infringement notices may have been issued in respect of effects.

Poor: Likely or actual adverse effects of activities on the receiving environment were significant. There were some items noted during monitoring, from self reports, or in response to unauthorised incident reports. Cumulative adverse effects of a persistent moderate non-compliant activity could elevate an 'improvement required' issue to this level. Typically there were grounds for either a prosecution or an infringement notice in respect of effects.

Administrative performance

High: The administrative requirements of the resource consents were met, or any failure to do this had trivial consequences and were addressed promptly and co-operatively.

Good: Perhaps some administrative requirements of the resource consents were not met at a particular time, however this was addressed without repeated interventions from the Council staff. Alternatively adequate reason was provided for matters such as the no or late provision of information, interpretation of 'best practical option' for avoiding potential effects, etc.

Improvement required: Repeated interventions to meet the administrative requirements of the resource consents were made by Council staff. These matters took some time to resolve, or remained unresolved at the end of the period under review. The Council may have issued an abatement notice to attain compliance.

Poor: Material failings to meet the administrative requirements of the resource consents. Significant intervention by the Council was required. Typically there were grounds for an infringement notice.

For reference, in the 2017-2018 year, consent holders were found to achieve a high level of environmental performance and compliance for 76% of the consents monitored through the Taranaki tailored monitoring programmes, while for another 20% of the consents, a good level of environmental performance and compliance was achieved.

1.2 Process description

1.2.1 Discharges to stormwater




Figure 1 MASL foundry site, showing the boundary between Mangaone and Mangati Stream catchments and water monitoring sites

The MASL manufacturing plant extends across the boundary between two stormwater catchments (Figure 1). Drainage from the western side (3.9 hectares) is to the eastern headwaters of the Mangaone Stream, about four kilometres from where the stream enters the Waiwhakaiho River. Drainage from the eastern side (4.9 hectares) is to the Mangati Stream. There are separate consents for stormwater discharges to the two catchments. This report relates to the western stormwater discharge. The eastern stormwater discharge, consent 3139, is monitored under the Mangati Stream Catchment Monitoring Programme.

The area which drains to the Mangaone catchment is bounded by the Marton – New Plymouth railway, Henwood Road, and the main building. Significant changes have occurred at the site during the last 20 years with respect to both processing activities undertaken at the site and improvements to control the effects of historical activities. An outline of the historical activities and their potential impacts on current stormwater quality is given below. More detailed discussions are contained in previous monitoring reports, which are listed in the bibliography.

Approximately 40% of the site draining to the Mangaone catchment is planted, 16% roofed, 41% tar seal or concrete, and 3% bare gravel.

The estimated storm flow to the Mangaone system, for a 10-minute duration event of five year return period, is 670 litres per second. Currently, for consent compliance purposes, the discharge point is at Henwood Road railway crossing. Monitoring is also undertaken at the points of exit from the site.

Aerial emissions from the now defunct brass and copper foundry and swarf drying plant (prior to the installation of the baghouse in 1996), have contributed to the significant concentrations of metals, particularly copper, zinc and lead, now found in the surface soils at the site. Historical practices relating to the handling and storage of scrap, dross and baghouse dust have also resulted in particular areas having elevated metals concentrations. Included in the Mangaone catchment are a tool room, fabrication shops, an aluminium anodising plant, an anodising effluent treatment plant, and a powdercoating facility. Within the

catchment some areas, previously used in relation to the copper and brass re-melting and extrusion activities, are now used for aluminium scrap sorting, finishing and warehousing.

A Stormwater Management Plan developed by MASL identifies actions that MASL has already carried out and is continuing to undertake to minimise the potential for contaminants to enter the stormwater from current operating practices and historical contamination.

There are two main stormwater drains westward from the site, which converge immediately above the railway crossing.

The southern drainage ditch runs approximately parallel with the railway, starting outside the former swarf drying plant and brass foundry. Its catchment includes a bunded holding area for drummed waste substances. The drainage from this area is discharged via a piped system which incorporates a baffled sediment and oil trap. A stop valve is fitted just downstream of the trap allowing isolation of the discharge in the event of a spillage in this catchment.

The western drain services the area around the aluminium anodising plant and fabrication building. The anodising effluent treatment plant is contained within a bunded area. The stormwater discharges to a small swamp in the eastern headwaters of the Mangaone Stream, about four kilometres from where the stream enters the Waiwhakaiho River further west. The swamp is fed from groundwater seeps and from a small lake previously on the property of the Pacific International Hotel Management School on Henwood Road. This area was redeveloped during the creation of the SH3 Bell Block bypass. Transit NZ holds consents for the reclamation of part of the northern end of the lake (5801) and to discharge stormwater from road surface drainage into the lakes (5803).

1.2.2 Discharges to air

In January 2008, a reconditioned gas fired furnace was installed which replaced the previous gas fired melting and holding furnaces. Only clean scrap is loaded into the new gas furnace, which discharges directly to atmosphere through a separate stack.

MASL receives clean in-house production and purchased scrap aluminum extrusions and ingots for re-melting and casting into extrusion log. A small amount of fumeless cleaning flux is used during the melting process. Small additions of silicon and magnesium are made to the aluminum in the gas fired furnace after it is melted for alloying purposes. The furnace burners are turned to low during dross removal, which combined with the fumeless flux, means that there are no emissions generated. No toxic metals are used during the melting process.

Before the aluminum is cast, further fumeless cleaning flux is used, along with casting table and launder coating applications. Continuous lubricant is injected into molds during the casting process.

Anodised and powdercoated scrap is chopped for loading into a separate induction furnace where emissions are routed to the aluminum baghouse. A fumeless cleaning flux is used after melting, followed by dross removal with the melt then being transferred to the gas fired furnace.

Discharges to air from the powdercoating facility are monitored under the foundry site consent. The potential effects from powdercoating are emissions of powder and odours into the air from the process. If solvents are used in the process there is also potential for air emissions to contain a toxic component. These effects can all be avoided by use of appropriate technology; in this case an exhaust fan followed by a baghouse. This technology is simple, robust and effective.

During past monitoring periods, there were frequent, but intermittent, plume discharges from the various sources to air. These varied in their impact offsite, depending on processing and weather conditions at the time of emission. Fugitive emissions have not been noted from vents on the aluminium building roof in recent monitoring periods. The baghouse controls have pre-set temperature limits, which will divert the

exhaust gases directly to the stacks if the incoming gases exceed the limits set. This avoids the superheated gases damaging the baghouse or its components. This type of discharge is still subject to conditions 1 and 2 of consent 4034-3, which require the consent holder to adopt the 'best practicable option' to minimise adverse effects upon the receiving environment from the discharges.



Photo 1 MASL baghouse

1.3 Resource consents

MASL holds two resource consents the details of which are summarised in Table 1 below and outlined in sections 1.3.1 and 1.3.2.

Table 1 Summary of resource consents held by MASL

Consent number	Purpose	Granted	Review	Expires
1857-6	To discharge treated stormwater from an industrial premises that includes a metal extrusion plant into an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream	December 2012	June 2020	June 2026
4034-3	To discharge emissions into the air from extrusion and re-melting of aluminium and associated activities	August 2008	June 2020	June 2026

1.3.1 Water discharge permit

Section 15(1)(a) of the RMA stipulates that no person may discharge any contaminant into water, unless the activity is expressly allowed for by a resource consent or a rule in a regional plan, or by national regulations.

MASL holds water discharge permit **1857-6** to discharge treated stormwater from an industrial premises that includes a metal extrusion plant into an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream. This permit was issued by the Council on 4 December 2012 under Section 87(e) of the RMA. It is due to expire on 1 June 2026.

There are nine special conditions imposed on consent 1857-6.

Condition 1 requires that the consent holder adopts the best practicable option to prevent or minimise any adverse effects on the environment.

Condition 2 limits the catchment area of the discharge to 4 hectares.

Condition 3 requires that hazardous substance storage areas are isolated from the stormwater catchment.

Condition 4 specifies limits for the components within the discharge immediately downstream of the railway crossing culvert.

Condition 5 establishes a mixing zone of 150 metres extending from below the railway crossing culvert, beyond which compliance with Section 107 of the RMA is required.

Condition 6 requires that MASL maintain a contingency plan for action to be taken in the event of accidental discharge or spillage of contaminants.

Condition 7 requires the maintenance of a stormwater management plan to the satisfaction of the Council.

Condition 8 requires the consent holder to notify the Council prior to making changes at the site which may alter the nature of the discharge.

Condition 9 provides for review of the consent.

The permit is attached to this report in Appendix I.

This summary of consent conditions may not reflect the full requirements of each condition. The consent conditions in full can be found in the resource consent which is appended to this report.

1.3.2 Air discharge permit

Section 15(1)(c) of the RMA stipulates that no person may discharge any contaminant from any industrial or trade premises into air, unless the activity is expressly allowed for by a resource consent, a rule in a regional plan, or by national regulations.

MASL holds air discharge permit **4034-3** to discharge emissions into the air from extrusion and re-melting of aluminium and associated activities. This permit was first issued by the Council on 8 September 1993 as a resource consent under Section 87(e) of the RMA. It was most recently renewed on 15 August 2008 and is due to expire on 1 June 2026.

There are 13 special conditions imposed on consent 4034-3.

Conditions 1 and 2 require that the consent holder adopts the best practicable option to prevent or minimise any adverse effects on the environment.

Condition 3 stipulates that any discharge to air shall not give rise to offensive or toxic levels of smoke, dust or odour at or beyond the site boundary.

Conditions 4, 5 and 6 limit the dust deposition rate beyond the site boundary; the total particulate matter concentration of ventilated gas streams; and the effect of discharges on the ambient suspended particulate concentration at the site boundary.

Condition 7 requires the consent to be exercised in accordance with the consent holder's Environmental Management Manual.

Condition 8 requires the consent holder to notify the Council prior to making any changes at the site which may alter the nature of the discharge.

Conditions 9, 10 and 11 stipulate limits on the opacity of any discharge; the increase in the ground level PM₁₀ concentration beyond the boundary due to site discharges; and the concentration of any other contaminants in the discharge not specifically named in this consent.

Condition 12 requires the consent holder to immediately notify the Council of any incident or situation that does not comply with this consent, and to supply a written report on the incident to the Council within one week.

Condition 13 provides for review of the consent.

The permit is attached to this report in Appendix I.

This summary of consent conditions may not reflect the full requirements of each condition. The consent conditions in full can be found in the resource consent which is appended to this report.

1.4 Monitoring programme

1.4.1 Introduction

Section 35 of the RMA sets obligations upon the Council to gather information, monitor and conduct research on the exercise of resource consents within the Taranaki region. The Council is also required to assess the effects arising from the exercising of these consents and report upon them.

The Council may therefore make and record measurements of physical and chemical parameters, take samples for analysis, carry out surveys and inspections, conduct investigations and seek information from consent holders.

The monitoring programme for the MASL site consisted of four primary components.

1.4.2 Programme liaison and management

There is generally a significant investment of time and resources by the Council in:

- ongoing liaison with resource consent holders over consent conditions and their interpretation and application;
- in discussion over monitoring requirements;
- preparation for any consent reviews, renewals or new consent applications;
- advice on the Council's environmental management strategies and content of regional plans; and
- consultation on associated matters.

1.4.3 Site inspections

The MASL site was visited four times during the monitoring period. With regard to the consent for the discharge to water, the main points of interest were plant processes with potential or actual discharges to receiving watercourses, including contaminated stormwater and process wastewaters. Air inspections focused on plant processes with associated actual and potential emission sources and characteristics, including potential odour, dust, noxious or offensive emissions. Sources of data being collected by MASL were identified and accessed, so that performance in respect of operation, internal monitoring, and supervision could be reviewed by the Council. The neighbourhood was surveyed for environmental effects.

1.4.4 Chemical sampling

The Council undertook sampling of the discharges from the site for the purpose of compliance monitoring, and surveyed the water quality upstream and downstream of the discharge point and mixing zone to determine the impact on the receiving water.

There are eight permanent chemical monitoring sites (as shown in Figures 1 and 2). These are the western and eastern discharge points; the discharge drain at Henwood Road; the lake outlet upstream of the stormwater discharge; the northern arm of the swamp upstream of the discharge; the eastern arm of the swamp which receives the discharge (just inside the mixing zone); the receiving water in the unnamed tributary; and the receiving water in the Mangaone Stream at Egmont Road.

One monitoring run is carried out annually in wet weather, for compliance monitoring of the stormwater discharge, and one run is carried out annually in dry weather, to determine long term trends in the quality of the receiving water. Samples are analysed for pH, conductivity, suspended solids and concentrations of total and dissolved copper and zinc. The results of this monitoring are presented in Table 2.

To assess levels of particulate emissions to air, deposition gauges were placed in the vicinity of the site on one occasion during the period under review. The collected samples were analysed for deposited particulates and selected metals.

1.4.5 Biomonitoring surveys

Biological surveys were performed in October 2017 and March 2018 in an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream to determine whether or not the discharge of stormwater from the site had had a detrimental effect upon the communities of the stream.

2 Results

2.1 Water

2.1.1 Inspections

Four inspections were undertaken at the MASL site during the period under review, on 31 August 2017, and 10 January, 4 April and 15 May 2018.

The site was found to be generally tidy and well managed during inspections and spill kits were located in appropriate places.

During the August 2017 inspection it was noted that there was a lack of appropriate bunding for waste products. This had been rectified by the time of the January 2018 inspection, however during the April inspection the waste product storage area was observed to be full and some waste product was again being stored outside the bunded area.

2.1.2 Results of physicochemical discharge and receiving water monitoring



Figure 2 Location of the MASL plant in Bell Block and related water quality monitoring sites

Samples were taken by the Council from several permanent sampling points under dry conditions on 22 November 2017 and in wet weather conditions on 26 June 2018. The results are presented in Table 2.

Dry weather sampling runs are intended to determine long term trends in the quality of the receiving water. Historical metals concentration data available for dry weather runs was limited prior to the introduction of regular sampling at the start of the 1999-2000 monitoring period.

Wet weather sampling runs enable the Council to determine whether compliance with the discharge conditions on consent 1857-6 is being achieved, and what effects the discharge is having on the receiving waters.

Up until a consent renewal in September 2003 there were six prescribed control limits imposed upon the MASL stormwater discharge. These limits applied to the pH, suspended solids, oil and grease, and copper, lead and zinc concentrations. From September 2003 to the end of the 2012 monitoring period the three prescribed control limits imposed on contaminants were for pH, oil and grease, and suspended solids. The current version of the consent also includes a limit of 0.05 g/m^3 on dissolved copper in the combined discharge and 1 g/m^3 for dissolved zinc.

The consent also limits the effects that the discharge may have on the receiving water beyond a mixing zone extending 150 m from the discharge point at the Henwood Road railway crossing. Site MGO000028 is within this mixing zone.

Traditionally, the establishment of water quality criteria has been based upon the use of measures such as "total recoverable metals" for determining acceptable limits for metal concentrations in discharges. However, in recent years a number of countries including the United States (under the aegis of the USEPA) have revised their toxicant criteria towards the protection of freshwater aquatic ecosystems in the receiving environment, and as such dissolved metal concentrations are considered more representative of the bioavailable fraction of metals in the water column.

The receiving water quality criteria for dissolved copper and zinc, in water with hardness of $50 \text{ g/m}^3 \text{ CaCO}_3$, are $0.0062 \text{ g/m}^3 \text{ Cu}$ and $0.058 \text{ g/m}^3 \text{ Zn}$ for chronic (long-term) exposure. The corresponding criteria for acute (1-hour) exposure are $0.0088 \text{ g/m}^3 \text{ Cu}$ and $0.064 \text{ g/m}^3 \text{ Zn}$. Chronic exposure criteria are best related to dry weather sampling results, whilst acute exposure criteria are best related to wet weather sampling conditions.

Aside from the dissolved metal concentrations within the mixing zone in the Eastern Arm (MGO000028), none of the samples collected from the tributary of the Mangaone Stream exceeded the relevant USEPA receiving water criteria for the protection of aquatic ecosystems.

The levels of dissolved copper found within the mixing zone of the Eastern Arm were significantly lower than the median of previous sampling (0.034 g/m^3), with 0.0086 g/m^3 found during the current survey. Levels of dissolved zinc in the Eastern Arm were also well below the median of previous results (0.376 g/m^3). In general, there is continuing evidence of an improvement in the water quality of the tributary of the Mangaone Stream in relation to both dissolved copper and dissolved zinc.

The results for all other parameters indicated that the discharge was not having an adverse effect on the receiving waters. Levels of aluminium, lead, oil and grease, and suspended solids in the discharge were low and in compliance with applicable consent conditions.

Table 2 Results of comprehensive discharge and receiving environment monitoring

Parameter	Discharge			Downstream			Upstream	
	Western drain	Eastern drain	Combined Discharge	East Arm	Sanger's Intake*	Egmont Road	North Arm	Lake outlet
Site code	STW001095	STW002044	STW001027	MGO000028	MGO000031	MGO000050	MGO000023	MGO000022
Dry run – 22 November 2017								
Temperature (°C)	-	-	-	-	15.0	17.9	17.0	17.3
Conductivity (mS/m @20°C)	-	-	-	-	19.0	19.1	19.5	13.6
pH	-	-	-	-	7.1	7.3	6.9	7.0
Suspended Solids (g/m ³)	-	-	-	-	<2	2.3	<2	2.5
Cu Acid Soluble (g/m ³)	-	-	-	-	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
Cu Dissolved (g/m ³)*	-	-	-	-	0.002	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Zn Acid Soluble (g/m ³)	-	-	-	-	0.011	<0.005	0.007	0.047
Zn Dissolved (g/m ³)*	-	-	-	-	0.010	<0.005	0.006	0.042
Wet run – 26 June 2018								
Temperature (°C)	9.1	8.6	8.6	11.4	11.4	11.1	10.8	10.4
Conductivity (mS/m @20°C)	6.5	8.8	9.5	14.0	13.8	16.3	11.6	8.6
pH	6.7	7.6	6.9	6.9	6.7	7.1	7.2	7.1
Suspended Solids (g/m ³)	<3	5	3	13	5	10	<6	5
Cu Acid Soluble (g/m ³)	0.0113	0.0198	0.025	0.0152	0.0032	0.0011	0.0009	0.0018
Cu Dissolved (g/m ³)*	0.0094	0.0104	0.0153	0.0086	0.0018	0.0007	0.0006	0.0013
Zn Acid Soluble (g/m ³)	1.17	0.130	0.59	0.24	0.0151	0.0035	0.0085	0.028
Zn Dissolved (g/m ³)*	1.21	0.085	0.60	0.23	0.0121	0.0016	0.0077	0.027
Al Acid Soluble (g/m ³)	0.059	0.24	0.143	-	-	-	-	-
Pb Acid Soluble (g/m ³)	0.00071	0.00189	0.00164	-	-	-	-	-
Oil and Grease (g/m ³)	a	a	a	-	-	-	-	-
*USEPA acute criteria for dissolved metals: Cu 0.0088 g/m ³ and Zn 0.064 g/m ³ [at hardness of 50 g/m ³ CaCO ₃]								

a parameter not determined, no visible hydrocarbon sheen and no odour

2.1.3 Results of biological monitoring in receiving environment

The Council's standard 'kick-sampling' and 'sweep sampling' techniques were used at two established sites to collect streambed macroinvertebrates from an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream on 26 October 2017 and 2 March 2018. Samples were sorted and identified to provide number of taxa (richness) and MCI and SQMCI_s scores.

Taxa richness is the most robust index when ascertaining whether a macroinvertebrate community has been exposed to toxic discharges. The MCI is a measure of the overall sensitivity of the macroinvertebrate community to the effects of organic pollution in stony streams. It is based on the presence/absence of taxa with varying degrees of sensitivity to environmental conditions. The SQMCI_s takes into account taxa abundance as well as sensitivity to pollution, and may reveal more subtle changes in communities, particularly if non-organic impacts are occurring, and may be more useful in soft-bottomed streams. Significant differences in either the MCI or the SQMCI_s between sites may indicate the degree of adverse effects (if any) of the discharges being monitored.

The results of both the spring and autumn biological surveys indicated that the number of taxa recorded in the community a short distance downstream of the stormwater discharge from MASL was slightly lower than normal for the two sites but did not indicate that there had been any toxic discharges from stormwater discharges.

MCI scores from the spring survey indicated that the macroinvertebrate community was in 'fair' health at the upstream site and 'poor' health at the downstream site with a significant difference between the two sites. However, there was no significant differences from the previous survey and to historic medians. Furthermore, SQMCI_s scores and EPT number all indicated no difference between the two sites.

MCI scores recorded from the autumn survey indicated that the macroinvertebrate community was in 'fair' health with a significant difference between the two sites which was associated with habitat quality. There was no significant differences from the previous survey and the upper site had a score that was significantly higher than its historic median, suggesting better than normal preceding water quality at the site. SQMCI_s scores also indicated 'fair' health and were typical for both sites.

With the exception of the February 2006, April 2007 and March 2016 surveys, the SQMCI_s values have been relatively high in the last 25 surveys. This was due mainly to the very high abundances of medium-scoring taxa, particularly 'moderately sensitive' amphipods (*Paracalliope* and *Paraleptamphopidae*). This trend was largely continued in the surveys undertaken during 2017-2018 with high numbers of *Paraleptamphopidae* recorded at the upper site (both surveys) and high numbers of *Paracalliope* recorded at the lower site (autumn survey).

The macroinvertebrate surveys undertaken during the monitoring period indicated that the discharge of treated stormwater from the MASL site during the monitoring period had not had any detrimental effect on the macroinvertebrate communities present in the unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream.

The full biomonitoring reports are attached in Appendix II.

2.2 Air

2.2.1 Inspections

No issues regarding air quality were noted during inspections in the monitoring period.

2.2.2 Deposition dust gauging

Many industries emit dust from various sources during operational periods. In order to assess the effects of dust, industries have been monitored using deposition gauges.

Deposition gauges are basically buckets elevated on a stand to about 1.6 m. The buckets have a solution in them to ensure that any dust that settles out of the air is not re-suspended by wind.

The rate of dustfall is calculated by dividing the weight of insoluble material (g) collected by the cross-sectional area of the gauge (m^2) and the number of days over which the sample was taken. The units of measurement are $g/m^2/day$.

Guideline values used by the Council for dust deposition are $4 g/m^2/30$ days or $130 mg/m^2/day$ deposited matter. Consideration is given to the location of the industry and the sensitivity of the surrounding community, when assessing results against these values.

Material from the gauges was analysed both for solid particulates and for constituents associated with pollution, including selected metals.

In the 2017-2018 period, gauges were deployed on one occasion at four locations in the vicinity of the foundry site for a period of 22 days from 22 January to 13 February 2018. The locations of the monitoring sites around the foundry are shown in Figure 3.




Figure 3 Locations of dust deposition monitoring sites in relation to the MASL site

Material from the gauges was analysed for particulates, aluminium, copper, lead, zinc and conductivity. For comparison, the median values of data obtained from an urban 'state of the environment' deposition gauge site located on the corner of Mangorei Road and Devon Road (site AIR000012) have been included in

Table 3.

Particulates

The rates of particulate deposition were below the $130 mg/m^2/day$ guideline value at all sites. The particulate level at site AIR004603, closest to the MASL facilities, was well below the median of previous

samples. This site may have been sheltered from high ambient dust levels by its proximity to surrounding buildings.

Table 3 Foundry deposition gauge results for the February 2018 survey

Site		AIR004601	AIR004602	AIR004603	AIR004604	AIR000012 (median 2000-2003) comparison site
Total particulate mg/m ² /day		40	60	50	30	50
<i>Total particulate mg/m²/day June 1996-June 2017 median</i>		40	40	90	50	-
Aluminium mg/m ² /day	Dissolved	0.10	0.14	0.26	0.08	-
	Particulate	0.912	0.840	0.463	0.287	-
Copper mg/m ² /day	Dissolved	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.021
Lead mg/m ² /day	Dissolved	0.05	0.07	0.05	0.04	-
Zinc mg/m ² /day	Dissolved	0.11	0.17	0.10	0.10	0.284
Conductivity @ 20°C mS/m/day		0.25	0.30	0.28	0.24	0.280

Metals deposition

The ratio between the particulate and dissolved fractions varies according to the individual metal and the pH of the liquid in the deposition gauge. As much as 99% of the total zinc has been found to be in the dissolved (bio-available) form. This is likely to be higher than the proportion bio-available in the soil, as historically the pH of the liquid in the gauge has been quite acidic (favouring metal solubility) relative to the soil. Because of this, combined with the closure of the copper and brass activities at the site, particulate metal analysis of the filters for copper, lead and zinc has been ceased.

The Department of Health (1992) has set guidelines for maximum annual application to agricultural land of heavy metals (in sewage sludge). These guidelines may be used for assessing the impact of metals deposited on land in the vicinity of the MASL site. The guidelines for copper, lead and zinc are 3.3, 4.1 and 8.2 mg/m²/day for copper, lead and zinc respectively. There is no guideline for aluminium.

The highest values recorded for the dissolved metals deposited in the 2017-2018 monitoring year were <1%, 2%, and 2% of the respective guidelines for copper, lead, and zinc. With the exception of site AIR004603, the levels of dissolved aluminium were well below historical medians (which range from 0.198 to 0.254 mg/m²/day) for all four sites, while particulate aluminium levels were above the median values for sites AIR004601 and AIR004602 (0.580 and 0.815 mg/m²/day respectively), and below the median values for sites AIR004603 and AIR4604 (1.4 and 0.782 mg/m²/day respectively).

In comparison to the median values found at the urban 'state of the environment' monitoring site AIR000012, the MASL deposition gauge sites showed copper and zinc deposition rates that were mostly much lower those in an urban area close to a main road.

Other parameters

Conductivity is indicative of the total dissolved salts in the samples. The results are consistent with other sites that are predominantly affected by their proximity to the sea. Activities at the MASL site do not lead to significant aerial emissions of salts, and there is no evidence from the monitoring of site-specific effects related to total dissolved salts.

2.3 Investigations, interventions, and incidents

The monitoring programme for the year was based on what was considered to be an appropriate level of monitoring, review of data, and liaison with MASL. During the year matters may arise which require additional activity by the Council, for example provision of advice and information, or investigation of potential or actual causes of non-compliance or failure to maintain good practices. A pro-active approach that in the first instance avoids issues occurring is favoured.

The Council operates and maintains a register of all complaints or reported and discovered excursions from acceptable limits and practices, including non-compliance with consents, which may damage the environment. The incident register includes events where MASL concerned has itself notified the Council. The register contains details of any investigation and corrective action taken.

Complaints may be alleged to be associated with a particular site. If there is potentially an issue of legal liability, the Council must be able to prove by investigation that the identified company is indeed the source of the incident (or that the allegation cannot be proven).

In the 2017-2018 period, the Council was not required to undertake significant additional investigations and interventions, or record incidents, in association with MASL's conditions in resource consents or provisions in Regional Plans.

3 Discussion

3.1 Discussion of site performance

MASL has markedly reduced the discharge of contaminants from its foundry site in recent years. MASL achieved compliance with the prescribed consent limits imposed on its discharge permits for the site in the period under review. Good control of emissions from the aluminium foundry was also achieved. There were no air related complaints received by Council.

MASL has implemented the improvements relating to consent 1857 and the stormwater management programme developed in conjunction with the Council.

3.2 Environmental effects of exercise of consents

3.2.1 Environmental effects of exercise of water discharge permit

Stormwater from the MASL site has discharged to a small swamp in the headwaters of the Mangaone Stream for a period of over 30 years. The Council has monitored the effects of the discharge and the receiving water since 1982 through both chemical and biological surveys.

Continued improvements in effluent management and housekeeping practices by MASL have assisted in reducing the concentrations of contaminants within the stormwater discharged from the site. Sample results during the period under review support the trend of reductions in the levels of contaminants in the receiving water at Sanger's Intake seen during recent years. No samples outside of the mixing zone exceeded the relevant USEPA receiving water criteria for the protection of aquatic ecosystems.

The two biological surveys undertaken during the period under review indicated that the discharge of treated stormwater from the MASL site had not had any recent detrimental effect on the macroinvertebrate communities of the unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream. This provides further evidence that there has been an improvement in water quality in recent monitoring years, consistent with the physicochemical water quality monitoring data.

3.2.2 Environmental effects of exercise of air discharge permit

Atmospheric particulate matter can arise from a number of sources, both natural and from human activity, for example: vegetation pollens, smoke and ash, sea spray, dust from soils and paved surfaces, and manufacturing processes. While extremely fine particles may remain floating in the atmosphere for weeks or months, coarser dusts may settle out within timeframes ranging from a few seconds to minutes.

The environmental effects of dusts include loss of visibility, loss of the amenity and aesthetic values of a 'clear sky', irritation to breathing, and soiling of surfaces. It has been found that background rates of dust deposition in rural areas of New Zealand are typically 3-50 mg/m²/day, while in urban areas rates are generally higher, in the range of 20-100 mg/m²/day. From experience, rates above 100-135 mg/m²/day tend to lead to complaints by neighbours over the objectionable or offensive nature of dust emissions from particular sources.

Deposition gauging was conducted for the 23rd time during the 2017-2018 monitoring period around the foundry site. The results from the gauging indicated that the particulate deposition was at an acceptable level in the vicinity of the foundry site. The analytical results of the gauging also showed that the levels of deposited metals were much lower than allowed by the Department of Health (1992) guidelines for maximum annual application to agricultural land of heavy metals (in sewage sludge).

3.3 Evaluation of performance

A tabular summary of the consent holder's compliance record for the year under review is set out in Table 4 and Table 5.

Table 4 Summary of performance for consent 1857-6

Purpose: To discharge treated stormwater from an industrial premise that includes a metal extrusion plant into an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream		
Condition requirement	Means of monitoring during period under review	Compliance achieved?
1. Adoption of best practicable option to minimise effects on the environment	Inspections and liaison with consent holder	No. Wastes stored outside of bunded areas.
2. Maximum catchment size	Site inspections	Yes
3. Appropriate hazardous substance storage	Site inspections	Yes
4. Limits on chemical composition of discharge	Sampling	Yes
5. Discharge shall not cause specified adverse effects beyond mixing zone	Sampling and biomonitoring surveys of the receiving water	Yes
6. Implementation and review of a contingency plan	MASL Environmental Management Manual includes contingency plan	Yes
7. Maintenance of a stormwater management plan	Updated Stormwater Management Plan received September 2016	Yes
8. Notification prior to making changes at the site which may alter the nature of the discharge	Notifications and site inspections	Yes
9. Optional review provision re environmental effects	Not scheduled for consideration during year under review. Next consideration June 2020	N/A
Overall assessment of consent compliance and environmental performance in respect of this consent		Good
Overall assessment of administrative performance in respect of this consent		High

N/A = not applicable

Table 5 Summary of performance for consent 4034-3

Purpose: To discharge emissions into the air from extrusion and remelting of aluminium and associated activities		
Condition requirement	Means of monitoring during period under review	Compliance achieved?
1. Adoption of best practicable option to minimise effects on the environment	Site inspections	Yes
2. Selection of best practicable equipment and processes	Site inspections	Yes
3. Discharge shall not give rise to offensive or toxic levels of contaminants at the site boundary	Site inspections and discharge monitoring	Yes

Purpose: To discharge emissions into the air from extrusion and remelting of aluminium and associated activities		
Condition requirement	Means of monitoring during period under review	Compliance achieved?
4. Limit on dust deposition rate beyond the site boundary	Deposition gauge monitoring	Yes
5. Maximum particulate concentration of discharges	Testing not undertaken during year under review	N/A
6. Limit on elevation of ambient suspended particulate matter concentration	Testing not undertaken during year under review	N/A
7. Compliance with the MASL Environmental Management Manual	Site inspections and liaison with consent holder	Yes
8. Notification prior to making changes at the site which may alter the nature of the discharge	Notifications and site inspections	Yes
9. Limit on opacity of any discharge	Testing not undertaken during year under review	N/A
10. Limit on effect of emissions on PM ₁₀ concentration at the boundary	Testing not undertaken during year under review	N/A
11. Limits on other contaminants beyond site boundary	Testing not undertaken during year under review	N/A
12. Notification in the event of unauthorised discharge	No unauthorised incidents logged during the year	N/A
13. Optional review of consent	Not scheduled for consideration during year under review. Next consideration June 2020	N/A
Overall assessment of consent compliance and environmental performance in respect of this consent		High
Overall assessment of administrative performance in respect of this consent		High

N/A = not applicable

Table 6 Evaluation of environmental performance over time

Year	Consent no	High	Good	Improvement req	Poor
2008-09	1857-5	1			
	4034-3	1			
	5566-2	1			
	6049-1	1			
2009-10	1857-5	1			
	4034-3	1			
2010-12	1857-5	1			
	4034-3	1			
2012-13	1857-6	1			

Year	Consent no	High	Good	Improvement req	Poor
	4034-3	1			
2013-14	1857-6		1		
	4034-3	1			
2014-15	1857-6	1			
	4034-3	1			
2015-16	1857-6	1			
	4034-3	1			
2016-17	1857-6		1		
	4034-3	1			
Totals		16	2		

During the year, MASL demonstrated an overall high level of environmental performance and a high level of administrative compliance with the resource consents as defined in Section 1.1.4. There were no unauthorised incidents recorded by the Council in relation to MASL's activities. The site was well managed and maintained.

3.4 Recommendations from the 2016-2017 Annual Report

In the 2016-2017 Annual Report, it was recommended:

1. THAT monitoring of consented activities at the McKechnie Aluminium Solutions Ltd site in the 2017-2018 year continue at the same level as in 2016-2017.
2. THAT should there be issues with environmental or administrative performance in 2017-2018, monitoring may be adjusted to reflect any additional investigation or intervention as found necessary.

Recommendation one was implemented, while it was not considered necessary to implement recommendation two.

3.5 Alterations to monitoring programmes for 2018-2019

In designing and implementing the monitoring programmes for air/water discharges in the region, the Council has taken into account:

- the extent of information already made available through monitoring or other means to date;
- its relevance under the RMA;
- the Council's obligations to monitor consented activities and their effects under the RMA;
- the record of administrative and environmental performances of the consent holder; and
- reporting to the regional community.

The Council also takes into account the scope of assessments required at the time of renewal of permits, and the need to maintain a sound understanding of industrial processes within Taranaki exercising resource consents.

It is proposed that for 2018-2019 the monitoring programme remains the same as that scheduled in 2017-2018.

It should be noted that the proposed programme represents a reasonable and risk-based level of monitoring for the site in question. The Council reserves the right to subsequently adjust the programme from that initially prepared, should the need arise if potential or actual non-compliance is determined at any time during 2018-2019.

4 Recommendations

1. THAT in the first instance, monitoring of consented activities at the McKechnie Aluminium Solutions Ltd site in the 2018-2019 year continue at the same level as in 2017-2018.
2. THAT should there be issues with environmental or administrative performance in 2018-2019, monitoring may be adjusted to reflect any additional investigation or intervention as found necessary.

Glossary of common terms and abbreviations

The following abbreviations and terms may be used within this report:

Al*	Aluminium.
Biomonitoring	Assessing the health of the environment using aquatic organisms.
Bund	A wall around a tank to contain its contents in the case of a leak.
Conductivity	Conductivity, an indication of the level of dissolved salts in a sample, usually measured at 20°C and expressed in mS/m.
Cu*	Copper.
g/m ³	Grams per cubic metre, and equivalent to milligrams per litre (mg/L). In water, this is also equivalent to parts per million (ppm), but the same does not apply to gaseous mixtures.
Incident	An event that is alleged or is found to have occurred that may have actual or potential environmental consequences or may involve non-compliance with a consent or rule in a regional plan. Registration of an incident by the Council does not automatically mean such an outcome had actually occurred.
Intervention	Action/s taken by Council to instruct or direct actions be taken to avoid or reduce the likelihood of an incident occurring.
Investigation	Action taken by Council to establish what were the circumstances/events surrounding an incident including any allegations of an incident.
Incident register	The Incident register contains a list of events recorded by the Council on the basis that they may have the potential or actual environmental consequences that may represent a breach of a consent or provision in a Regional Plan.
m ²	Square Metres.
MCI	Macroinvertebrate community index; a numerical indication of the state of biological life in a stream that takes into account the sensitivity of the taxa present to organic pollution in stony habitats.
mg/m ² /day	Milligrams/meter ² /day.
mS/m	Millisiemens per metre.
Mixing zone	The zone below a discharge point where the discharge is not fully mixed with the receiving environment. For a stream, conventionally taken as a length equivalent to 7 times the width of the stream at the discharge point.
O&G	Oil and grease, defined as anything that will dissolve into a particular organic solvent (e.g. hexane). May include both animal material (fats) and mineral matter (hydrocarbons).
Pb*	Lead.
pH	A numerical system for measuring acidity in solutions, with 7 as neutral. Numbers lower than 7 are increasingly acidic and higher than 7 are increasingly alkaline. The scale is logarithmic i.e. a change of 1 represents a ten-fold change in strength. For example, a pH of 4 is ten times more acidic than a pH of 5.
Physicochemical	Measurement of both physical properties (e.g. temperature, clarity, density) and chemical determinants (e.g. metals and nutrients) to characterise the state of an environment.
PM ₁₀	Relatively fine airborne particles (less than 10 micrometre diameter).

Resource consent	Refer Section 87 of the RMA. Resource consents include land use consents (refer Sections 9 and 13 of the RMA), coastal permits (Sections 12, 14 and 15), water permits (Section 14) and discharge permits (Section 15).
RMA	<i>Resource Management Act 1991</i> and including all subsequent amendments.
SS	Suspended solids.
SQMCI	Semi quantitative macroinvertebrate community index.
Temp	Temperature, measured in °C (degrees Celsius).
Zn*	Zinc.

*an abbreviation for a metal or other analyte may be followed by the letters 'As', to denote the amount of metal recoverable in acidic conditions. This is taken as indicating the total amount of metal that might be solubilised under extreme environmental conditions. The abbreviation may alternatively be followed by the letter 'D', denoting the amount of the metal present in dissolved form rather than in particulate or solid form.

For further information on analytical methods, contact a Science Services Manager.

Bibliography and references

- Taranaki Regional Council (2018): *McKechnie Aluminium Solutions Limited Monitoring Programme Annual Report 2016-2017*. Technical Report 2017-50.
- Taranaki Regional Council (2017): *McKechnie Aluminium Solutions Limited Monitoring Programme Annual Report 2015-2016*. Technical Report 2016-15.
- Taranaki Regional Council (2016): *McKechnie Aluminium Solutions Limited Monitoring Programme Annual Report 2014-2015*. Technical Report 2015-88.
- Taranaki Regional Council (2015): *McKechnie Aluminium Solutions Limited Monitoring Programme Annual Report 2013-2014*. Technical Report 2014-68.
- Taranaki Regional Council (2014): *McKechnie Aluminium Solutions Limited Monitoring Programme Biennial Report 2012-2013*. Technical Report 2013-91.
- Taranaki Regional Council (2013): *McKechnie Aluminium Solutions Limited Monitoring Programme Biennial Report 2010-2012*. Technical Report 2012-75.
- Taranaki Regional Council (2012): *McKechnie Aluminium Solutions Limited Monitoring Programme Annual Report 2009-2010*. Technical Report 2010-112.
- Taranaki Regional Council (2010): *MCK Metals Pacific Limited Monitoring Programme Annual Report 2008-2009*. Technical Report 2009-86.
- Taranaki Regional Council (2009): *MCK Metals Pacific Limited Monitoring Programme Annual Report 2007-2008*. Technical Report 2008-66.
- Taranaki Regional Council (2008): *MCK Metals Pacific Limited Resource Consents Monitoring Programme Annual Report 2006-2007*. Technical Report 2007-85.
- Taranaki Regional Council (2007): *MCK Metals Pacific Limited Resource Consents Monitoring Programme Annual Report 2005-2006*. Technical Report 2006-06.
- Taranaki Regional Council (2005): *MCK Metals Pacific Limited Resource Consents Monitoring Programme Annual Report 2004-2005*. Technical Report 2005-59.
- Taranaki Regional Council (2005): *MCK Metals Pacific Limited Resource Consents Monitoring Programme Annual Report 2003-2004*. Technical Report 2004-34.
- Taranaki Regional Council (2003): *MCK Metals Pacific Limited Resource Consents Monitoring Programme Annual Report 2002-2003*. Technical Report 2003-41.
- Taranaki Regional Council (2003): *MCK Metals Pacific Limited Resource Consents Monitoring Programme Annual Report 2001-2002*. Technical Report 2002-43.
- Taranaki Regional Council (2002): *MCK Metals Pacific Limited Resource Consents Monitoring Programme Annual Report 2000-2001*. Technical Report 2001-84.
- Taranaki Regional Council (2000b): *MCK Metals Pacific Limited Resource Consents Monitoring Programme Annual Report 1999-2000*. Technical Report 2000-18.
- Taranaki Regional Council (2000): *MCK Metals Pacific Limited Resource Consents Monitoring Programme Annual Report 1998-1999*. Technical Report 99-98.
- Taranaki Regional Council (1999): *McKechnie Pacific Limited Resource Consents Monitoring Programme Annual Report 1997-98*. Technical Report 98-68.

- Taranaki Regional Council (1998): *McKechnie Pacific Limited Resource Consents Monitoring Programme Annual Report 1996-97*. Technical Report 97-53.
- Taranaki Regional Council (1997): *McKechnie Pacific Limited Plume Monitoring Investigation 1996-97*. Technical Report 97-28.
- Taranaki Regional Council (1996): *McKechnie Pacific Limited Resource Consents Monitoring Programme Annual Report 1995-96*. Technical Report 96-35.
- Taranaki Regional Council (1996): *McKechnie Pacific Limited Resource Consents Monitoring Programme Report 1994/95*. Technical Report 95-77.
- Taranaki Regional Council (1995): *McKechnie Pacific Limited Plume Monitoring Investigation 1994-95*. Technical Report 95-10.
- Taranaki Regional Council (1995): *McKechnie Pacific Limited Resource Consents Monitoring Programme Annual Report 1993-94*. Technical Report 94-64.
- Taranaki Regional Council (1994): *McKechnie Metals Group Resource Consent Monitoring Programme Annual Report 1992-93*. Technical Report 93-41.
- Department of Health (1992): *Public health guidelines for the safe use of sewage effluent and sewage sludge on land*. Public Health Services Report.

Appendix I

Resource consents held by McKechnie Aluminium Solutions Ltd

(For a copy of the signed resource consent
please contact the TRC Consents department)

Discharge Permit
Pursuant to the Resource Management Act 1991
a resource consent is hereby granted by the
Taranaki Regional Council

Name of
Consent Holder: McKechnie Aluminium Solutions Limited
Private Bag 2007
NEW PLYMOUTH 4342

Decision Date: 4 December 2012

Commencement
Date: 4 December 2012

Conditions of Consent

Consent Granted: To discharge treated stormwater from an industrial premise
that includes a metal extrusion plant into an unnamed
tributary of the Mangaone Stream at or about (NZTM)
1698859E-5677985N

Expiry Date: 1 June 2026

Review Date(s): June 2014, June 2020 and in accordance with special
condition 9

Site Location: 36 Paraitē Road, Bell Block, New Plymouth

Legal Description: Lot 1 DP 10008 Lot 1 DP 9212 Lot 2 DP 330342
[discharge source]

Catchment: Waiwhakaiho

Tributary: Mangaone

*For General, Standard and Special conditions
pertaining to this consent please see reverse side of this document*

General condition

- a. The consent holder shall pay to the Taranaki Regional Council [the Council] all the administration, monitoring and supervision costs of this consent, fixed in accordance with section 36 of the Resource Management Act.

Special conditions

1. The consent holder shall at all times adopt the best practicable option, as defined in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991, to prevent or minimise any adverse effects on the environment from the exercise of this consent.
2. The stormwater discharged shall be from a catchment area not exceeding 4 hectares.
3. Any significant volumes of hazardous substances on site shall be stored in a dedicated bunded area with drainage to sumps, or to other appropriate recovery systems, and not directly to the site stormwater system.
4. Constituents of the discharge shall meet the standards shown in the following table.

<u>Constituent</u>	<u>Standard</u>
pH	within the range 6.0 to 9.0
Suspended solids	concentration not greater than 100 gm ⁻³
Oil and grease	concentration not greater than 15 gm ⁻³
Dissolved copper	concentration not greater than 0.05 gm ⁻³
Dissolved zinc	concentration not greater than 1 gm ⁻³

This condition shall apply in the receiving waters at a designated sampling point immediately downstream of the railway crossing culvert at or about [1698859E-5677985N].

5. After allowing for reasonable mixing, within a mixing zone extending 150 metres downstream of the discharge point, the discharge shall not, either by itself or in combination with other discharges, give rise to any or all of the following effects in the receiving water:
 - a) the production of any conspicuous oil or grease films, scums or foams, or floatable or suspended materials;
 - b) any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity;
 - c) any emission of objectionable odour;
 - d) the rendering of fresh water unsuitable for consumption by farm animals;
 - e) any significant adverse effects on aquatic life.
6. The consent holder shall maintain a contingency plan that details measures and procedures to be undertaken to prevent spillage or any discharge of contaminants not authorised by this consent. The contingency plan shall be followed in the event of a spill or unauthorised discharge and shall be certified by the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council as being adequate to avoid, remedy or mitigate the environmental effects of such a spillage or discharge.

Consent 1857-6

7. The consent holder shall maintain a stormwater management plan that documents how the site is to be managed to minimise the contaminants that become entrained in the stormwater. This plan shall be followed at all times, shall be certified by the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council, and shall include but not necessarily be limited to:
 - a) the loading and unloading of materials;
 - b) maintenance of conveyance systems;
 - c) general housekeeping; and
 - d) management of the interceptor system.
8. The consent holder shall notify the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council, prior to making any changes to the processes or operations undertaken at the site, or the chemicals used or stored on site, or any disturbance of soil on site that could alter the nature of the discharge. Any such change shall then only occur following receipt of any necessary approval under the Resource Management Act. Notification shall include the consent number, a brief description of the activity consented and an assessment of the environmental effects of any changes, and be emailed to consents@trc.govt.nz.
9. In accordance with section 128 and section 129 of the Resource Management Act 1991, the Taranaki Regional Council may serve notice of its intention to review, amend, delete or add to the conditions of this resource consent by giving notice of review:
 - a) during the month of June 2014 and/or June 2020; and/or
 - b) within 3 months of receiving a notification under special condition 8 above;for the purpose of ensuring that the conditions are adequate to deal with any adverse effects on the environment arising from the exercise of this resource consent, which were either not foreseen at the time the application was considered or which it was not appropriate to deal with at the time.

Signed at Stratford on 4 December 2012

For and on behalf of
Taranaki Regional Council

Director-Resource Management

Discharge Permit
Pursuant to the Resource Management Act 1991
a resource consent is hereby granted by the
Taranaki Regional Council

Name of
Consent Holder: McKechnie Aluminium Solutions Limited
Private Bag 2007
NEW PLYMOUTH 4342

Consent Granted
Date: 15 August 2008

Conditions of Consent

Consent Granted: To discharge emissions into the air from extrusion and
remelting of aluminium and associated activities at or about
(NZTM) 1699193E-5678120N

Expiry Date: 1 June 2026

Review Date(s): June 2014, June 2020

Site Location: Paraite Road, Bell Block, New Plymouth

Legal Description: Lot 1 DP 9212, Lot 1 DP 10008 & Lot 2 DP 330342

General conditions

- a) On receipt of a requirement from the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council the consent holder shall, within the time specified in the requirement, supply the information required relating to the exercise of this consent.
- b) Unless it is otherwise specified in the conditions of this consent, compliance with any monitoring requirement imposed by this consent must be at the consent holder's own expense.
- c) The consent holder shall pay to the Council all required administrative charges fixed by the Council pursuant to section 36 in relation to:
 - i) the administration, monitoring and supervision of this consent; and
 - ii) charges authorised by regulations.

Special conditions

1. Notwithstanding any other conditions of this consent the consent holder shall at all times adopt the best practicable option, as defined in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991, to prevent or minimise any adverse effects on the environment from the exercise of this consent.
2. The consent holder shall minimise the emission and effects of contaminants discharged to air from the property, by the selection of the best practicable process equipment, process control equipment, contaminant abatement equipment, and methods of control, supervision and operation, and the proper and effective operation, supervision, control and maintenance of all equipment and processes at all times.
3. Any discharge to air from the exercise of this consent shall not give rise to any offensive, objectionable or toxic levels of smoke or dust or odour at or beyond the boundary of the property on which the extrusion and remelting of aluminium and associated activities are occurring.
4. The dust deposition rate beyond the property boundary of the MCK Metals Pacific Ltd Plant, arising from the discharge, shall be less than 4.0 g/m²/30 days or 0.13 g/m²/day.
5. All gas streams ventilated or otherwise discharged from the plant shall be treated to reduce the concentration of total particulate matter to less than 125 milligrams per cubic metre, normal temperature and pressure, at any time.

Consent 4034-3

6. The discharge of suspended particulate matter from the site shall not increase the ambient concentration of suspended particulate matter by more than 3 milligrams per cubic metre (measured under ambient conditions), determined by measurements at the upwind and downwind boundaries of the property.
7. The consent will be exercised in accordance with the procedures set out in the consent holder's Environmental Management Manual (August 2007) and subsequent revisions, and the consent holder shall subsequently adhere to and comply with the procedures, requirements, obligations and all other matters specified in the Environmental Management Manual (August 2007), except by specific agreement of the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council. In the case of any contradiction between the Environmental Management Manual (August 2007) and the conditions of this resource consent, the conditions of this resource consent shall prevail.
8. The consent holder shall notify the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council, prior to making any changes to the processes or operations undertaken at the site, or the chemicals used or stored on site, which could alter the nature of the discharge. Any such change shall then only occur following receipt of any necessary approval under the Resource Management Act. Notification shall include the consent number, a brief description of the activity consented and an assessment of the environmental effects of any changes, and to be emailed to worknotification@trc.govt.nz.
9. The opacity of any discharge to air when measured by photoelectric means shall not equal or exceed a value of 20% opacity.
10. The emissions to the air from the Consent Holder's premises shall not significantly contribute to, nor cause the monitored ground level concentrations of particulate material less than 10 microns in aerodynamic diameter to exceed 50 micrograms per cubic metre of air expressed as a 24 hour average at or beyond the boundary of Consent Holder's premises.
11. That the discharge to atmosphere of any contaminants other than those specified above shall not cause the concentration within the air space beyond the boundary of the Consent Holder's property of that contaminant to exceed 1/30th [one-thirtieth] of the relevant Workplace Exposure Standard Time-weighted average [Workplace Exposure Standards Effective from 2002].
12. The Consent Holder shall, for the purposes of adequately monitoring the consent as required under Section 35 of the Act, on becoming aware of any incident or situation that does not comply with this consent, immediately advise the Council on the incident. The Consent Holder shall then supply a written report to the Council on the cause, effects and the actions taken to mitigate the effects on the environment and to prevent recurrence. The written report shall be submitted to the Council within one week of the incident occurring.

Consent 4034-3

13. In accordance with section 128 and section 129 of the Resource Management Act 1991, the Taranaki Regional Council may serve notice of its intention to review, amend, delete or add to the conditions of this resource consent by giving notice of review during the month of June 2014 and/or June 2020, for the purpose of ensuring that the conditions are adequate to deal with any adverse effects on the environment arising from the exercise of this resource consent, which were either not foreseen at the time the application was considered or which it was not appropriate to deal with at the time.

Transferred at Stratford on 4 March 2010

For and on behalf of
Taranaki Regional Council

Director-Resource Management

Appendix II

Biomonitoring reports

To Callum MacKenzie, Job Manager
From Darin Sutherland, Environmental Scientist
File 21-03-005-07/01
Report No DS081
Document No. 2012016
Date 21 February 2018

Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the McKechnie Aluminium Solutions Ltd plant, October 2017

Introduction

The macroinvertebrate communities of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the McKechnie Aluminium Solutions Ltd [MASL] plant have been monitored annually or biannually since November 1983. This biological survey was the first of two surveys scheduled in the 2017-2018 monitoring year. The results of surveys performed since the 1998-99 monitoring years are discussed in various Taranaki Regional Council reports (refer to the references).

Methods

On 26 October 2017 streambed macroinvertebrates in the Mangaone Stream tributary were collected from site 3 using the 'kick-net-sampling' technique, while a combination of the 'kick-net-sampling' and 'sweep-net-sampling' techniques were used to collect streambed macroinvertebrates from site 4 (Table 1, Figure 1). These sampling techniques are very similar to Protocol C1 (hard-bottomed, semi-quantitative) (kick-sample) and Protocol C2 (soft-bottomed, semi-quantitative) (vegetation-sweep) of the New Zealand Macroinvertebrate Working Group (NZMWG) protocols for macroinvertebrate samples in wadeable streams (Stark et al, 2001).

Table 1 Biomonitoring sites in the tributary of the Mangaone Stream that receives stormwater discharges from MASL

Site number	Site code	Grid reference (NZTM)	Location
3	MGO000031	E1698576 N5677952	Below swamp (Sanger's intake)
4	MGO000033	E1698447 N5677770	400 metres downstream of site 3

Samples were preserved with Kahle's Fluid for later sorting and identification under a stereomicroscope according to Taranaki Regional Council methodology using protocol P1 of NZMWG protocols for sampling macroinvertebrates in wadeable streams (Stark et al. 2001). Macroinvertebrate taxa abundances scored based on the categories presented in Table 2.

Table 2 Macroinvertebrate abundance categories

Abundance category	Number of individuals
R (rare)	1-4
C (common)	5-19
A (abundant)	20-99
VA (very abundant)	100-499
XA (extremely abundant)	500+

Stark (1985) developed a scoring system for macroinvertebrate taxa according to their sensitivity to organic pollution in stony New Zealand streams. Highly 'sensitive' taxa were assigned the highest scores of 9 or 10, while the most 'tolerant' forms scored 1. Sensitivity scores for certain taxa have been modified in accordance with Taranaki experience. Averaging the scores assigned to the taxa found at a site, and multiplying the average by a scaling factor of 20 produces a Macroinvertebrate Community Index (MCI) value. The MCI is a measure of the overall sensitivity of macroinvertebrate communities to the effects of organic pollution. A gradation of biological water quality conditions based upon MCI ranges which has been adapted for Taranaki streams and rivers (TRC, 2013) from Stark's classification (Stark, 1985 and Boothroyd and Stark, 2000) (Table 3). More 'sensitive' communities inhabit less polluted waterways. A difference of 10.83 units or more in MCI values is considered significantly different (Stark 1998).

Table 3 Macroinvertebrate health based on MCI ranges which has been adapted for Taranaki streams and rivers (TRC, 2015) from Stark's classification (Stark, 1985, Boothroyd and Stark, 2000, and Stark and Maxted, 2007)

TRC Grading	MCI	SQMCI _s
Excellent	>140	>7.00
Very Good	120-140	6.00-7.00
Good	100-119	5.00-5.99
Fair	80-99	4.00-4.99
Poor	60-79	3.00-3.99
Very Poor	<60	<3.00

MCI results can also reflect the effects of warm temperatures, slow current speeds and low dissolved oxygen levels, because the taxa capable of tolerating these conditions generally have low sensitivity scores. Usually more 'sensitive' communities (with higher MCI values) inhabit less polluted waterways. The use of this index in non-stony streams is possible if results are related to physical habitat (muddy or weedy streams tend to produce lower MCI values than stony streams).

A semi-quantitative MCI value (SQMCI_s) has also been calculated for the taxa present at each site by multiplying each taxon score by a loading factor (related to its abundance), totalling these products, and dividing by the sum of the loading factors (Stark, 1998 and 1999). The loading factors were 1 for rare (R), 5 for common (C), 20 for abundant (A), 100 for very abundant (VA) and 500 for extremely abundant (XA). Unlike the MCI, the SQMCI_s is not multiplied by a scaling factor of 20, therefore SQMCI_s values range from 1 to 10, while MCI values range from 20 to 200. A difference of 0.83 units or more in SQMCI_s values is considered significantly different (Stark 1998).

Results

Site habitat characteristics and hydrology

This spring survey was performed under moderate flow conditions (approximately three quarters of median flow), 12 days after a fresh in excess of 3 times median flow and 13 days after a fresh in excess of 7 times median flow (flow gauge at the Mangaoraka River at Corbett Rd). The survey followed a relatively wet spring period with several freshes recorded over the preceding month. Water temperature was 17.7°C at site 3 and 15.3°C at site 4. Site 3 had steady flow with uncoloured, clear water and site 4 had swift flow with uncoloured, clear water.

Substrate comprised predominantly silt at site 3, a change from the coarse gravel and cobble substrate that was present during the previous survey, and silt with coarse gravel at site 4. Both sites had patchy leaves and wood with overhanging vegetation partially shading the streambed. Site 3 had macrophytes on the edge of the streambed while site 4 had patchy leaves on the streambed. No obvious algal growth was noted at either site during this survey.

The stormwater discharge from the MASL plant flows into a small drain which itself flows into the eastern arm of a swampy area. The swamp forms the headwaters of a small stream in which sites 3 and 4 are located. A long culvert was laid in the stream between sites 3 and 4 prior to the November 2000 survey, with this pipe extended downstream between the February and December 2015 surveys (Figure 1). The culvert begins a few metres downstream of site 3 and ends at the point where site 4 was previously located. Site 4 was subsequently moved several metres downstream. The habitat at this location was similar to the habitat at the original site. There have also been changes in the headwaters of this unnamed tributary in relation to the construction of the bell block bypass.

It should be noted that the stream was culverted between sites 3 and 4 to allow the landowner to discharge cleanfill, in doing so, slowly filling in the gully. This site holds a consent to discharge from this cleanfill, and is monitored under a separate monitoring programme. However, activities at this cleanfill site have the potential to impact on the communities of this stream. Due to recent earthworks related to the infilling of the gully, a pond immediately above site 4 has formed which has slowed the flow rate at the site.

Macroinvertebrate communities

The results of the previous macroinvertebrate surveys performed at sites 3 and 4 in the Mangaone tributary that receives stormwater discharges from MASL are summarised in Table 4, together with the results of the current survey.

Table 4 Numbers of taxa and MCI values recorded in the unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream downstream of MASL, from November 1983 to April 2017 and the current survey

Site No.	N	No of taxa			MCI value			SQMCI's value		
		Median	Range	Current survey	Median	Range	Current survey	Median	Range	Current survey
3	61	17	6-26	14	78	52-94	90	4.4	1.7-4.9	4.2
4	52	19	6-30	18	78	70-90	76	4.2	1.5-4.9	3.9

Table 5 Macroinvertebrate fauna of a tributary of Mangaone Stream in relation to MASL sampled on 26 February 2018

Taxa List	Site Number	MCI score	3	4
	Site Code		MGO000031	MGO000033
	Sample Number		FWB17355	FWB17356
PLATYHELMINTHES (FLATWORMS)	<i>Cura</i>	3	-	R
NEMERTEA	Nemertea	3	-	R
ANNELIDA (WORMS)	Oligochaeta	1	A	A
HIRUDINEA (LEECHES)	Hirudinea	3	R	-
MOLLUSCA	<i>Potamopyrgus</i>	4	VA	XA
CRUSTACEA	Ostracoda	1	-	R
	Isopoda	5	R	-
	<i>Paracalliope</i>	5	C	C
	Paraleptamphopidae	5	VA	A
	Talitridae	5	-	R
ODONATA (DRAGONFLIES)	<i>Xanthocnemis</i>	4	-	R
HEMIPTERA (BUGS)	<i>Microvelia</i>	3	-	R
TRICHOPTERA (CADDISFLIES)	<i>Hydropsyche (Orthopsyche)</i>	9	R	-
	<i>Psilochorema</i>	6	R	R
	<i>Tripletides</i>	5	-	R
DIPTERA (TRUE FLIES)	Hexatomini	5	-	R
	<i>Limonia</i>	6	R	-
	<i>Harrisius</i>	6	R	-
	Orthoclaadiinae	2	C	A
	<i>Polypedilum</i>	3	R	C
	Tanypodinae	5	-	R
	<i>Austrosimulium</i>	3	R	R
ACARINA (MITES)	Acarina	5	R	R
No of taxa			14	18
MCI			90	76
SQMCIs			4.2	3.9
EPT (taxa)			2	2
%EPT (taxa)			14	11
'Tolerant' taxa		'Moderately sensitive' taxa	'Highly sensitive' taxa	

R = Rare C = Common A = Abundant VA = Very Abundant XA = Extremely Abundant




Figure 1 Biomonitoring sites in a tributary of the Mangaoone Stream related to the stormwater discharge from MASL

Site 3 (MGO000031)

A moderately low macroinvertebrate community richness of 14 taxa was found at site 3 at the time of this spring survey. This was three taxa lower than the historical median (17 taxa) for this site and one taxon higher than the previous survey on April 2017 (13 taxa) (Table 4 and Figure 2).

The MCI score of 90 units indicated a community of 'fair' biological health which was significantly higher (Stark, 1998) than the historical median MCI score (78 units) and was not significantly different to the preceding survey score (85 units) (Table 4 and Figure 2).

The SQMCI_s score of 4.2 units was not significantly different (Stark, 1998) to the historical median SQMCI_s score of 4.4 units and to the previous survey (4.8 units) (Stark, 1998) (Table 4).

The community was characterised by two 'tolerant' taxa [oligochaete worms and snail (*Potamopyrgus*)], and one 'moderately sensitive' taxon [amphipod (*Paraleptamphopidae*)], (Table 5).




Figure 2 Taxa numbers and MCI scores recorded to date at site 3

Site 4 (MGO000033)

A moderate macroinvertebrate community richness of 18 taxa was found at site 4 at the time of this survey. This was one taxon lower than the historical median for this site (19 taxa) and five taxa higher than the previous survey (13 taxa) (Table 4 and Figure 3).

The MCI score of 76 units indicated a community of 'poor' biological health which was not significantly different (Stark, 1998) to the historical median MCI score (78 units) and the preceding survey score (85 units) (Table 4 and Figure 3).

The SQMCI_s score of 3.9 units was not significantly different (Stark, 1998) to the historical median SQMCI_s score of 4.2 units or to the previous survey (4.2 units) (Stark, 1998) (Table 4).

The community was characterised by three 'tolerant' taxa [oligochaete worms, snail (*Potamopyrgus*), and orthoclad midges], and one 'moderately sensitive' taxon [amphipod (*Paraleptamphopidae*)], (Table 5).




Figure 3 Taxa numbers and MCI scores recorded to date at site 4

Long term trend in taxa numbers

Macroinvertebrate communities at site 3 (closer to the swampy headwaters and MASL stormwater discharge) have generally contained slightly lower numbers of taxa than the communities at site 4 (site 3 median = 17, site 4 median = 19). In the current survey taxa numbers differed by four taxa between sites and were slightly lower than historic medians (by 3 and 1 taxa respectively).




Figure 4 Number of taxa at sites 3 and 4 in an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream in relation to discharges from MASL

Long term trend in SQMCI_s values

SQMCI_s values from both sites have generally varied between 4 and 5 units in more recent surveys and this trend has continued for both sites with scores not significantly different from each other.




Figure 5 SQMCI_s values at sites 3 and 4 in an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream in relation to discharges from MASL

Discussion and conclusions

The Mangaone Stream sites had moderate to moderately low macroinvertebrate community richnesses. Taxa richnesses were lower than historical medians at both sites (3-1 taxa) but higher than the previous survey (1-5 taxa) at both sites. Though taxa richness was four higher in the upstream 'control' site than the downstream 'impact' site there was no indication of any recent toxic discharges affecting the

macroinvertebrate community. It would be expected that recent toxic discharges would decrease taxa richness by significantly more, potentially to zero taxa, though more likely some very hardy taxa would persist at low abundance levels. This was not the case in the current survey. Taxa richness is the most robust index when ascertaining whether a macroinvertebrate community has been exposed to toxic discharges. Macroinvertebrates when exposed to toxic chemicals may die or deliberately drift downstream thus potentially lowering taxa richness and abundances at a site.

MCI scores indicated that the macroinvertebrate community was in 'fair' health at the upstream site and 'poor' health at the downstream site. There was a significant downstream decrease between sites but no significant differences compared with the preceding survey in April 2017 and to historical medians for both sites. Furthermore, the main difference in MCI scores between the two sites was largely due to one very high scoring 'rare' taxon, *Hydropsyche*, at the upstream site which if not present would have reduced the upstream site's MCI score to 83.

The SQMCI₅ scores for both sites were very similar to each other and to their respective medians indicating 'fair' health at the upstream site and 'poor' health at the downstream site (Stark and Maxted, 2007). There was an increase in SQMCI₅ score from site 3 to site 4 but this was non-significant and the number of EPT taxa (mayflies, stoneflies and caddisflies) was identical between sites.

Though the MCI score suggested a decrease in macroinvertebrate health this was likely habitat related and taxa richness, SQMCI₅ score and EPT number all indicated no difference between the two sites.

Overall, these results indicate that the stormwater discharge from the MASL site had not had any impact on the macroinvertebrate communities present in this unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream.

Summary

The Council's standard 'kick-sampling' and 'sweep sampling' techniques were used at two established sites to collect streambed macroinvertebrates from an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream on 26 October 2017. Samples were sorted and identified to provide number of taxa (richness) and MCI and SQMCI₅ scores.

Taxa richness is the most robust index when ascertaining whether a macroinvertebrate community has been exposed to toxic discharges. The MCI is a measure of the overall sensitivity of the macroinvertebrate community to the effects of organic pollution in stony streams. It is based on the presence/absence of taxa with varying degrees of sensitivity to environmental conditions. The SQMCI₅ takes into account taxa abundance as well as sensitivity to pollution, and may reveal more subtle changes in communities, particularly if non-organic impacts are occurring, and may be more useful in soft-bottomed streams. Significant differences in either the MCI or the SQMCI₅ between sites may indicate the degree of adverse effects (if any) of the discharges being monitored.

The results of this biological survey indicated that the number of taxa recorded in the community a short distance downstream of the stormwater discharge from MASL was slightly lower than normal for the two sites but did not indicate that there had been any toxic discharges from stormwater discharges. MCI scores indicated that the macroinvertebrate community was in 'fair' health at the upstream site and 'poor' health at the downstream site with a significant difference between the two sites. However, there was no significant differences from the previous survey and to historic medians. Furthermore, SQMCI₅ scores and EPT number all indicated no difference between the two sites.

With the exception of the February 2006, April 2007 and March 2016 surveys, the SQMCI₅ values have been relatively high in the last 25 surveys. This was due mainly to the very high abundances of medium-scoring taxa, particularly 'moderately sensitive' amphipods (*Paracalliope* and *Paraleptamphopidae*). This trend was largely continued in the present survey at both sites with high numbers of *Paraleptamphopidae* recorded.

This macroinvertebrate survey indicated that the discharge of treated stormwater from the MASL site had not had any detrimental effect on the macroinvertebrate communities present in this unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream.

References

- Dunning KJ, 2002a: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals NZ Ltd plant, January 2002. TRC report KD100.
- Dunning KJ, 2002b: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals NZ Ltd plant, April 2002. TRC report KD121.
- Fowles CR and Colgan BC, 2004: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals NZ Ltd plant, January 2004. TRC report CF321.
- Fowles CR, and Dunning KJ, 2003: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals NZ Ltd plant, December 2002. TRC report CF277.
- Fowles CR, and Hope KJ, 2005a: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals NZ Ltd plant, December 2004. TRC report CF363.
- Fowles CR, and Hope KJ, 2005b: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals Pacific plant, February 2005. TRC report CF381.
- Fowles CR, and Jansma B, 2008a: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals NZ Pacific plant, December 2007. TRC CF460.
- Fowles CR, and Jansma B, 2008b: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals NZ Pacific plant, May 2008. TRC CF465.
- Fowles CR, and Moore, SC, 2004: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals NZ Pacific plant, March 2004. TRC report CF322.
- Hickey CW and Vickers ML, 1992: Comparison of the sensitivity to heavy metals and pentachlorophenol of the mayflies *Deleatidium* spp and the cladoceran *Daphnia magna*. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* 26: 87-93.
- Hope KJ, 2005: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals Pacific plant, October 2005. TRC report KH056.
- Hope, KJ and Jansma, B, 2006: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals Pacific plant, February 2006. TRC report KH086.
- Jansma B, 2007a: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals Pacific plant, January 2007. TRC report BJ026.
- Jansma B, 2007b: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals Pacific plant, April 2007. TRC report BJ028.
- Jansma B, 2009: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals Pacific plant, January 2009. TRC report BJ082.
- Jansma B, 2009: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals Pacific plant, March 2009. TRC Report BJ083.
- Jansma B, 2010: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals Pacific plant, January 2010. TRC report BJ116.
- Jansma B, 2011: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals Pacific plant, October 2010. TRC report BJ132.

- Jansma B, 2011: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals Pacific plant, February 2011. TRC report BJ133.
- Jansma B, 2012: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals Pacific plant, March 2012. BJ187.
- Jansma B, 2013: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals Pacific plant, November 2012. BJ222.
- Jansma B, 2013: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals Pacific plant, January 2013. BJ223.
- Jansma B, 2014a: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals Pacific plant, November 2013. BJ240.
- Jansma B, 2014b: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the McKechnie Aluminium Solutions Ltd plant, February 2014. TRC Report BJ241.
- Jansma B, 2015a: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the McKechnie Aluminium Solutions Ltd plant, October 2014. TRC Report BJ263.
- Jansma B, 2015b: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the McKechnie Aluminium Solutions Ltd plant, February 2015. TRC Report BJ264.
- Jansma B, 2016: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the McKechnie Aluminium Solutions Ltd plant, December 2015. TRC Report BJ281.
- McWilliam H, 1999a: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the McKechnie Metals NZ Ltd plant, November 1998. TRC report HM155.
- McWilliam H, 1999b: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the McKechnie Metals NZ Ltd plant, February 1999. TRC report HM182.
- McWilliam H, 2000a: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the McKechnie Metals NZ Ltd plant, November 1999. TRC report HM206.
- McWilliam H, 2000b: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the McKechnie Metals NZ Ltd plant, March 2000. TRC report HM218.
- McWilliam H, 2001a: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the McKechnie Metals NZ Ltd plant, November 2000. TRC report HM240.
- McWilliam H, 2001b: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the McKechnie Metals NZ Ltd plant, April 2001. TRC report HM249.
- Moore SC, 2003: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the McKechnie Metals NZ Ltd plant, February 2003. TRC report SM581.
- Stark JD, 1985: A macroinvertebrate community index of water quality for stony streams. *Water and Soil Miscellaneous Publication No. 87*.
- Stark JD, 1998: SQMCI: a biotic index for freshwater macroinvertebrate coded abundance data. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* 32(1): 55-66.
- Stark JD, 1999: An evaluation of Taranaki Regional Council's SQMCI biomonitoring index. Cawthron Institute, Nelson. Cawthron Report No. 472.
- Stark JD, Boothroyd IKG, Harding JS, Maxted JR, Scarsbrook MR, 2001: Protocols for sampling macroinvertebrates in wadeable streams. New Zealand Macroinvertebrate Working Group Report No. 1. Prepared for the Ministry for the Environment. Sustainable Management Fund Project No. 5103. 57p.

Stark, JD and Maxted, JR. 2007. A biotic index for New Zealand's soft-bottomed streams. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* 41: 43-61.

Sutherland DL, 2016: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the McKechnie Aluminium Solutions Ltd plant, March 2016. TRC Report DS054.

Sutherland DL, 2017: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the McKechnie Aluminium Solutions Ltd plant, February 2017. TRC Report DS064.

Sutherland DL, 2017: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the McKechnie Aluminium Solutions Ltd plant, April 2017. TRC Report DS074.

To Callum MacKenzie, Job Manager
From Darin Sutherland, Environmental Scientist
File 21-03-005-07/01
Report No DS093
Document No. 2077162
Date 25 June 2018

Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the McKechnie Aluminium Solutions Ltd plant, March 2018

Introduction

The macroinvertebrate communities of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the McKechnie Aluminium Solutions Ltd [MASL] plant have been monitored annually or biannually since November 1983. This biological survey was the second of two surveys scheduled in the 2017-2018 monitoring year. The results of surveys performed since the 1998-99 monitoring years are discussed in various Taranaki Regional Council reports (refer to the references).

Methods

On 2 March 2018 streambed macroinvertebrates were surveyed at two sites in the Mangaone Stream tributary (sites 3 and 4). Site 3 was collected using the kick-net-sampling' technique while a 'sweep-net-sampling' technique was used to collect streambed macroinvertebrates from site 4 (Table 1, Figure 1). These sampling techniques are very similar to Protocol C1 (hard-bottomed, semi-quantitative) (kick-sample) and Protocol C2 (soft-bottomed, semi-quantitative) (vegetation-sweep) of the New Zealand Macroinvertebrate Working Group (NZMWG) protocols for macroinvertebrate samples in wadeable streams (Stark et al, 2001).

Table 1 Biomonitoring sites in the tributary of the Mangaone Stream that receives stormwater discharges from MASL

Site No	Site code	Grid reference	Location
3	MGO000031	E1698576 N5677952	Below swamp (Sanger's intake)
4	MGO000033	E1698447 N5677770	400 metres downstream of site 3

Samples were preserved with Kahle's Fluid for later sorting and identification under a stereomicroscope according to Taranaki Regional Council methodology using protocol P1 of NZMWG protocols for sampling macroinvertebrates in wadeable streams (Stark et al. 2001). Macroinvertebrate taxa abundances scored based on the categories presented in Table 2.

Table 2 Macroinvertebrate abundance categories

Abundance category	Number of individuals
R (rare)	1-4
C (common)	5-19
A (abundant)	20-99
VA (very abundant)	100-499
XA (extremely abundant)	500+

Stark (1985) developed a scoring system for macroinvertebrate taxa according to their sensitivity to organic pollution in stony New Zealand streams. Highly 'sensitive' taxa were assigned the highest scores of 9 or 10, while the most 'tolerant' forms scored 1. Sensitivity scores for certain taxa have been modified in accordance with Taranaki experience. Averaging the scores assigned to the taxa found at a site, and multiplying the average by a scaling factor of 20 produces a Macroinvertebrate Community Index (MCI) value. The MCI is a measure of the overall sensitivity of macroinvertebrate communities to the effects of organic pollution. A gradation of biological water quality conditions based upon MCI ranges which has been adapted for Taranaki streams and rivers (TRC, 2013) from Stark's classification (Stark, 1985 and Boothroyd and Stark, 2000) (Table 3). More 'sensitive' communities inhabit less polluted waterways. A difference of 10.83 units or more in MCI values is considered significantly different (Stark 1998).

Table 3 Macroinvertebrate health based on MCI ranges which has been adapted for Taranaki streams and rivers (TRC, 2015) from Stark's classification (Stark, 1985, Boothroyd and Stark, 2000, and Stark and Maxted, 2007)

Grading	MCI	SQMCI _s
Excellent	>140	>7.0
Very Good	120-140	6.0-7.0
Good	100-119	5.0-5.9
Fair	80-99	4.0-4.9
Poor	60-79	3.0-3.9
Very Poor	<60	<3.0

MCI results can also reflect the effects of warm temperatures, slow current speeds and low dissolved oxygen levels, because the taxa capable of tolerating these conditions generally have low sensitivity scores. Usually more 'sensitive' communities (with higher MCI values) inhabit less polluted waterways. The use of this index in non-stony streams is possible if results are related to physical habitat (muddy or weedy streams tend to produce lower MCI values than stony streams).

A semi-quantitative MCI value (SQMCI_s) has also been calculated for the taxa present at each site by multiplying each taxon score by a loading factor (related to its abundance), totalling these products, and dividing by the sum of the loading factors (Stark, 1998 and 1999). The loading factors were 1 for rare (R), 5 for common (C), 20 for abundant (A), 100 for very abundant (VA) and 500 for extremely abundant (XA). Unlike the MCI, the SQMCI_s is not multiplied by a scaling factor of 20, therefore SQMCI_s values range from 1 to 10, while MCI values range from 20 to 200. A difference of 0.83 units or more in SQMCI_s values is considered significantly different (Stark 1998).

Results

Site habitat characteristics and hydrology

This summer survey was performed under low flow conditions (approximately half of median flow), ten days after a fresh in excess of 3 times median flow and 114 days after a fresh in excess of 7 times median flow (flow gauge at the Mangaoraka River at Corbett Rd). The survey followed a relatively dry period with only one significant fresh recorded over the preceding month. Water temperature was 18.0°C at site 3 and 17.1°C at site 4. Site 3 had slow flow with uncoloured, clear water and site 4 had slow flow with grey, cloudy water.

Substrate comprised predominantly silt, sand and gravel at site 3 and only silt at site 4. Both sites had widespread leaves and patchy wood. Site 4 also had macrophytes on the edge of the streambed. Both sites had overhanging vegetation which completely shaded the streambed at site 3 and partially shaded the streambed at site 4. No obvious algal growth was noted at either site during this survey.

The stormwater discharge from the MASL plant flows into a small drain which itself flows into the eastern arm of a swampy area. The swamp forms the headwaters of a small stream in which sites 3 and 4 are located. A long culvert was laid in the stream between sites 3 and 4 prior to the November 2000 survey, with this pipe extended downstream between the February and December 2015 surveys (Figure 1). The culvert begins a few metres downstream of site 3 and ends at the point where site 4 was previously located. Site 4 was subsequently moved several metres downstream. The habitat at this location was similar to the habitat at the original site. There have also been changes in the headwaters of this unnamed tributary in relation to the construction of the bell block bypass.

The stream was culverted between sites 3 and 4 to allow the landowner to discharge cleanfill, in doing so, slowly filling in the gully. This site holds a consent to discharge from this cleanfill, and is monitored under a separate monitoring programme. However, activities at this cleanfill site have the potential to impact on the communities of this stream. Due to recent earthworks related to the infilling of the gully, a pond immediately above site 4 has formed which has slowed the flow rate at the site.

Macroinvertebrate communities

The results of the previous macroinvertebrate surveys performed at sites 3 and 4 in the Mangaone tributary that receives stormwater discharges from MASL are summarised in Table 4, together with the results of the current survey.

Table 4 Numbers of taxa and MCI values recorded in the unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream downstream of MASL, from November 1983 to October 2017 and the current survey

Site No.	N	No of taxa			MCI value			SQMCIs value		
		Median	Range	Current survey	Median	Range	Current survey	Median	Range	Current survey
3	62	17	6-26	11	78	52-94	91	4.3	1.7-4.9	4.5
4	53	19	6-30	14	78	70-90	80	4.2	1.5-4.9	4.2

Table 5 Macroinvertebrate fauna of a tributary of Mangaone Stream in relation to MASL sampled on 2 March 2018

Taxa List	Site Number	MCI score	3	4
	Site Code		MGO000031	MGO000033
	Sample Number		FWB18125	FWB18126
PLATYHELMINTHES (FLATWORMS)	<i>Cura</i>	3	-	R
ANNELIDA (WORMS)	Oligochaeta	1	C	C
	Lumbricidae	5	R	-
MOLLUSCA	<i>Potamopyrgus</i>	4	VA	XA
CRUSTACEA	Ostracoda	1	R	-
	Isopoda	5	-	R
	<i>Paracalliope</i>	5	A	VA
	Paraleptamphopidae	5	VA	A
EPHEMEROPTERA (MAYFLIES)	<i>Zephlebia group</i>	7	C	-
COLEOPTERA (BEETLES)	Hydrophilidae	5	R	-
TRICHOPTERA (CADDISFLIES)	Ecnomidae/Psychomyiidae	6	R	-
	<i>Polypsectropus</i>	6	-	R
	<i>Psilochorema</i>	6	R	-
	<i>Triplectides</i>	5	R	A
	Hexatomi	5	-	R
DIPTERA (TRUE FLIES)	<i>Zelandotipula</i>	6	-	R
	Orthoclaadiinae	2	-	R
	Tanypodinae	5	-	R
	Psychodidae	1	-	R
	Sciomyzidae	3	-	R
No of taxa			11	14
MCI			91	80
SQMCIs			4.5	4.2
EPT (taxa)			4	2
%EPT (taxa)			36	14
'Tolerant' taxa		'Moderately sensitive' taxa	'Highly sensitive' taxa	

R = Rare C = Common A = Abundant VA = Very Abundant XA = Extremely Abundant




Figure 1 Biomonitoring sites in a tributary of the Mangaone Stream related to the stormwater discharge from MASL

Site 3 (MGO000031)

A moderately low macroinvertebrate community richness of 11 taxa was found at site 3 at the time of this summer survey. This was six taxa lower than the historical median (17 taxa) for this site and two taxa lower than the previous survey on October 2018 (14 taxa) (Table 4 and Figure 2).

The MCI score of 91 units indicated a community of 'fair' biological health and was significantly higher (Stark, 1998) than the historical median MCI score (78 units) and not significantly different to the preceding survey score (90 units) (Table 4 and Figure 2).

The SQMCI_s score of 4.5 units was not significantly different (Stark, 1998) to the historical median SQMCI_s score of 4.3 units and to the previous survey (4.2 units) (Stark, 1998) (Table 4).

The community was characterised by one 'tolerant' taxon [snail (*Potamopyrgus*)], and two 'moderately sensitive' taxa [amphipod (*Paracalliope* and *Paraleptamphopidae*)], (Table 5).




Figure 2 Taxa numbers and MCI scores recorded to date at site 3
Site 4 (MGO000033)

A moderately low macroinvertebrate community richness of 14 taxa was found at site 4 at the time of this survey. This was five taxa lower than the historical median for this site (19 taxa) and four taxa lower than the previous survey (18 taxa) (Table 4 and Figure 3).

The MCI score of 80 units indicated a community of 'fair' biological health which was not significantly different (Stark, 1998) to the historical median MCI score (78 units) and the preceding survey score (90 units) (Table 4 and Figure 3).

The SQMCI₅ score of 4.2 units was the same as the historical median SQMCI₅ score of 4.2 units and not significantly different to the previous survey (3.9 units) (Stark, 1998) (Table 4).

The community was characterised by one 'tolerant' taxon [snail (*Potamopyrgus*)] and three 'moderately sensitive' taxa [amphipods (*Paracalliope* and *Paraleptamphopidae*)] and caddisfly (*Triplectides*), (Table 5).




Figure 3 Taxa numbers and MCI scores recorded to date at site 4

Long term trend in taxa numbers

Macroinvertebrate communities at site 3 (closer to the swampy headwaters and MASL stormwater discharge) have generally contained slightly lower numbers of taxa than the communities at site 4 (site 3 median = 17, site 4 median = 19). In the current survey taxa numbers differed by three taxa between sites and were lower than historic medians (by 6 and 5 taxa respectively).




Figure 4 Number of taxa at sites 3 and 4 in an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream in relation to discharges from MASL

Long term trend in SQMCI_s values

SQMCI_s values from both sites have generally varied between 4 and 5 units in more recent surveys and this trend has continued for both sites with scores not significantly different (Stark, 1998) from each other or historic medians.




Figure 5 SQMCI_s values at sites 3 and 4 in an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream in relation to discharges from MASL

Discussion and conclusions

The Mangaone Stream sites had moderately low macroinvertebrate community richnesses. Taxa richnesses were lower than historical medians at both sites (by 5-6 taxa) and to the previous survey (by 3-4 taxa) but there was no indication of any recent toxic discharges affecting the macroinvertebrate community. It would be expected that recent toxic discharges would decrease taxa richness by significantly more, potentially to zero taxa, though more likely some very hardy taxa would persist at low abundance levels. This was not the case in the current survey. Taxa richness is the most robust index when ascertaining whether a macroinvertebrate community has been exposed to toxic discharges. Macroinvertebrates when exposed to toxic chemicals may die or deliberately drift downstream thus potentially lowering taxa richness and abundances at a site.

MCI scores indicated that the macroinvertebrate community was in 'fair' health at both sites though the lower site had a significantly lower score than the upper site. This appears to be due largely to the upper site having a significantly higher score than normal with the lower site having a score that was typical for the site and changes in sampling methodology. Currently, the upper site has better habitat quality than the lower site due to operations associated with the stream culverting negatively impacting the lower site.

The SQMCI₅ scores for both sites were very similar to each other and to their respective medians indicating 'fair' health at both sites (Stark and Maxted, 2007). There was a slight non-significant decrease in SQMCI₅ score from site 3 to site 4. The number of EPT taxa (mayflies, caddisflies and stoneflies) differed by only two taxa between sites though due to the low taxa number this constituted a 22% difference.

Overall, these results indicate that the stormwater discharge from the MASL site had not had any impact on the macroinvertebrate communities present in this unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream.

Summary

The Council's standard 'kick-sampling' and 'sweep sampling' techniques were used at two established sites to collect streambed macroinvertebrates from an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream on 2 March 2018. Samples were sorted and identified to provide number of taxa (richness) and MCI and SQMCI₅ scores.

Taxa richness is the most robust index when ascertaining whether a macroinvertebrate community has been exposed to toxic discharges. The MCI is a measure of the overall sensitivity of the macroinvertebrate community to the effects of organic pollution in stony streams. It is based on the presence/absence of taxa with varying degrees of sensitivity to environmental conditions. The SQMCI₅ takes into account taxa abundance as well as sensitivity to pollution, and may reveal more subtle changes in communities, particularly if non-organic impacts are occurring, and may be more useful in soft-bottomed streams. Significant differences in either the MCI or the SQMCI₅ between sites may indicate the degree of adverse effects (if any) of the discharges being monitored.

The results of this biological survey indicated that the number of taxa recorded in the community a short distance downstream of the stormwater discharge from MASL was slightly lower than normal for the two sites but did not indicate that there had been any toxic discharges from stormwater discharges. MCI scores indicated that the macroinvertebrate community was in 'fair' health with a significant difference between the two sites, associated with habitat quality and changes in sampling methodology. There was no significant difference from the previous survey and the upper site had a score that was significantly higher than its historic median, suggesting better than normal preceding water quality at the site. SQMCI₅ scores also indicated 'fair' health and were typical for both sites.

With the exception of the February 2006, April 2007 and March 2016 surveys, the SQMCI₅ values have been relatively high in the last 25 surveys. This was due mainly to the very high abundances of medium-scoring taxa, particularly 'moderately sensitive' amphipods (*Paracalliope* and *Paraleptamphopidae*). This trend was

largely continued in the present survey with high numbers of Paraleptamphopidae recorded at the upper site and high numbers of *Paracalliope* recorded at the lower site.

This macroinvertebrate survey indicated that the discharge of treated stormwater from the MASL site had not had any detrimental effect on the macroinvertebrate communities present in this unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream.

References

- Dunning KJ, 2002a: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals NZ Ltd plant, January 2002. TRC report KD100.
- Dunning KJ, 2002b: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals NZ Ltd plant, April 2002. TRC report KD121.
- Fowles CR and Colgan BC, 2004: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals NZ Ltd plant, January 2004. TRC report CF321.
- Fowles CR, and Dunning KJ, 2003: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals NZ Ltd plant, December 2002. TRC report CF277.
- Fowles CR, and Hope KJ, 2005a: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals NZ Ltd plant, December 2004. TRC report CF363.
- Fowles CR, and Hope KJ, 2005b: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals Pacific plant, February 2005. TRC report CF381.
- Fowles CR, and Jansma B, 2008a: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals NZ Pacific plant, December 2007. TRC CF460.
- Fowles CR, and Jansma B, 2008b: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals NZ Pacific plant, May 2008. TRC CF465.
- Fowles CR, and Moore, SC, 2004: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals NZ Pacific plant, March 2004. TRC report CF322.
- Hickey CW and Vickers ML, 1992: Comparison of the sensitivity to heavy metals and pentachlorophenol of the mayflies *Deleatidium* spp and the cladoceran *Daphnia magna*. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* 26: 87-93.
- Hope KJ, 2005: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals Pacific plant, October 2005. TRC report KH056.
- Hope, KJ and Jansma, B, 2006: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals Pacific plant, February 2006. TRC report KH086.
- Jansma B, 2007a: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals Pacific plant, January 2007. TRC report BJ026.
- Jansma B, 2007b: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals Pacific plant, April 2007. TRC report BJ028.
- Jansma B, 2009: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals Pacific plant, January 2009. TRC report BJ082.
- Jansma B, 2009: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals Pacific plant, March 2009. TRC Report BJ083.
- Jansma B, 2010: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals Pacific plant, January 2010. TRC report BJ116.

- Jansma B, 2011: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals Pacific plant, October 2010. TRC report BJ132.
- Jansma B, 2011: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals Pacific plant, February 2011. TRC report BJ133.
- Jansma B, 2012: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals Pacific plant, March 2012. BJ187.
- Jansma B, 2013: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals Pacific plant, November 2012. BJ222.
- Jansma B, 2013: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals Pacific plant, January 2013. BJ223.
- Jansma B, 2014a: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the MCK Metals Pacific plant, November 2013. BJ240.
- Jansma B, 2014b: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the McKechnie Aluminium Solutions Ltd plant, February 2014. TRC Report BJ241.
- Jansma B, 2015a: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the McKechnie Aluminium Solutions Ltd plant, October 2014. TRC Report BJ263.
- Jansma B, 2015b: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the McKechnie Aluminium Solutions Ltd plant, February 2015. TRC Report BJ264.
- Jansma B, 2016: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the McKechnie Aluminium Solutions Ltd plant, December 2015. TRC Report BJ281.
- McWilliam H, 1999a: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the McKechnie Metals NZ Ltd plant, November 1998. TRC report HM155.
- McWilliam H, 1999b: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the McKechnie Metals NZ Ltd plant, February 1999. TRC report HM182.
- McWilliam H, 2000a: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the McKechnie Metals NZ Ltd plant, November 1999. TRC report HM206.
- McWilliam H, 2000b: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the McKechnie Metals NZ Ltd plant, March 2000. TRC report HM218.
- McWilliam H, 2001a: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the McKechnie Metals NZ Ltd plant, November 2000. TRC report HM240.
- McWilliam H, 2001b: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the McKechnie Metals NZ Ltd plant, April 2001. TRC report HM249.
- Moore SC, 2003: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the McKechnie Metals NZ Ltd plant, February 2003. TRC report SM581.
- Stark JD, 1985: A macroinvertebrate community index of water quality for stony streams. *Water and Soil Miscellaneous Publication No. 87*.
- Stark JD, 1998: SQMCI: a biotic index for freshwater macroinvertebrate coded abundance data. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* 32(1): 55-66.
- Stark JD, 1999: An evaluation of Taranaki Regional Council's SQMCI biomonitoring index. Cawthron Institute, Nelson. Cawthron Report No. 472.

- Stark JD, Boothroyd IKG, Harding JS, Maxted JR, Scarsbrook MR, 2001: Protocols for sampling macroinvertebrates in wadeable streams. New Zealand Macroinvertebrate Working Group Report No. 1. Prepared for the Ministry for the Environment. Sustainable Management Fund Project No. 5103. 57p.
- Stark, JD and Maxted, JR. 2007. A biotic index for New Zealand's soft-bottomed streams. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* 41: 43-61.
- Sutherland DL, 2016: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the McKechnie Aluminium Solutions Ltd plant, March 2016. TRC Report DS054.
- Sutherland DL, 2017: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the McKechnie Aluminium Solutions Ltd plant, February 2017. TRC Report DS064.
- Sutherland DL, 2017: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the McKechnie Aluminium Solutions Ltd plant, April 2017. TRC Report DS074.
- Sutherland DL, 2017: Biomonitoring of an unnamed tributary of the Mangaone Stream below the McKechnie Aluminium Solutions Ltd plant, October 2017. TRC Report DS081.