

Trustpower Limited  
Mangorei Hydroelectric Power Scheme  
Monitoring Programme  
Annual Report  
2015-2016

Technical Report 2016-05

ISSN: 1178-1467 (Online)  
Document: 1727746 (Word)  
Document: 1744463 (Pdf)

Taranaki Regional Council  
Private Bag 713  
STRATFORD

November 2016



## Executive summary

Trustpower Limited (the Company) operates the Mangorei Hydroelectric Power Scheme (HEP) scheme in the Waiwhakaiho River catchment to the south of New Plymouth. Trustpower diverts water from the Waiwhakaiho River into Lake Mangamahoe, from where it is directed through penstocks through to the Mangorei Power Station, located on Hydro Rd. The water is returned to the Waiwhakaiho River at the Meeting of the Waters, six kilometres downstream of the original diversion. This report for the period July 2015 to June 2016 describes the monitoring programme implemented by the Taranaki Regional Council (the Council) to assess the Company's environmental performance during the period under review. The report also details the results of the monitoring undertaken and assesses the environmental effects of the Company's activities.

The Company holds seven resource consents, which include a total of 35 conditions setting out the requirements that the Company must satisfy. The Company holds three consents to allow it to divert, use and discharge water and four consents for various structures, including to dam the Mangamahoe Stream, the Waiwhakaiho River intake weir and an access culvert related to this site.

**During the monitoring period, Trustpower Ltd demonstrated an overall high level of environmental performance, in relation to the Mangorei HEPS .**

The Council's monitoring programme for the year under review included 12 hydrological inspections, which included a gauging of the residual flow on each occasion, the auditing of data provided by the Company and water temperature monitoring of the Waiwhakaiho River.

Gauging of the residual flow recorded a compliant flow on all occasions. The inspections undertaken in conjunction with the gaugings took note of on site activities, including maintenance of the fish pass and management of an access culvert. During these inspections all aspects of the scheme appeared in good order, with maintenance of the fish pass performed as soon as practicable following a fresh. Data provided by the Council showed good compliance with lake level restrictions, residual flow requirements and the requirement to generate at least 950 L/s during the day. The Company is yet to exercise the variation to their abstraction consent, which allowed for the abstraction of flood flows up to a river flow of 85 cumecs. A discussion between Trustpower and the Council clarified the requirements of residual flow data provision, and the purpose of the frequent gaugings the Council undertakes, and this has been detailed within this report.

A relatively high number of elvers was transferred, being the highest since the 2008-2009 year, indicative of an improved season for elver migration. Downstream migratory adult eel passage was also provided by the Company, with manual trapping and transfer of a number of migrant eels on several occasions after river fresh events mainly in autumn 2016.

Water temperatures in the lower river have not increased significantly, nor reached excessive levels, principally because of the increased spread of power generation releases during daylight hours, a condition of consent.

Although the water temperature in the lower river has warmed very slightly over the sixteen year period since the increased summer residual flow was implemented, this appears to be due to climatic changes, as a similar trend is apparent upstream of the scheme.

During the year, the Company demonstrated a high level of environmental and administrative performance with the resource consents related to the Mangorei HEPS. There were no unauthorised incidents recorded in respect of this HEPS during the period under review.

For reference, in the 2015-2016 year, 71% of consent holders in Taranaki monitored through tailored compliance monitoring programmes achieved a high level of environmental performance and compliance with their consents, while another 24% demonstrated a good level of environmental performance and compliance with their consents.

In terms of overall environmental and compliance performance by the consent holder over the last several years, this report shows that the consent holder's performance *remains at a high level*.

This report includes recommendations for the 2016-2017 year, including the expansion of the monitoring programme to include a biological component.

## Table of contents

	<b>Page</b>
1. Introduction	1
1.1 Compliance monitoring programme reports and the Resource Management Act 1991	1
1.1.1 Introduction	1
1.1.2 Structure of this report	1
1.1.3 The Resource Management Act 1991 and monitoring	2
1.1.4 Evaluation of environmental and administrative performance	2
1.2 Process description	4
1.3 Resource consents	6
1.3.1 Water abstraction permit	6
1.3.2 Water discharge permit	7
1.3.3 Land use permits	7
1.4 Monitoring programme	8
1.4.1 Introduction	8
1.4.2 Programme liaison and management	9
1.4.3 Site inspections	9
1.4.4 Data audit	9
1.4.5 Water temperature monitoring	9
1.4.6 Biological inspection and surveys	10
2. Results	11
2.1 Water	11
2.1.1 Hydrological Inspections	11
2.1.2 Provision of consent holder data	11
2.1.3 Results of receiving environment monitoring	17
2.2 Investigations, interventions, and incidents	27
2.3 Riparian planting	29
2.4 Stakeholders' meeting	29
1.1 Lake Mangamahoe bathymetry and river sediment monitoring	29
3. Discussion	30
3.1 Discussion of site performance	30
3.2 Environmental effects of exercise of consents	31
3.3 Evaluation of performance	32
3.4 Recommendations from the 2014-2015 Annual Report	35
3.5 Alterations to monitoring programmes for 2016-2017	35
4. Recommendations	37
Glossary of common terms and abbreviations	38
Bibliography and references	39

Appendix I Resource consents held by Trustpower Ltd in relation to the Mangorei HEPS

## List of tables

<b>Table 1</b>	Water temperature monitoring sites in the Waiwhakaiho River	9
<b>Table 2</b>	Results of gaugings undertaken in relation to the Mangorei HEPS , 2015-2016.	11
<b>Table 3</b>	The results of spot calibration checks made of the Trustpower flow recorder located downstream of the intake weir.	13
<b>Table 4</b>	Summary of maximum daily water temperatures in the Waiwhakaiho River at three monitored locations, between 1 December and 31 March.	21
<b>Table 5</b>	Waiwhakaiho River water temperature data at three sites prior to, and after, the requirement for the 700 L/s summer (January - March) residual flow	23
<b>Table 6</b>	Numbers of adult eels transferred at the Mangorei HEPS since 2009	25
<b>Table 7</b>	Estimated numbers of elvers trapped and transferred at the Mangorei HEPS powerhouse in the monitoring years to date	26
<b>Table 8</b>	Numbers of elvers transferred during the 2015-2016 period	27
<b>Table 9</b>	Summary of performance for consent 2053-3	32
<b>Table 10</b>	Summary of performance for consent 2054-3	32
<b>Table 11</b>	Summary of performance for consent 2056-3	33
<b>Table 12</b>	Summary of performance for consent 4886-1	33
<b>Table 13</b>	Summary of performance for consent 4887-1	33
<b>Table 14</b>	Summary of performance for consent 4888-1	34
<b>Table 15</b>	Summary of performance for consent 6810-1	34

## List of figures

<b>Figure 1</b>	Lake Mangamahoe environs showing the Waiwhakaiho diversion, community water supply, and Trustpower Ltd hydroelectric power generating system	5
<b>Figure 2</b>	Water level data recorded by Trustpower, downstream of the intake weir, Waiwhakaiho River	12
<b>Figure 3</b>	Water level downstream of the intake weir, compared with indicative water level required to ensure residual flow compliance.	14
<b>Figure 4</b>	Lake Mangamahoe water level as recorded by Trustpower (2015-2016)	15
<b>Figure 5</b>	Exceedance time (%) for generation at the Mangorei Power house, 1 July 2015 - 1 July 2016, 9am to 5pm only.	15
<b>Figure 6</b>	Flow variation in the Waiwhakaiho River at Rimu Street as a result of generation at the Mangorei Power Station.	16
<b>Figure 7</b>	Water temperature monitoring sites (W1, W5, W7) in the lower Waiwhakaiho River catchment	18
<b>Figure 8</b>	Waiwhakaiho River water temperature data collected at Egmont Village, Hydro Road and Rimu Street	19

<b>Figure 9</b>	Average daily water temperature at three sites in the Waiwhakaiho River for the monitoring period, compared with historical data	20
<b>Figure 10</b>	Exceedance time for temperature at Egmont Village (A), Hydro Road (B) and Rimu Street (C) for the months of January to February 2015-2016 (blue), compared with historical data post 1997 (red)	21
<b>Figure 11</b>	Monthly average water temperature differences between three sites monitored in the Waiwhakaiho River, compared with residual flow requirements.	22
<b>Figure 12</b>	The distribution of maximum daily temperature differences (Hydro Road minus Egmont Village), displayed as a percentage of total days monitored	23
<b>Figure 13</b>	Difference in water temperature compared with flow in the Waiwhakaiho River	24





# **1. Introduction**

## **1.1 Compliance monitoring programme reports and the Resource Management Act 1991**

### **1.1.1 Introduction**

This report is for the period July 2015 to June 2016 by the Taranaki Regional Council (the Council) on the monitoring programme associated with resource consents held by Trustpower Limited (the Company) in relation the Mangorei Hydro Electric Power scheme(HEPS). The scheme diverts water from the Waiwhakaiho River to Lake Mangamahoe, and then on to the Mangorei Power Station, located on Hydro Road.

The report includes the results and findings of the monitoring programme implemented by the Council in respect of the consents held by the Company that relate to diversions of water and related in-stream structures within the Waiwhakaiho catchment.

One of the intents of the *Resource Management Act 1991* (RMA) is that environmental management should be integrated across all media, so that a consent holder's use of water, air, and land should be considered from a single comprehensive environmental perspective. Accordingly, the Council generally implements integrated environmental monitoring programmes and reports the results of the programmes jointly. This report discusses the environmental effects of the Company's use of water and land, and is the 20th combined annual report by the Council for the Company.

### **1.1.2 Structure of this report**

Section 1 of this report is a background section. It sets out general information about:

- consent compliance monitoring under the RMA and the Council's obligations;
- the Council's approach to monitoring sites through annual programmes;
- the resource consents held by the Company in the Waiwhakaiho catchment;
- the nature of the monitoring programme in place for the period under review; and
- a description of the activities and operations conducted by the Company.

Section 2 presents the results of monitoring during the period under review, including scientific and technical data.

Section 3 discusses the results, their interpretations, and their significance for the environment.

Section 4 presents recommendations to be implemented in the 2016-2017 monitoring year.

A glossary of common abbreviations and scientific terms, and a bibliography, are presented at the end of the report.

### 1.1.3 The Resource Management Act 1991 and monitoring

The RMA primarily addresses environmental ‘effects’ which are defined as positive or adverse, temporary or permanent, past, present or future, or cumulative. Effects may arise in relation to:

- (a) the neighbourhood or the wider community around an activity, and may include cultural and social-economic effects;
- (b) physical effects on the locality, including landscape, amenity and visual effects;
- (c) ecosystems, including effects on plants, animals, or habitats, whether aquatic or terrestrial;
- (d) natural and physical resources having special significance (for example recreational, cultural, or aesthetic); and
- (e) risks to the neighbourhood or environment.

In drafting and reviewing conditions on discharge permits, and in implementing monitoring programmes, the Council is recognising the comprehensive meaning of ‘effects’ inasmuch as is appropriate for each activity. Monitoring programmes are not only based on existing permit conditions, but also on the obligations of the RMA to assess the effects of the exercise of consents. In accordance with Section 35 of the RMA, the Council undertakes compliance monitoring for consents and rules in regional plans, and maintains an overview of the performance of resource users and consent holders. Compliance monitoring, including both activity and impact monitoring, enables the Council to continually re-evaluate its approach and that of consent holders to resource management and, ultimately, through the refinement of methods and considered responsible resource utilisation, to move closer to achieving sustainable development of the region’s resources.

### 1.1.4 Evaluation of environmental and administrative performance

Besides discussing the various details of the performance and extent of compliance by the Company, this report also assigns them a rating for their environmental and administrative performance during the period under review.

**Environmental performance** is concerned with actual or likely effects on the receiving environment from the activities during the monitoring year. **Administrative performance** is concerned with the Company’s approach to demonstrating consent compliance in site operations and management including the timely provision of information to Council (such as contingency plans and water take data) in accordance with consent conditions.

Events that were beyond the control of the consent holder and unforeseeable (that is a defence under the provisions of the RMA can be established) may be excluded with regard to the performance rating applied. For example loss of data due to a flood destroying deployed field equipment.

The categories used by the Council for this monitoring period, and their interpretation, are as follows:

### Environmental Performance

- **High:** No or inconsequential (short-term duration, less than minor in severity) breaches of consent or regional plan parameters resulting from the activity; no adverse effects of significance noted or likely in the receiving environment. The Council did not record any verified unauthorised incidents involving significant environmental impacts and was not obliged to issue any abatement notices or infringement notices in relation to such impacts.
- **Good:** Likely or actual adverse effects of activities on the receiving environment were negligible or minor at most. There were some such issues noted during monitoring, from self reports, or in response to unauthorised incident reports, but these items were not critical, and follow-up inspections showed they have been dealt with. These minor issues were resolved positively, co-operatively, and quickly. The Council was not obliged to issue any abatement notices or infringement notices in relation to the minor non-compliant effects; however abatement notices may have been issued to mitigate an identified potential for an environmental effect to occur.

For example:

- High suspended solid values recorded in discharge samples, however the discharge was to land or to receiving waters that were in high flow at the time;
- Strong odour beyond boundary but no residential properties or other recipient nearby.
- **Improvement required:** Likely or actual adverse effects of activities on the receiving environment were more than minor, but not substantial. There were some issues noted during monitoring, from self reports, or in response to unauthorised incident reports. Cumulative adverse effects of a persistent minor non-compliant activity could elevate a minor issue to this level. Abatement notices and infringement notices may have been issued in respect of effects.
- **Poor:** Likely or actual adverse effects of activities on the receiving environment were significant. There were some items noted during monitoring, from self reports, or in response to unauthorised incident reports. Cumulative adverse effects of a persistent moderate non-compliant activity could elevate an 'improvement required' issue to this level. Typically there were grounds for either a prosecution or an infringement notice in respect of effects.

### Administrative performance

- **High:** The administrative requirements of the resource consents were met, or any failure to do this had trivial consequences and were addressed promptly and co-operatively.

- **Good:** Perhaps some administrative requirements of the resource consents were not met at a particular time, however this was addressed without repeated interventions from the Council staff. Alternatively adequate reason was provided for matters such as the no or late provision of information, interpretation of 'best practical option' for avoiding potential effects, etc.
- **Improvement required:** Repeated interventions to meet the administrative requirements of the resource consents were made by Council staff. These matters took some time to resolve, or remained unresolved at the end of the period under review. The Council may have issued an abatement notice to attain compliance.
- **Poor:** Material failings to meet the administrative requirements of the resource consents. Significant intervention by the Council was required. Typically there were grounds for an infringement notice.


For reference, in the 2015-2016 year, 71% of consent holders in Taranaki monitored through tailored compliance monitoring programmes achieved a high level of environmental performance and compliance with their consents, while another 24% demonstrated a good level of environmental performance and compliance with their consents

## 1.2 Process description

The Mangorei HEPS diverts water from the Waiwhakaiho River to Lake Mangamahoe via an intake weir and tunnel (Figure 1). Water is taken from the lake for the Mangorei Power Station, and returned to the Waiwhakaiho River approximately six kilometres downstream of the intake weir. The New Plymouth Water Treatment Plant also takes water from Lake Mangamahoe for the New Plymouth and Waitara water supplies.

When the Mangorei HEPS consents were renewed in 1996, the main areas of concern related to the flow in the reach between the intake weir and the Meeting of the Waters, known as the residual flow reach, and fish passage at the intake weir. These concerns were addressed by specifying a residual flow regime, with flows ranging from 400 L/sec to 700 L/sec depending on the time of year, and by requiring modifications to the fish pass, to ensure trout and native fish species were able to migrate upstream past the weir.

Historical points of note are discussed in previous reports, listed in the bibliography and references section.



**Figure 1** Lake Mangamahoe environs showing the Waiwhakaiho diversion, community water supply, and Trustpower Ltd hydroelectric power generating system

## 1.3 Resource consents

### 1.3.1 Water abstraction permit

Section 14 of the RMA stipulates that no person may take, use, dam or divert any water, unless the activity is expressly allowed for by a resource consent or a rule in a regional plan, or it falls within some particular categories set out in Section 14.

The Company holds water permit **2053-3** to cover the diversion of up to 10 cumecs of water from the Waiwhakaiho River, through Lake Mangamahoe and back to the Waiwhakaiho River through the Mangorei Power Station, for hydroelectric power generation. This permit was originally issued by the Council on 4 September 1996 under Section 87(d) of the RMA. A variation to this consent, to permit an increased rate of diversion from 7 cumecs to 10 cumecs, for the purposes of harvesting flood flows in the river, was granted on 19 April 2013, and it is due to expire on 1 June 2021.

Condition 1 requires a continuous generation flow of at least 950 L/sec during the day (8am to 6pm), and condition 2 specifies the different residual flows required at different times of the year.

Condition 3 prevents the diversion of water when flow in the Waiwhakaiho River is greater than or equal to 85 cumecs.

Condition 4 requires the consent holder to measure and record the residual flow downstream of the weir, and to provide the records to the Council.

Condition 5 requires the consent holder to maintain the river channel below the diversion weir to the Meeting of the Waters for the purpose of enhancing fish passage and habitat. As the Company does not hold a separate resource consent for this activity, it is important that such works comply with the permitted activity rules of the Regional Freshwater Plan for Taranaki, or that a resource consent is gained prior to undertaking any such works.

Condition 6 requires the consent holder to undertake a monitoring programme that includes sediment sampling of the inflow to Lake Mangamahoe and bathymetry surveys of Lake Mangamahoe, while condition 7 requires the erection of notices and warnings for public safety.

Condition 8 requires an annual payment of \$5,000 to provide for riparian planting and management in the Waiwhakaiho River catchment, condition 9 requires an annual meeting with stakeholders and condition 10 is a review provision.

The Company also holds water permit **2056-3** to cover the daily use of up to 735,000 cubic meters of water from Lake Mangamahoe for hydroelectric power generation. This permit was issued by the Council on 4 September 1996 under Section 87(d) of the RMA. A variation to this consent, to permit an increased rate of diversion from 735,000 cubic meters of water per day to 864,000 cubic meters of water per day, to make use of the increased diversion of flood flows provided for by the variation to consent 2053-3, was granted on 16 June 2016, and it is due to expire on 1 June 2021.

Condition 1 of this consent requires the consent holder to, as far as reasonably practicable, spread its generation during daylight hours, while condition 2 is a review provision.

These permits are attached to this report in Appendix I.

### **1.3.2 Water discharge permit**

Section 15(1)(a) of the RMA stipulates that no person may discharge any contaminant into water, unless the activity is expressly allowed for by a resource consent or a rule in a regional plan, or by national regulations.

The Company holds water discharge permit **4888-1** to discharge up to 150,000 L/sec of water from Lake Mangamahoe into the Mangamahoe Stream under emergency conditions. This permit was issued by the Council on 4 September 1996 under Section 87(e) of the RMA. It is due to expire on 1 June 2021.

Condition 1 of this consent is a review provision. There are no other conditions.

The permit is attached to this report in Appendix I.

### **1.3.3 Land use permits**

Section 13(1)(a) of the RMA stipulates that no person may in relation to the bed of any lake or river use, erect, reconstruct, place, alter, extend, remove, or demolish any structure or part of any structure in, on, under, or over the bed, unless the activity is expressly allowed for by a resource consent, a rule in a regional plan, or by national regulations.

The Company holds land use permit **2054-3** to cover the damming of the Mangamahoe Stream to form Lake Mangamahoe. This permit was issued by the Council on 4 September 1996 under Section 87(a) of the RMA. It is due to expire on 1 June 2021.

Condition 1 requires the consent holder to maintain and operate the dam to the Council's satisfaction.

Condition 2 stipulates a minimum lake level, unless it is necessary to lower the water level for lake weed maintenance. If this is programmed, condition 3 requires the consent holder to notify the Council at least seven days prior.

Condition 4 is a review provision.

The Company holds land use permit **4886-1** to erect and maintain structures which dam the Mangamahoe Stream. This permit was issued by the Council on 4 September 1996 under Section 87(a) of the RMA. It is due to expire on 1 June 2021.

Condition 1 requires the consent holder to maintain and operate the dam to the Council's satisfaction.

Condition 2 is a review provision.

The Company holds land use permit **4887-1** to erect and maintain structures associated with the diversion of water from the Waiwhakaiho River. This permit was issued by the Council on 4 September 1996 under Section 87(a) of the RMA. It is due to expire on 1 June 2021.

Condition 1 requires the consent holder to maintain and operate the structure to the satisfaction of the Council, and a fish pass is to be installed and maintained, as per condition 2.

Condition 3 requires the consent holder to maintain a device capable of supplying the required residual flow and condition 4 is a review provision.

The Company holds land use permit **6810-1** for an access culvert located on an unnamed tributary of the Waiwhakaiho River. This permit was issued by the Council on 6 March 2006 under Section 87(a) of the RMA. It is due to expire on 1 June 2020.

Conditions 1 and 2 require the consent holder to use the best practicable option during installation, while undertaking this installation generally in accordance with the application.

Conditions 3 to 6 require the consent holder to notify the Council prior to commencement of works, restricts the timing of works, requires the amount of riverbed disturbance to be minimised and sets receiving environment conditions that are to be met during installation.

Condition 7 requires the structure to be removed should it no longer be required, conditions 8 and 9 state that the culvert shall not alter the natural flow of the river, restrict the passage of fish or result in significant ponding of water upstream.

Condition 10 requires a site erosion and sediment control management plan, condition 11 is a lapse provision, and condition 12 is a review provision.

As this consent has been exercised and the culvert is in place, many of these conditions are no longer relevant.

Copies of these permits are appended to this report.

## **1.4 Monitoring programme**

### **1.4.1 Introduction**

Section 35 of the RMA sets obligations upon the Council to gather information, monitor and conduct research on the exercise of resource consents within the Taranaki region. The Council is also required to assess the effects arising from the exercising of these consents and report upon them.

The Council may therefore make and record measurements of physical and chemical parameters, take samples for analysis, carry out surveys and inspections, conduct investigations and seek information from consent holders.



The monitoring programme for the Mangorei HEPS site consisted of four primary components.

#### 1.4.2 Programme liaison and management

There is generally a significant investment of time and resources by the Council in:

- ongoing liaison with resource consent holders over consent conditions and their interpretation and application;
- in discussion over monitoring requirements;
- preparation for any reviews;
- renewals;
- new consents;
- advice on the Council's environmental management strategies and content of regional plans; and
- consultation on associated matters.

#### 1.4.3 Site inspections

The Mangorei HEPS was visited 12 times during the monitoring period. These inspections focused largely on hydrological aspects of the scheme, with a gauging undertaken of the residual flow reach on each occasion and some water level readings also taken. The fish pass was also inspected, as was the access culvert, although comment was generally only made when maintenance had been (or was) required.

#### 1.4.4 Data audit

Trustpower provided the Council with data on generation, flow from the power station, residual flow below the weir and the water level of Lake Mangamahoe. This data was assessed by the Council to determine whether consented generation and lake level requirements were complied with.

#### 1.4.5 Water temperature monitoring

Water temperature was monitored at three locations, to assess the impacts of the reduced flow through the residual flow reach, and the release of water through generation on water temperatures of the middles and lower reaches of the river. The details of these sites are provided in Table 1.

**Table 1** Water temperature monitoring sites in the Waiwhakaiho River

Site	Location	GPS Location	Site code
W1	State Highway 3 (approximately 2 km upstream of weir)	E1698297 N5666893	WKH000500
W5	Hydro Road (within residual flow reach, approximately 5 km downstream of weir)	E1697474 N5671435	WKH000650
W7	Rimu Street track extension (approximately 13 km downstream of weir)	E1696149 N5675261	WKH000820

#### **1.4.6 Biological inspection and surveys**

On occasions the programme has included some aspect of biological monitoring. A field inspection of the river channel residual flow enhancement site to assess continuing suitability for fish passage was last undertaken during June 2010 (TRC, 2010). In addition, a two-year fish monitoring programme was undertaken, with the results presented in an earlier Annual Report (TRC, 2009). Previous reports also included a discussion of macroinvertebrate data collected by the Council (TRC, 2015). The current scope of the monitoring programme does not include a biological monitoring component.

## 2. Results

### 2.1 Water

#### 2.1.1 Hydrological Inspections

The primary purpose of the hydrological inspections was to gauge the flow downstream of the intake weir, to determine whether the residual flow provided met the requirements of the consent. For the full historical context of residual flow compliance, please refer to the monitoring report for the 2014-2015 period (TRC, 2016).

The gaugings undertaken in the 2015-2016 period are summarised in Table 2. This table shows that the required residual flow was provided on each occasion, maintaining the perfect compliance record noted for the last five monitoring years (2009-2015).

**Table 2** Results of gaugings undertaken in relation to the Mangorei HEPS , 2015-2016.


Date	Time	Flow at SH3 (L/s)	Gauged flow downstream of weir (L/s)	Residual flow required at this time	Compliant?
14/07/2015	11:05	3,675	1,094	400	Yes
14 /08/2015	13:28	3,908	886	400	Yes
09/09/2015	08:00	4,321	754	400	Yes
07/10/2015	13:20	3,602	631	400	Yes
06/11/2015	11:06	4,584	979	600	Yes
09/12/2015	09:00	3,172	834	600	Yes
05/01/2016	14:00	2,699	782	700	Yes
04/02/2016	09:05	2,518	987	700	Yes
07/03/2016	08:00	2,930	839	700	Yes
08/04/2016	08:00	4,933	1,057	600	Yes
09/05/2016	10:00	3,705	571	400	Yes
07/06/2016	11:15	3,545	639	400	Yes

During these inspections, some notes were also made regarding the condition of the fish pass. On occasion, the pass contained varying amounts of river gravel, deposited there by floods. This material is removed by the Company when possible, and overall, the fish pass has been maintained as best as could be expected.

From time to time, debris removal and substrate realignment is required at the outlet of the consented access culvert. These works were not required in the reported period. There are some concerns that outlet of the culvert can present a barrier to fish passage during normal flows. Although no inspection comments were made in relation to this culvert over the reported period, it may be necessary to include inspection of this culvert as a part of a biological monitoring component.

#### 2.1.2 Provision of consent holder data

Resource consent 2053-3 requires the Company to maintain a measuring device capable of measuring the residual flow downstream of the intake weir, and to provide these records to the Council upon request. This condition does not stipulate the required accuracy of the recorder, or the frequency by which measurements are to be taken, only that the device shall be installed and operated to the satisfaction of the Council. The data provided by the Company in fulfilment of this condition is shown in Figure 2. This data shows that water level was recorded throughout the monitoring period, although it appears a change in May 2016 has facilitated the recording of a higher range of water level.



**Figure 2** Water level data recorded by Trustpower, downstream of the intake weir.

In summary, Trustpower are not required to provide residual flow data, but are expected to provide accurate water level data. It is expected that the water level recorder will be maintained to an appropriate accuracy, and the Council and Trustpower are currently in discussion to define what an appropriate accuracy is. It is noted that the accuracy required for water level recording devices as per the National Environmental Monitoring Standards (NEMS, 2013) is the greater of 3 mm or 0.2% of the effective stage. The NEMS (2013) define effective stage as the height of the water surface above the zero measurement point of the sensor. Although the NEMS are considered best practice, and will be the starting point for these discussions, industries are not always required to follow best practice, especially where achieving such a high level of accuracy is either impractical or unachievable due to site specific issues. It may also be necessary to consider the intended use of the data, when determining an appropriate accuracy. The outcome of this discussion will hopefully be reported in the next annual report.

**Table 3** compares the results of spot readings made during site visits with the recorded data provided by the Company. This shows that the accuracy of the meter has varied over the reported period, ranging from 11 mm too low to 62 mm too high. However, ten of the twelve readings were within 10% of each other. Although this data shows quite some variation in recorder accuracy, this data was largely used by the Company to guide management of the residual flow. This has been successful, as demonstrated by the results of the gaugings undertaken (Table 2), and also by comparing this data against an indicative water level, above which adequate residual flow is provided (Figure 3).


Although the relevant consent condition requires the provision of residual flow data, the Company only provides water level data. This is done with the agreement of the Council, as the maintenance of a rating curve in the Waiwhakaiho River at this location is problematic, due to the fact that the river bed cross section can change with every flood. This makes maintaining a rating curve at this location difficult and labour intensive. The Council undertakes monthly gaugings at this location to not only assess compliance with the residual flow requirements, but also to provide flow versus water level data to Trustpower, which they use to manage their residual flow. The process around this is further detailed in section 2.2.

In summary, Trustpower are not required to provide residual flow data, but are expected to provide accurate water level data. It is expected that the water level recorder will be maintained to an appropriate accuracy, and the Council and Trustpower are currently in discussion to define what an appropriate accuracy is. It is noted that the accuracy required for water level recording devices as per the National Environmental Monitoring Standards (NEMS, 2013) is the greater of 3 mm or 0.2% of the effective stage. The NEMS (2013) define effective stage as the height of the water surface above the zero measurement point of the sensor. Although the NEMS are considered best practice, and will be the starting point for these discussions, industries are not always required to follow best practice, especially where achieving such a high level of accuracy is either impractical or unachievable due to site specific issues. It may also be necessary to consider the intended use of the data, when determining an appropriate accuracy. The outcome of this discussion will hopefully be reported in the next annual report.

**Table 3** The results of spot calibration checks made of the Trustpower flow recorder located downstream of the intake weir.

Date	Time	Manual Reading (mm)	Recorded Reading (mm)	Difference (mm)	Difference as % of Manual reading
14/07/2015	11:22	267±3	317	0.050	18.7%
14/08/2015	13:40	232±3	227	-0.005	-2.2%
09/09/2015	08:00	205±3	217	0.012	5.9%
07/10/2015	12:30	185±3	197	0.012	6.5%
06/11/2015	11:06	258±3	247	-0.011	-4.3%
06/12/2015	07:30	225±3	287	0.062	27.6%
05/01/2016	13:05	223±3	227	0.004	1.8%
04/02/2016	08:15	231±3	227	-0.004	-1.7%
07/03/2016	07:05	231±3	237	0.006	2.6%
08/04/2016	08:20	230*	227	-0.003	-1.3%
09/05/2016	10:07	179±4	187	0.008	4.5%
07/06/2016	11:23	188±4	187	-0.001	-0.5%


\* Accuracy not recorded on this occasion



**Figure 3** Water level downstream of the intake weir, compared with indicative water level required to ensure residual flow compliance.


The Company has also provided lake level data to the Council. This data is presented in Figure 4, and shows that management with the minimum lake level was good, with no breaches recorded. The three instances where the lake level exceeded the spillway level were all associated with floods in the contributing catchments.

The Company also provides the Council with generation data, and this allows an assessment of compliance with condition 1 of consent 2053-3, which requires that a continuous generation flow release of at least 950 L/s be maintained between 8am and 6pm each day. This requirement is intended to mitigate for the low flows and high water temperatures that can occur in mid to late summer, while also providing recreational opportunities, and maintaining water and habitat quality in general.




**Figure 4** Lake Mangamahoe water level as recorded by Trustpower (2015-2016)

Figure 5 shows the exceedance time for the generation data, in essence showing how often generation exceeded a certain rate. A generation rate of 0.575 MW approximately equates to a flow of 950 L/s, and this generation rate was exceeded 98.8% of the time between 9am and 5pm during the 2015-2016 period. For those times when a generation flow was not provided as required, the Company assured the Council that a compensation flow was provided by reducing the abstraction of water from the Waiwhakaiho River, resulting in the release of water over the intake weir.



**Figure 5** Exceedance time (%) for generation at the Mangorei Power house, 1 July 2015 – 1 July 2016, 9am to 5pm only.



**Figure 6** Flow variation in the Waiwhakaiho River at Rimu Street as a result of generation at the Mangorei Power Station.

Condition 3 of consent 2053-3 requires the diversion of water to cease when flows exceed 85 cumecs. This consent does not include a requirement to record the rate that water is diverted from the river, and as such it is not possible to monitor this condition through the assessment of data. Therefore, the Council will continue to liaise with the Company to ensure that this consent condition is adhered to.

The impact on the flow regime of the lower Waiwhakaiho River is illustrated by data collected by the Council’s flow recorder located on Rimu Street. Figure 6 shows how flow changes with changes in generation, and with changes in the residual flow



requirements. Although a lower residual flow is provided in the winter months, the lowest flow recorded at Rimu Street is still higher than in summer, when a higher residual flow is provided. This is due to the higher winter flow in the tributaries that join the Waiwhakaiho River downstream of the Meeting of the Waters. Also apparent is that less generation occurs in the summer, a direct reflection of the reduced flows over this time.

### **2.1.3 Results of receiving environment monitoring**

#### **2.1.3.1 River Water Temperatures**


Continuous river water temperature measurements have been performed throughout the term of the consents at three sites on the Waiwhakaiho River. Temperatures are monitored at one site upstream of the weir, one site within the residual flow reach of river between the weir and the power station outlet, and one site below the power station outlet. These locations are illustrated in Figure 7.

Previous reports have undertaken a comprehensive analysis of water temperature data for each year, including a comparison with river water temperatures recorded in other rivers in Taranaki. Such an analysis is more appropriate for those occasions where a full assessment of effects is necessary, such as during a consent renewal process. The current report discusses the results for the Waiwhakaiho River only.

Data collected during the 2015-2016 period included some gaps, where data was not collected. This could have been due equipment failure, or as in the case of the Hydro Road recorder, the equipment had been left out of the water following a flood. The full data record for the 2015-2016 period for the three sites is displayed in Figure 8.

Unfortunately, the period when data was lost at Hydro Road included the period from 14 November to 10 December 2015 and 30 December 2015 to 17 February 2016. This includes the time when the warmest temperatures occurred, as evident by the temperatures recorded at Egmont Village and Rimu Street (Figure 8). This makes it difficult to assess the impact of the scheme during the period of highest risk, although an analysis of long term data is still possible.

The Waiwhakaiho River exhibited average daily water temperatures during the start of the 2015-2016 monitoring period that were largely typical of the long term daily average (Figure 9). However, near the end of December 2015, temperatures started to exceed the long term daily average, and in general, the water temperatures were well above average for the last six months of the reported period. The highest exceedances were recorded on 23 June 2016 at Egmont Village (3.9 °C), 29 February 2016 at Hydro Road (3.6 °C) and 1 January 2016 at Rimu Street (3.9 °C) (Figure 9).




**Figure 7** Water temperature monitoring sites (W1, W5, W7) in the lower Waiwhakaiho River catchment

When considering the impact on aquatic life, both the maximum temperatures and the proportion of time above certain temperatures are of relevance. Table 4 shows how often the maximum daily temperature exceeded particular values from December to March in the reported period, compared with historical data. Upstream of the intake, at


Egmont Village, the river has never exceeded 25 °C, while downstream at Hydro Road, 25 °C is exceeded once per year on average. During the 2015-2016 period this pattern was repeated, although due to a gap in the data at Hydro Road record in January and February, it may be that more than one day exceeded 25 °C. At Rimu Street, where on average less than one day a year exceeds 25 °C, this temperature was exceeded on eight days in the 2015-2016 period. This indicates that in the reported period, there was a significant increase in the number of days that exceeded this temperature, and suggests that a similar result may have also occurred at Hydro Road. Temperatures in excess of 25 °C can be lethal to some fish, included sportfish such as rainbow and brown trout.

Furthermore, if temperatures exceed 20 °C for extended periods, although not acutely lethal, they can negatively impact fish through stress. Over extended periods this can make fish more susceptible to infection, can cause fish to lose body condition, and can even lead to fish death. During the months of January to February, the water



**Figure 8** Waiwhakaiho River water temperature data collected at Egmont Village, Hydro Road and Rimu Street.


temperature at Egmont Village exceeded 20 °C twice as much as typical (Figure 10), a similar result at Rimu Street. However, in terms of average time per day that the water temperature exceeded 20 °C, this equates to an increase from 35 to 80 minutes per day (approximate) at Egmont Village, and an increase from about seven hours to about 13 hours per day at Rimu Street. This is quite significant for fish communities, and is likely to cause them to change behaviours, including seeking cooler tributary flows. At Hydro Road, this increase in time that water temperatures exceeded 20 °C was not as significant (19.2% to 20.8%), although this data is strongly affected by the loss of data over this time, and as such is not considered to fully reflect actual conditions over this period.




**Figure 9** Average daily water temperature at three sites in the Waiwhakaiho River for the monitoring period, compared with historical data.

**Table 4** Summary of maximum daily water temperatures in the Waiwhakaiho River at three monitored locations, between 1 December and 31 March.

		No. of days monitored	% of maximum temperatures in this range (no. of days)			
			10-15 °C	15-20 °C	20-25 °C	>25 °C
Egmont Village	1991-2015	2757	13.8	78.7	7.5	0.0
	2015-2016	116	1.7 (2)	79.3 (92)	19.0 (22)	0.0 (0)
Hydro Road	1991-2015	2592	2.2	49.0	47.7	1.0
	2015-2016	64	0.0 (0)	31.3 (20)	67.2 (43)	1.6 (1)
Rimu St	1991-2015	2893	1.2	52.5	45.8	0.5
	2015-2016	116	0.0(0)	33.6 (39)	59.5 (69)	6.9 (8)



**Figure 10** Exceedance time for temperature at Egmont Village (A), Hydro Road (B) and Rimu Street (C) for the months of January to February 2015-2016 (blue), compared with historical data post 1997 (red).



**Figure 11** Monthly average water temperature differences between three sites monitored in the Waiwhakaiho River, compared with residual flow requirements.

In terms of the warming of waters in a downstream direction, the abstraction of water results in less buffering of the temperature, and consequently can result in much warmer waters than would occur naturally. This was acknowledged when the current consents were granted in 1998, with a higher residual flow required in summer than winter.

Figure 11 shows the temperature differences between the three sites over the 2015-2016 period, compared with all the data collected since 1998. It is clear that the river typically warms in a downstream direction, although the degree of warming is less in the winter, despite the reduced residual flow at this time. The greatest difference in water temperature is recorded between Egmont Village and Rimu Street, the two sites that are furthest apart (13.8 km). The average daily monthly water temperature differences between Hydro Road and Egmont Village, 5.7 km upstream, ranged from 0.61 and 2.24. The 2015-2016 period recorded results similar to the average, although in December the average difference was about 0.5 °C degrees warmer than long-term average, and in June, water temperature was cooler than Egmont village on average, by 0.28 °C. The smallest change in water temperature was recorded between Hydro Rd and Rimu Street, which are 8.1 km apart. Rimu Street was on average no more than one degree warmer than Hydro Road, with 2015-2016 period recording similar results. This degree of warming is less than the warming recorded between Egmont Village and Hydro Road, despite the sites being further apart. This is a direct reflection of the higher flow rate present between the hydro Road and Rimu Street sites, providing improved buffering against warming.

A brief comparison of the previous residual flow regime and the new residual flow regime is provided in Table 5 and Figure 12. Table 5 presents a summary of water temperatures over the time periods, and shows that the Egmont Village site has experienced a gradual increase in water temperature, with the mean temperature increasing by 0.6 °C. A similar increase has been recorded downstream at both Hydro


Road and Rimu Street. Although it is likely that the increased residual flow has resulted in cooler temperatures downstream, especially at Hydro Road, it is apparent that this cooling influence is of a smaller influence than the natural increase in temperature that has occurred over time.

In terms of temperature differences between Hydro Road and Egmont Village, there has been a slight increase in the frequency of differences between 3 and 5 °C since the higher residual flow has been implemented. During the 2015-2016 period the majority of recorded differences were in the range of 2 – 3 °C, although it is likely that these results are skewed by the missing January and February data.

**Table 5** Waiwhakaiho River water temperature data at three sites prior to, and after, the requirement for the 700 L/s summer (January - March) residual flow


River	SH3		Hydro Rd		Rimu St	
	1992-97	1998-2015	1992-97	1998-2015	1992-97	1998-2015
Maximum (°C)	21.1	23.3	25.6	27.0	24.8	27.0
Minimum (°C)	9.2	8.2	9.4	10.2	10.8	10.7
Mean (°C)	15.3	15.9	17.2	17.8	18.0	18.8
Std Devn (°C)	2.0	2.1	2.6	2.5	2.3	2.3
% exceedance						
>25 °C	0	0	<1	<1	0	<1
>23 °C	0	<1	1	3	1	4
>20 °C	<1	3	13	20	21	31
>18 °C	10	16	37	45	50	62
>16 °C	37	46	66	76	79	89
>14 °C	74	81	88	95	96	99
>12 °C	95	98	98	>99	99	>99
>10 °C	>99	>99	>99	100	100	100
Data record (percentage of period)	80	99	60	95	100	99

**Note:** 600 L/s residual flow at Hydro Road: 1992-97 and 700 L/s residual flow at Hydro Road: 1998-2015



**Figure 12** The distribution of maximum daily temperature differences (Hydro Road minus Egmont Village), displayed as a percentage of total days monitored. Data has been split into 600 L/s residual flow (1992-1997) and 700 L/s residual flow (1998 – present), and is for January to March only.





**Figure 13** Difference in water temperature compared with flow in the Waiwhakaiho River.

Figure 13 shows how the difference in water temperature between Hydro Road and Egmont Village changes with a change in flow. During a low flow there is a clear diurnal variation, but when flows increase, the water temperature does not change significantly as it flows downstream. This is likely to be because of the volume of water, but also the speed of flow, meaning that the water has less time to warm as it flows downstream.

### 2.1.3.2 Macroinvertebrate Monitoring

Previous annual reports have included the results of macroinvertebrate sampling undertaken in the Waiwhakaiho Catchment. No sampling was undertaken which was specifically related to the Mangorei HEPS.

When the change to resource consent 2053-3 was granted, providing for a greater rate of take to allow the Company to take advantage of flood flows, the Company agreed to the addition of a macroinvertebrate sampling component to the annual monitoring programme. This has been included in the 2016-2017 monitoring programme, with one site to be sampled in the spring and summer, in conjunction with other macroinvertebrate sampling in the Waiwhakaiho Catchment. The results of this sampling will be included in the annual report for the 2016-2017 monitoring period.

### 2.1.3.3 Fish monitoring

Although the monitoring programme for the Mangorei HEPS does not include any routine fish monitoring, there has been some fish monitoring undertaken in the past. The results of this work was collated and presented in the report attached as Appendix II in TRC, 2009. In summary, this survey found:

- the diadromous fish community found upstream of the HEPS intake weir closely resembled that predicted by the Leathwick et al (2009) model;



- the fish pass provides adequate passage for those diadromous fish which reach the intake weir;
- there is some evidence suggesting a lack of recruitment of shortjaw kokopu and banded kokopu to the headwaters;
- this lack of recruitment may be due to the absence of an adult population providing attractant pheromones; and
- trout are able to negotiate the fish pass, although whether a significant number do so is uncertain.

Recommendations arising from the outcomes of the survey include the incorporation of appropriate monitoring sites in the Waiwhakaiho catchment in the Council's proposed state of the environment freshwater fish monitoring programme, with these sites to be monitored at a frequency of at least five-yearly intervals.

The Council's state of the environment freshwater fish monitoring programme is in the early stages of implementation, but at this stage does not include many sites in the Waiwhakaiho Catchment. Therefore it is recommended that consideration be given to including a fish monitoring component as a part of the compliance monitoring programme for the Mangorei HEPS. It is recommended that this component be discussed during the 2016-2017 period, for implementation in the 2017-2018 period, with discussions considering the frequency and scale of the following tasks:

1. An assessment of fish passage through the residual flow reach, to assess compliance with condition 5 of consent 2053-3.
2. An assessment of the fish communities in the residual flow reach.
3. An assessment of the fish communities present upstream of the intake weir.
4. An inspection of fish passage at the access culvert and at the intake weir fish pass.

#### 2.1.3.4 Adult eel and elver transfers

Every year, the Company transfers adult eels that are attempting to migrate downstream through Lake Mangamahoe, so that they can continue their downstream migration downstream of the HEPS. These eels tend to accumulate where water is taken from the lake for generation purposes, and can be captured using nets set in this location. This system has been employed each autumn since 2009, with the number of eels transferred varying significantly. The numbers transferred are presented in Table 6.

**Table 6** Numbers of adult eels transferred at the Mangorei HEPS since 2009

Year	Number of longfin eels transferred	Number of shortfin eels transferred	Number of unidentified eels transferred	Total number of eels transferred
2009	-	-	171	171
2010	-	-	4	4
2011	-	-	7	7
2012	-	-	7	7
2013	-	-	21	21
2014	13	30	44	87
2015	21	8	-	29
2016	11	1	-	12

In early summer, juvenile eels, known as elvers, migrate up the Waiwhakaiho River. Some of these elvers are attracted by the generation flow from the station, and move up the powerhouse outlet canal and into the power station. In 2002, a trap was installed within the power station, this trap being a smaller version of the one used at the Patea hydro dam. Elvers are collected from this trap, weighed and then transferred to the Waiwhakaiho River residual flow reach upstream of the Meeting of the Waters.

Numbers of elvers were calculated from a calibration exercise performed at the trap (in late January 2003) when it was determined that 1 kg equated to 1,200 elvers, although subsequent calibration exercises in mid December 2005 and late January 2009 found that 1 kg equated to 760 and 1,030 elvers respectively at these times. This trapping and transfer programme commenced in the 2002-2003 period with these and subsequent results summarised in Table 7.

Numbers of elvers trapped and transferred during the 2015-2016 period are summarised in Table 8. This data was collected and supplied by the Company. The trap was operative from 1 November 2015 but, similar to several earlier years, no elvers were trapped until mid December 2015. The trap was shut down in April 2016 after no elvers were trapped during that month.

**Table 7** Estimated numbers of elvers trapped and transferred at the Mangorei HEPS powerhouse in the monitoring years to date

Monitoring year	Transfer period	Total number of elvers	Peak daily number	Peak month
2002 – 2003	9 Jan 03 – 25 Apr 03	18,160	1,020	Jan 2003
2003 – 2004	4 Dec 03 – 25 Mar 04	19,445	1,715	Jan 2004
2004 – 2005	14 Jan 04 – 21 Mar 05	9,780	600	Jan 2005
2005 – 2006	30 Nov 05 – 20 Mar 06	19,965	1,140	Feb 2006
2006 – 2007	3 Jan 07 – 26 Apr 07	25,230	1,910	Jan 2007
2007 – 2009	30 Nov 07 – 26 Mar 08	29,668	940	Jan 2008
2008 – 2009	2 Dec 08 – 16 Mar 09	38,040	1,140	Jan 2009
2009 – 2010	18 Dec 09 – 25 Feb 10	8,566	237	Jan 2010
2010 – 2011	8 Nov 10 – 28 Feb 11	18,776	525	(late) Dec 2010
2011 – 2012	21 Jan 12 – 31 Mar 12	640	96	Feb 2012
2012 – 2013	14 Dec 12 – 12 Apr 13	15,972	270	Jan 2013
2013 – 2014	20 Dec 13 – 28 Mar 14	19,680	566	Jan 2014
2014 – 2015	1 Nov 14 – 30 Apr 15	13,200	471	Jan 2015
2015 – 2016	11 Dec 15 – 24 Mar 16	24,588	906	Jan 2016

**Table 8** Numbers of elvers transferred during the 2015-2016 period

Date	Elver numbers		Cumulative total
	Interval	Per day	
11 December 2015	360	33	360
23 December 2015	840	76	1,200
30 December 2015	1,380	197	2,580
8 January 2016	6,276	697	8,856
12 January 2016	3,624	906	12,480
15 January 2016	1,200	400	13,680
22 January 2016	1,380	197	15,060
29 January 2016	2,880	411	17,940
5 February 2016	840	120	18,780
12 February 2016	1,104	158	19,884
19 February 2016	816	117	20,700
29 February 2016	1,260	126	21,960
4 March 2016	1,536	0	23,496
11 March 2016	456	65	23,952
19 March 2016	276	39	24,228
24 March 2016	360	72	24,588

During the four month period (December 2015 to late March 2016), a relatively high number of elvers (approximately 25,000) was caught and transferred from the outlet channel of the powerhouse to the residual flow reach of the main Waiwhakaiho River. The trap commenced operation in early November 2014 but no elvers were found in the trap until early December and no elvers were trapped after late March 2015. The majority of numbers were recorded from early to late January 2016. Although these numbers were low compared with numbers typically trapped and transferred at the Patea hydro station, it is likely that a significant proportion of upstream elver movements would follow the main channel of the Waiwhakaiho River rather than enter the smaller outlet channel of the powerhouse outlet canal.

The total number of elver numbers trapped in the monitoring period was the highest since the 2008-2009 year, being higher than the median number trapped over the thirteen preceding monitoring periods (Table 7) indicative of an improved season for elver migration.

## 2.2 Investigations, interventions, and incidents

The monitoring programme for the year was based on what was considered to be an appropriate level of monitoring, review of data, and liaison with the Company. During the year matters may arise which require additional activity by the Council, for example provision of advice and information, or investigation of potential or actual courses of non-compliance or failure to maintain good practices. A pro-active approach that in the first instance avoids issues occurring is favoured.

The Council operates and maintains a register of all complaints or reported and discovered excursions from acceptable limits and practices, including non-compliance with consents, which may damage the environment. The incident register includes events where the Company concerned has itself notified the Council. The register contains details of any investigation and corrective action taken.

Complaints may be alleged to be associated with a particular site. If there is potentially an issue of legal liability, the Council must be able to prove by investigation that the identified company is indeed the source of the incident (or that the allegation cannot be proven).

In the 2015-2016 period, the Council was required to undertake significant additional investigations and interventions, or record incidents, in association with the Company's conditions in resource consents or provisions in Regional Plans.

However, there were some discussions held with the Council, relating to how both the Council and the Company would respond, should a below-weir gauging discover inadequate flow. This is a relatively unique situation, as for the Mangorei HEPS, these gaugings do not just assess compliance with the residual flow requirements, but they provide the Company with the information required for the Company to maintain their rating curve for this site.

Due to the river bed at this location being relatively mobile, the rating curve can change from time to time. This creates a risk for the Company, in that although they endeavour to maintain a water level that equates to an adequate residual flow, a change to the rating curve may result in there being less flow at that water level. Frequently undertaking flow gaugings can help minimise that risk.

It is for this reason that the site is inspected twelve times per year by the Council's hydrology team, with a gauging undertaken on each occasion. It was considered appropriate that an agreed procedure be developed should a gauging discover inadequate flow. This procedure is as follows:

1. The Council shall notify Chris England, Technical Team Leader for the Taranaki Region, or a Taranaki staff member, upon completion of the gauging.
2. If Mr England is not contactable, the Council shall contact Ryan Piddington, Lead Environmental Advisor for Trustpower by telephone and email as soon as practicably possible.
3. Trustpower must confirm receipt of the notification via email.
4. As soon as practically possible, and within one hour of notification, Trustpower will adjust the head-pond level to ensure adequate residual flow is provided.
5. As soon as practicably possible after Trustpower has adjusted the residual flow, the Council shall undertake another gauging to determine flow within the Waiwhakaiho River.
6. The results of this gauging will be communicated to Trustpower by email and/or telephone.
7. If necessary, Trustpower may engage Council to undertake additional gaugings to confirm the rating curve.

#### Subsequent Council investigation

If the cause of the inadequate residual flow is due to a change in the river bed resulting in a change to the rating curve, then it is unlikely that further action will be taken.

If the inadequate residual flow is due to an inaccurate water level recorder, then Trustpower will be asked to explain the cause of any inaccuracies. As a part of this explanation, they will be asked to provide the data collected by this recorder, along with maintenance records, such as when the water level recorder was last calibrated.

If this data suggests that Trustpower should have detected the faulty readings, and/or it is found that Trustpower did not adequately maintain the water level recorder, it is likely that some form of enforcement action would eventuate.

## **2.3 Riparian planting**

As per special condition 8 of consent 2053, the consent holder donates annually to the Council (\$5,000 – adjusted to the consumer price index) for the purpose of providing riparian planting and management in the Waiwhakaiho River catchment.

At the time of compiling this report, nine landholders in the Waiwhakaiho catchment had applied for the subsidy in the 2015-2016 period, which covers 50% of the cost of plants planted within the catchment for riparian protection. To date, Trustpower have provided over \$70,000 towards subsidising riparian planting in this catchment, of which almost \$15,000 remains available.

## **2.4 Stakeholders' meeting**

As a requirement under a special condition in various Mangorei HEPS consents, an annual meeting of interested stakeholders is held to discuss any matters relating to the exercise of these consents, but particularly monitoring programme design, implementation and interpretation, in order to facilitate ongoing consultation.

Previously, stakeholders meetings have only been held when warranted i.e. when particular issues warranted a round table discussion. No such issues were raised during the reported period, and as such, no meeting was held.

## **1.1 Lake Mangamahoe bathymetry and river sediment monitoring**

Special Condition 6(b) of varied consent (2053-3) now requires that surveys are undertaken by the Company to record the change in bathymetry of Lake Mangamahoe between winter 2013 and 31 December 2017, and that sampling is undertaken to investigate the amount of sediment entering the lake. The initial bathymetry survey was carried out by BTW Company over 28 and 29 May 2013 (see TRC, 2013 Appendix II).

This survey established the baseline sediment conditions in the lake for referencing against future surveys. No subsequent surveys have yet been undertaken, and it is understood that Trustpower have not yet chosen to take advantage of the increased rate of take authorised under the varied consent. However, it is anticipated that further sampling and bathymetry monitoring will be undertaken prior to 31 December 2017, in fulfilment of this condition.

### **3. Discussion**

#### **3.1 Discussion of site performance**

Monitoring undertaken over the 2015-2016 period has concentrated on the provision and maintenance of appropriate residual flow releases below the intake weir, maintenance of lake levels and discharge flows, provision of fish passage and the provision of data as required.

The station is largely controlled automatically, with the river intake gates opening or closing dependant on flows in the Waiwhakaiho River. The data recorded by the Companies water level recorder located downstream of the intake weir is used in this control system, and has resulted in a high standard of compliance with the required residual flows.

Compliance with the residual flow requirements was determined through monthly gaugings, which found not one instance of insufficient residual flow, a continuation of the high level of performance reported in the last five monitoring periods (2009-2015). Despite the presence of telemetered water level monitoring immediately downstream of the intake weir, these gaugings retain their importance, as maintaining the accuracy of this recorder has been met with some difficulty, given the frequent changes in the river bed cross section at the monitoring location.

The Company has been asked to look into improving the performance of the residual flow recorder, to ensure that flow data is recorded accurately.

Daily minimum powerhouse generation releases of 950 L/s during daylight hours were successfully maintained by the Company throughout the period. The automatic compensation valve at the powerhouse, which removed the need for spillages via the intake weir during power station outages, was not used during the reported period. At times, spillage over the intake weir was necessary when maintenance precluded a discharge from the station. The spreading of daily power generation flows over longer daylight periods has benefits for water quality and ecology in the lower river, as well as providing recreational opportunities in reaches commonly used by the public near and within the city boundaries.

Compliance with Lake Mangamahoe minimum lake levels was also achieved throughout the period, with few lake spillages, all occurring during a wet autumn.

Although at times impacted by substrate deposition, the fish pass was maintained and operated as best as could be expected during the monitoring period. Although there were occasions where floods deposited a large amount of river gravels and cobbles in the pass, the Company cleared the pass as quickly as practicable following these events.

Overall, in terms of compliance with consent conditions, the performance of the Company in relation to the Mangorei HEPS has been of a very high standard.

### 3.2 Environmental effects of exercise of consents

The primary impact of the Mangorei HEPS is the reduced flow in the Waiwhakaiho River. This reduced flow is largely limited to the residual flow reach, as the release of at least 950 L/s during the day from the station results in higher flows in the River downstream of the scheme. In addition, the variable residual flow requirements ensures that the scheme can make good use of winter flows, while providing a higher residual flow in the summer.

River water temperature records illustrate the impacts of residual flow releases on the lower river reaches and also indicate a general trend of a very small rise in water temperatures along the length of the river in more recent years, despite the increase in the summer residual flow release. This is probably attributable to warmer weather, possibly related to climate change. Water temperatures recorded in the 2015-2016 period were fairly typical of historical results, although the second half of the period noted above average temperatures at all sites.

In terms of fish passage, the fish pass is considered adequate to provide for the passage of all fish species expected to migrate up to and beyond the weir. This includes all native migratory species recorded as present in the residual flow reach, and adult trout, which have been shown to be capable of negotiating the pass. Although maintenance was required to maintain this passage, it was done without excessive delay.

The Company also transferred elvers that were trapped at the Power Station for release in the residual flow reach, and transferred migrating adult eels from Lake Mangamahoe into the lower Waiwhakaiho River. Although the number of adult eels transferred in the 2015-2016 period was relatively low, the total number of elvers was up markedly from the previous year, being the highest number transferred since the 2008-2009 period.

Macroinvertebrate sampling was not undertaken in relation to the Mangorei HEPS, although monitoring is recommended for inclusion in the 2016-2017 programme.

The Company is required to provide some mitigation for the effects of the diversion of the river flow by financially contributing to riparian management elsewhere in the Waiwhakaiho catchment. This is done by subsidising the cost of plants sold to landowners in the catchments, an opportunity taken up by nine landowners during the reported period.

Finally, the Company is also required to undertake sediment and lake bathymetric monitoring, in relation to a consent variation that provided for an increased rate of take, so that the Company can take advantage of flood flows. The initial bathymetric survey of Lake Mangamahoe was commissioned by the Company and performed in mid 2013. However, no subsequent sampling has been undertaken, as the Company has chose not to make use of this higher rate of take as yet. It is anticipated that this monitoring will be completed prior to the end of 2017.

### 3.3 Evaluation of performance

A tabular summary of the Company's compliance record for the year under review is set out in Table 9 to Table 15.

**Table 9** Summary of performance for consent 2053-3

<b>Purpose: To divert water from the river into Lake Mangamahoe</b>		
<b>Condition requirement</b>	<b>Means of monitoring during period under review</b>	<b>Compliance achieved?</b>
1. Continuous daily generation of 950 L/s between 8am & 6pm	Supply of data by consent holder	Yes
2. Seasonal residual flows released over the weir	Inspections, gaugings (TRC), and automatic flow recording (by consent holder)	Yes
3. Cessation of diversion when Waiwhakaiho River $\geq 85$ cumecs	Liaison with consent holder	Yes
4. Maintenance of residual flow recording device and supply of records	Supply of levels by consent holder	Yes
5. Provision of suitable fish passage in residual flow channel	Inspections	Yes
6. Provision of sediment/lake bathymetry monitoring programme by the Company	Consent holder to undertake and provide data	Part (initial bathymetric survey performed)
7. Provision of public safety notices	Liaison with consent holder and inspections	Yes
8. Mitigation by riparian management	TRC Land Management records	Yes
9. Stakeholders bi-annual meetings	Consent holder liaison	Yes (not required)
10. Optional review provision	No reviews remaining	N/A
Overall assessment of consent compliance and environmental performance in respect of this consent		<b>High</b>
Overall assessment of administrative performance in respect of this consent		<b>High</b>

[N/A = not applicable]

**Table 10** Summary of performance for consent 2054-3

<b>Purpose: To dam the Mangamahoe Stream for HEPS generation purposes</b>		
<b>Condition requirement</b>	<b>Means of monitoring during period under review</b>	<b>Compliance achieved?</b>
1. Operation and maintenance of dam to satisfaction of the Council	Liaison with consent holder	Yes
2. Maintenance of minimum level in Lake Mangamahoe	Supply of data by consent holder	Yes
3. Notification if lake level to be lowered for weed maintenance purposes	Liaison with consent holder	N/A



<b>Purpose: To dam the Mangamahoe Stream for HEPS generation purposes</b>		
4. Optional review provision	No reviews remaining	N/A
Overall assessment of consent compliance and environmental performance in respect of this consent		<b>High</b>
Overall assessment of administrative performance in respect of this consent		<b>High</b>

**Table 11** Summary of performance for consent 2056-3

<b>Purpose: To use up to 750,000 cubic metres per day of water from Lake Mangamahoe for HEPS generation purposes</b>		
<b>Condition requirement</b>	<b>Means of monitoring during period under review</b>	<b>Compliance achieved?</b>
1. Spread generation during daylight hours as far as reasonably practical	Review of data	Yes
2. Optional review provision	No reviews remaining	N/A
Overall assessment of consent compliance and environmental performance in respect of this consent		<b>High</b>
Overall assessment of administrative performance in respect of this consent		<b>High</b>

**Table 12** Summary of performance for consent 4886-1

<b>Purpose: To erect and maintain structures in, and dam, the Mangamahoe Stream for the formation of Lake Mangamahoe for HEPS generation purposes</b>		
<b>Condition requirement</b>	<b>Means of monitoring during period under review</b>	<b>Compliance achieved?</b>
1. Operation and maintenance of structures to satisfaction of the Council	Liaison with consent holder	Yes
2. Optional review provision	No reviews remaining	N/A
Overall assessment of consent compliance and environmental performance in respect of this consent		<b>High</b>
Overall assessment of administrative performance in respect of this consent		<b>High</b>

**Table 13** Summary of performance for consent 4887-1

<b>Purpose: To erect and maintain structures associated with the diversion of Waiwhakaiho River water into Lake Mangamahoe for HEPS generation purposes</b>		
<b>Condition requirement</b>	<b>Means of monitoring during period under review</b>	<b>Compliance achieved?</b>
1. Operation and maintenance	Inspections and liaison with consent holder	Yes
2. Installation and maintenance of fish pass	Inspections and liaison with consent holder	Yes
3. Maintain residual flow device	Inspections and liaison with consent holder	Yes
4. Optional review provision	No reviews remaining	N/A
Overall assessment of consent compliance and environmental performance in respect of this consent		<b>High</b>
Overall assessment of administrative performance in respect of this consent		<b>High</b>

**Table 14** Summary of performance for consent 4888-1

<b>Purpose: <i>Emergency discharge of Lake Mangamahoe water to the Mangamahoe Stream</i></b>		
<b>Condition requirement</b>	<b>Means of monitoring during period under review</b>	<b>Compliance achieved?</b>
1. Optional review provision	No reviews remaining	N/A
Overall assessment of consent compliance and environmental performance in respect of this consent		<b>High</b>
Overall assessment of administrative performance in respect of this consent		<b>High</b>

**Table 15** Summary of performance for consent 6810-1

<b>Purpose: <i>To erect, place and maintain a culvert for access purposes, in an unnamed tributary of the Waiwhakaiho River</i></b>		
<b>Condition requirement</b>	<b>Means of monitoring during period under review</b>	<b>Compliance achieved?</b>
1. Silt discharge and riverbed disturbance minimisation	Liaison with TRC by consent holder	N/A
2. Exercise in accordance with documentation	Inspections	N/A
3. Notification of installation and maintenance works	Notification by consent holder	N/A
4. Timing of works	Inspections	N/A
5. Riverbed disturbance limits	Inspections	N/A
6. Limits to effects on receiving waters	Inspections	N/A
7. Removal of structure if no longer required	Liaison with consent holder	N/A
8. Flow and fish passage restrictions	Inspections	In part (with remedial work to be addressed when necessary)
9. Ponding restrictions	Inspections	Yes
10. Erosion and sediment control plan	Provision by consent holder	N/A
11. Lapse of consent	Consent exercised	N/A
12. Optional review provision	No reviews remaining	N/A
Overall assessment of consent compliance and environmental performance in respect of this consent		<b>High</b>
Overall assessment of administrative performance in respect of this consent		<b>High</b>

During the monitoring period, the Company demonstrated a high level of environmental and administrative performance with the resource consents as defined in Section 1.1.4. All components of the Mangorei HEPS were operated well, with the Company being active in maintaining fish passage at the weir, and assisting with the migration of both adult and juvenile eels when these fish congregate at the scheme. The Company has been proactive in establishing a process should a residual flow

gauging discover insufficient flow, and is currently investigating how to improve the performance of the residual flow recorder.

### **3.4 Recommendations from the 2014-2015 Annual Report**

In the 2014-2015 Annual Report, it was recommended:

1. THAT the Company liaises with the Council in matters of compliance with the residual flow release and calibrated, telemetered continuous monitoring of the system.
2. THAT regular compliance reports continue to be supplied by the Company during the monitoring year, particularly any additional records required by the variation to consent 2053, should this variation be exercised.
3. THAT consent monitoring be performed during the 2015-2016 period with the following components included in a suitable programme:
  - inspections of residual flow compliance measures appropriately timed to demonstrate acceptable continuous compliance monitoring taking into account the existence of a calibrated, telemetered residual flow compliance system.
  - the inspection and flow gauging programme where necessary, to be timed to provide additional calibration of this system;
  - appropriate flow gaugings to calibrate downstream water levels and confirm each of the three requisite residual flow release rates;
  - a single inspection of the river channel though the length of the residual flow reach under appropriate residual flow conditions to assess fish passage suitability
4. THAT the Company liaises with Council staff to ensure:
  - that all additional monitoring required by Special Condition 6 of the recently varied consent 2053 is undertaken by the Company should this variation be exercised;
  - that remedial works are evaluated and addressed when necessary to ensure adequate fish passage through the consented culvert is provided as required by Special Condition 8 of consent 6810.

The majority of these recommendations were implemented in the 2015-2016 period, with the exception of the single inspection of the river channel. This component is to be implemented into the 2016-2017 monitoring programme.

### **3.5 Alterations to monitoring programmes for 2016-2017**

In designing and implementing the monitoring programmes for air/water discharges in the region, the Council has taken into account:

- the extent of information made available by previous authorities;
- its relevance under the RMA;

- its obligations to monitor emissions/ discharges and effects under the RMA; and
- to report to the regional community.

The Council also takes into account the scope of assessments required at the time of renewal of permits, and the need to maintain a sound understanding of industrial processes within Taranaki emitting to the atmosphere/ discharging to the environment.

It is proposed that for 2016-2017 year, the following is recommended:

1. The hydrological, physicochemical and data review components of the monitoring programme remain as that performed in the 2015-2016 year.
2. The monitoring programme is expanded to include:
  - a. A biological inspection, which encompasses an assessment of fish passage through the residual flow reach and also through the access culvert located in the unnamed tributary just upstream of the intake gates.
  - b. Macroinvertebrate sampling of one site in the residual flow reach in spring and summer, performed in conjunction with other macroinvertebrate sampling in the Waiwhakaiho Catchment.
3. That the Council liaises with the Company regarding the inclusion of a fish monitoring component in the 2017-2018 monitoring programme.
4. That the Company investigates improving the monitoring of the residual flow, to ensure accurate flow data is collected.

## 4. Recommendations

1. THAT the hydrological, physicochemical and data review components of the monitoring programme for the 2016-2017 year remain at the same level as that undertaken in the 2015-2016 year.
2. THAT the 2016-2017 monitoring programme is expanded to include:
  - a. A biological inspection, which encompasses an assessment of fish passage through the residual flow reach and also through the access culvert located in the unnamed tributary just upstream of the intake gates.
  - b. Macroinvertebrate sampling of one site in the residual flow reach in spring and summer, performed in conjunction with other macroinvertebrate sampling in the Waiwhakaiho Catchment.
3. THAT the Council liaises with the Company regarding the inclusion of a fish monitoring component in the 2017-2018 monitoring programme.
4. THAT the Company investigates improving the monitoring of the residual flow, to ensure accurate flow data is collected.

## Glossary of common terms and abbreviations

Biomonitoring	Assessing the health of the environment using aquatic organisms.
Cumec	A volumetric measure of flow- 1 cubic metre per second (1 m <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ).
Diadromous	A fish with life-cycles encompassing fresh and salt water stages.
Elver	Juvenile eel(s) that has entered freshwater from the sea.
Fresh	Elevated flow in a stream, such as after heavy rainfall.
g/m <sup>3</sup>	Grams per cubic metre, and equivalent to milligrams per litre (mg/L). In water, this is also equivalent to parts per million (ppm), but the same does not apply to gaseous mixtures.
Incident	An event that is alleged or is found to have occurred that may have actual or potential environmental consequences or may involve non-compliance with a consent or rule in a regional plan. Registration of an incident by the Council does not automatically mean such an outcome had actually occurred.
Intervention	Action/s taken by Council to instruct or direct actions be taken to avoid or reduce the likelihood of an incident occurring.
Investigation	Action taken by Council to establish what were the circumstances/events surrounding an incident including any allegations of an incident.
Incident Register	The Incident Register contains a list of events recorded by the Council on the basis that they may have the potential or actual environmental consequences that may represent a breach of a consent or provision in a Regional Plan.
L/s	Litres per second.
m <sup>2</sup>	Square Metres.
Macroinvertebrates	Aquatic insects, snails, worms and other invertebrate animals visible to the naked eye.
MCI	Macroinvertebrate community index; a numerical indication of the state of biological life in a stream that takes into account the sensitivity of the taxa present to organic pollution in stony habitats.
Physicochemical	Measurement of both physical properties (e.g. temperature, clarity, density) and chemical determinants (e.g. metals and nutrients) to characterise the state of an environment.
Residual flow	Flow required to maintain fish passage and/or aquatic habitat.
Resource consent	Refer Section 87 of the RMA. Resource consents include land use consents (refer Sections 9 and 13 of the RMA), coastal permits (Sections 12, 14 and 15), water permits (Section 14) and discharge permits (Section 15).
RMA	<i>Resource Management Act 1991</i> and including all subsequent amendments.
SQMCI <sub>s</sub>	Semi quantitative macroinvertebrate community index.
Temp	Temperature, measured in °C (degrees Celsius).
UI	Unauthorised Incident.

## Bibliography and references

- Jowett I G; 1982: 'The incremental approach to studying stream flows'. NZ case studies. In McColl, R.H.S ed; 'River low flows: conflicts of water use'. Water and Soil Miscellaneous Publication 47:9-15.
- Jowett I G; 1995: 'Flow requirements for fish passage and instream habitat downstream of the Waiaua Hydroelectric Power Scheme Weir' NIWA report.
- Joy, MK and Death, RG 2000: Development and application of a predictive model of riverine fish community assemblages in the Taranaki region of the North Island, New Zealand. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research 34:241-252.
- Leathwick J, Julian K, Elith J & Rowe D 2009. Predicting the distributions of freshwater fish species for all New Zealand's rivers and stream. Prepared by NIWA for the Department of Conservation. NIWA Client Report: HAM2009-005.
- NEMS, 2013: Water Level Recording - Measurement, Processing and Archiving of Water Level Data. National Environmental Monitoring Standards, New Zealand.
- Stark, JD, 1985. A macroinvertebrate community index of water quality for stony streams. Water and Soil Miscellaneous Publication No 87.
- Stark, JD and Fowles, CR; 2006: 'An approach to the evaluation of temporal trends in Taranaki state of the environment macroinvertebrate data'. Cawthron Report No. 1135: 88pp
- Stark, JD and Fowles, CR; 2009. 'Relationships between MCI, site altitude, and distance from source for Taranaki ringplain streams'. Stark Environmental Report No 2009-01: 47pp
- Stark, JD and Maxted, JR, 2007: A user guide for the MCI. Cawthron Report No 1166. 56pp.
- Taranaki Regional Council; 1991a: 'Waiwhakaiho River: New Plymouth Energy water right investigations'. Internal report.
- Taranaki Regional Council; 1991b: 'Waiwhakaiho River: New Plymouth Energy water right investigations'. Internal report.
- Taranaki Regional Council; 1993: 'Taranaki Energy Mangamahoe Hydroelectric Power Scheme and New Plymouth District Council Water Supply Interim Report for the 1992/93 period of the four-year monitoring programme', Internal Report.
- Taranaki Regional Council; 1994: 'Taranaki Energy Mangamahoe Hydroelectric Power Scheme and New Plymouth District Council Water Supply Interim Report for the 1993/94 period of the four-year monitoring programme', Internal Report.
- Taranaki Regional Council; 1995a: 'Taranaki Energy Mangamahoe Hydroelectric Power Scheme and New Plymouth District Council Water Supply. Some effects of power generation releases on water levels and temperatures of the lower Waiwhakaiho River', Interim Monitoring Programme Internal Report.
- Taranaki Regional Council; 1995b: 'Taranaki Energy Mangamahoe Hydroelectric Power Scheme and New Plymouth District Council Water Supply. A further assessment of the

effects of power generation releases on water levels and temperatures of the lower Waiwhakaiho River', Interim Monitoring Programme Internal Report.

Taranaki Regional Council; 1995c: 'Taranaki Energy Mangamahoe Hydroelectric Power Scheme and New Plymouth District Council Water Supply Interim Report for the 1994/95 period of the four-year monitoring programme', Internal Report.

Taranaki Regional Council, 1996: 'Taranaki Energy Mangamahoe Hydroelectric Power Scheme and New Plymouth District Council Water Supply Monitoring Programme Report for period 1992-1995'. TRC Technical Report 95-71.

Taranaki Regional Council, 1997: Powerco Ltd. Mangorei Hydroelectric Power Scheme Monitoring Programme Report for period 1995-97. TRC Technical Report 97-92.

Taranaki Regional Council, 1998: Powerco Ltd. Mangorei Hydroelectric Power Scheme Monitoring Programme Report for period 1997-98. TRC Technical Report 98-76.

Taranaki Regional Council, 1998b: Some statistics from the Taranaki Regional Council database of freshwater macroinvertebrate surveys performed during the period from January 1980 to 31 December 1998 (SEM reference report). TRC Technical Report 99-17.

Taranaki Regional Council, 1999: Powerco Ltd and Taranaki Generation Ltd. Mangorei Hydroelectric Power Scheme Monitoring Programme Report for Period 1998-99. Technical Report 99-88.

Taranaki Regional Council, 2000: Taranaki Generation Ltd. Mangorei Hydroelectric Power Scheme Monitoring Programme Report for period 1999-2000. Technical Report 2000-51.

Taranaki Regional Council, 2001: Taranaki Generation Ltd. Mangorei Hydroelectric Power Scheme Monitoring Programme Report for period 2000-2001. Technical Report 2001-86.

Taranaki Regional Council, 2002: Taranaki Generation Ltd. Mangorei Hydroelectric Power Scheme Monitoring Programme Report for period 2001-2002. Technical Report 2002-34.

Taranaki Regional Council 2003: Taranaki Generation Ltd. Mangorei Hydro Power Station Monitoring Report for 2002-2003. Technical Report 2003-65.

Taranaki Regional Council 2004: Taranaki Generation Ltd. Mangorei Hydro Power Station Monitoring Report for 2003-2004. Technical Report 2004-70.

Taranaki Regional Council 2005: Taranaki Generation Ltd. Mangorei Hydro Power Station Monitoring Report for 2004-2005. Technical Report 2005-84.

Taranaki Regional Council 2006: Taranaki Generation Ltd. Mangorei Hydro Power Station Monitoring Report for 2005-2006. Technical Report 2006-106.

Taranaki Regional Council 2006: An interpretation of the reasons for statistically significant temporal trends in macroinvertebrate (MCI) SEM data in the Taranaki region 1995-2005. Internal Report: 12pp.

Taranaki Regional Council 2007: Taranaki Generation Ltd. Mangorei Hydro Power Station Monitoring Report for 2006-2007. Technical Report 2007-106.



- Taranaki Regional Council 2008: Trustpower Ltd. Mangorei Hydro Power Station Monitoring Report for 2007-2008. Technical Report 2008-71.
- Taranaki Regional Council 2009: Trustpower Ltd. Mangorei Hydro Power Station Monitoring Report for 2008-2009. Technical Report 2009-39.
- Taranaki Regional Council 2010: Trustpower Ltd. Mangorei Hydroelectric Power Scheme Monitoring Programme Annual Report 2009-2010. TRC Technical Report 2010-37.
- Taranaki Regional Council 2011: Trustpower Ltd. Mangorei Hydroelectric Power Scheme Monitoring Programme Annual Report 2010-2011. TRC Technical Report 2011-32.
- Taranaki Regional Council 2012: Trustpower Ltd. Mangorei Hydroelectric Power Scheme Monitoring Programme Annual Report 2011-2012. TRC Technical Report 2012-37.
- Taranaki Regional Council 2013: Trustpower Ltd. Mangorei Hydroelectric Power Scheme Monitoring Programme Annual Report 2012-2013. TRC Technical Report 2013-46.
- Taranaki Regional Council 2014: Trustpower Ltd. Mangorei Hydroelectric Power Scheme Monitoring Programme Annual Report 2013-2014. TRC Technical Report 2014-21.
- Taranaki Regional Council 2016: Trustpower Ltd. Mangorei Hydroelectric Power Scheme Monitoring Programme Annual Report 2014-2015. TRC Technical Report 2014-44.
- Taranaki Regional Council 2016a (in prep): Freshwater Macroinvertebrate Fauna Biological Monitoring Programme Annual State of the Environment Monitoring Report 2015-2016. TRC Technical Report 2016-33.



## **Appendix I**

### **Resource consents held by Trustpower Ltd in relation to the Mangorei HEP Scheme**

**(For a copy of the signed resource consent  
please contact the TRC Consents department)**



**Water Permit**  
**Pursuant to the Resource Management Act 1991**  
**a resource consent is hereby granted by the**  
**Taranaki Regional Council**

Name of  
Consent Holder: TrustPower Limited  
Private Bag 12023  
TAURANGA 3143

Decision Date (Change): 19 April 2013

Commencement Date 19 April 2013 (Granted: 4 September 1996)  
(Change):

**Conditions of Consent**

Consent Granted: To divert up to 10 cubic metres per second of water from the Waiwhakaiho River via a diversion weir and associated intake structures into Lake Mangamahoe through the Mangorei Hydroelectric Power Scheme and back into the river approximately six kilometres downstream of the diversion point

Expiry Date: 1 June 2021

Review Date(s): June 2016

Site Location: Lake Mangamahoe Junction Road New Plymouth

Legal Description: Adjacent to Pt Sec 56 Blk X Paritutu SD (Site of divert)

Grid Reference (NZTM) 1697719E-5668051N

Catchment: Waiwhakaiho

Tributary: Mangamahoe  
Lake Mangamahoe

*For General, Standard and Special conditions  
pertaining to this consent please see reverse side of this document*

### General conditions

- a) That on receipt of a requirement from the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council the consent holder shall, within the time specified in the requirement, supply the information required relating to the exercise of this consent.
- b) That unless it is otherwise specified in the conditions of this consent, compliance with any monitoring requirement imposed by this consent must be at the consent holder's own expense.
- c) That the consent holder shall pay to the Council all required administrative charges fixed by the Council pursuant to section 36 in relation to:
  - (i) the administration, monitoring and supervision of this consent;
  - (ii) charges for the carrying out of the Council's functions under section 35 in relation to this consent; and
  - (iii) charges authorised by regulations.

### Special conditions

1. That the consent holder shall maintain a continuous generation flow release of at least 950 litres/second between 8:00 am and 6:00 pm each day.
2. That the consent holder shall maintain, each 12-month period, the following minimum residual flows in the Waiwhakaiho River below the diversion weir:
  - i) at least 700 litres/second between 1 January and 31 March, effective from 1 January 1998;
  - ii) at least 600 litres/second between 1 January and 31 March, until 31 December 1997;
  - iii) at least 600 litres/second between 1 November and 31 December and during April; and
  - iv) at least 400 litres/second between 1 May and 31 October.
3. No water shall be diverted when the flow in the Waiwhakaiho River is greater than or equal to 85 cubic metres per second.
4. That the consent holder shall install and operate, to the satisfaction of the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council, a measuring device capable of measuring the residual flow to be maintained in the Waiwhakaiho River downstream of the diversion weir, and shall provide records of such measurements to the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council, upon request.
5. That the consent holder shall maintain, as far as reasonably practicable, the river channel below the diversion weir to the 'Meeting of Waters' for the purpose of enhancing available fish passage and habitat, to the satisfaction of the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council; and, the Taranaki Regional Council will inspect the fish passage device and river channel for compliance after any significant river fresh.

Consent 2053-3

6. The consent holder shall ensure a monitoring programme is undertaken that includes:
  - a) sediment sampling that relates the flow in the Waiwhakaiho River to the rate of sediment entering Lake Mangamahoe via the diversion;
  - b) bathymetric surveys that record the change in bathymetry of Lake Mangamahoe between winter 2013 and 31 December 2017; and
  - c) a report assessing the effects of this application and any significant change in bathymetry.
7. That the consent holder shall erect and maintain notices and other warnings as may be required, to the satisfaction of the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council, for adequate protection of public safety to warn the public using the river downstream of the scheme of fluctuations in river flow and of the extent of those fluctuations.
8. The consent holder shall mitigate the environmental effects of the diversion by making annual payments of \$5,000 (GST exclusive) to the Taranaki Regional Council as a financial contribution for the purpose of providing riparian planting and management in the Waiwhakaiho River catchment. The amount to be paid shall be adjusted annually according to the consumer price index, or similar index, to account for the effects of inflation, and be made no later than 1 September each year.
9. That the consent holder and staff of the Taranaki Regional Council shall meet as appropriate, and at least once every two years, with submitters to the consent to discuss any matter relating to the exercise of this resource consent.
10. That the Taranaki Regional Council may review any or all of the conditions of this consent by giving notice of review during June 2001, June 2006, June 2011 and/or June 2016 for the purpose of ensuring that the conditions are adequate to deal with any adverse effects of the diversion on the environment.

Signed at Stratford on 19 April 2013

For and on behalf of  
Taranaki Regional Council



---

**Director-Resource Management**





**Land Use Consent**  
**Pursuant to the Resource Management Act 1991**  
**a resource consent is hereby granted by the**  
**Taranaki Regional Council**

Name of  
Consent Holder: TrustPower Limited  
Private Bag 12023  
TAURANGA

Consent Granted  
Date: 4 September 1996

**Conditions of Consent**

Consent Granted: To dam the Mangamahoe Stream in the Waiwhakaiho Catchment to form Lake Mangamahoe to act as a reservoir of water for hydroelectric power generation purposes at or about 2607400E-6231200N

Expiry Date: 1 June 2021

Review Date(s): June 2001, June 2006, June 2011, June 2016

Site Location: Lake Mangamahoe, Junction Road, New Plymouth

Legal Description: Pt Sec 53 Hua & Waiwhakaiho Hundred Blk X Paritutu SD

Catchment: Waiwhakaiho

Tributary: Mangamahoe

**General conditions**

- (a) That on receipt of a requirement from the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council (hereinafter the Chief Executive), the consent holder shall, within the time specified in the requirement, supply the information required relating to the exercise of this consent.
- (b) That unless it is otherwise specified in the conditions of this consent, compliance with any monitoring requirement imposed by this consent must be at the consent holder's own expense.
- (c) That the consent holder shall pay to the Council all required administrative charges fixed by the Council pursuant to section 36 in relation to:
  - (i) the administration, monitoring and supervision of this consent;
  - (ii) charges for the carrying out of the Council's functions under section 35 in relation to this consent; and
  - (iii) charges authorised by regulations.

**Special conditions**

- 1. That the consent holder shall maintain and operate the dam and associated structures, to the satisfaction of the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council.
- 2. That the consent holder shall maintain a minimum lake level of 750 mm below the crest of the Mangamahoe spillway except during lake weed maintenance periods.
- 3. That the consent holder shall notify the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council, of its intention to temporarily lower Lake Mangamahoe for weed management purposes at least seven days prior to commencing lake dewatering.
- 4. That the Taranaki Regional Council may review any or all of the conditions of this consent by giving notice of review during June 2001, June 2006, June 2011 and/or June 2016 for the purpose of ensuring that the conditions are adequate to deal with any adverse effects of the dam on the environment.

Transferred at Stratford on 31 July 2007

For and on behalf of  
Taranaki Regional Council

---

**Director-Resource Management**

**Water Permit**  
**Pursuant to the Resource Management Act 1991**  
**a resource consent is hereby granted by the**  
**Taranaki Regional Council**

Name of  
Consent Holder: Trustpower Limited  
Private Bag 12023  
Tauranga 3143

Decision Date  
(Change): 16 June 2016

Commencement Date  
(Change): 16 June 2016

**Conditions of Consent**

Consent Granted: To use up to 864,000 cubic metres/day of water from Lake Mangamahoe in the Waiwhakaiho catchment for hydroelectric power generation purposes

Expiry Date: 1 June 2021

Site Location: Lake Mangamahoe, Junction Road, New Plymouth

Grid Reference (NZTM) 1697220E-5669450N

Catchment: Waiwhakaiho

Tributary: Mangamahoe  
Lake Mangamahoe

*For General, Standard and Special conditions  
pertaining to this consent please see reverse side of this document*

**General conditions**

- (a) That on receipt of a requirement from the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council (hereinafter the Chief Executive), the consent holder shall, within the time specified in the requirement, supply the information required relating to the exercise of this consent.
- (b) That unless it is otherwise specified in the conditions of this consent, compliance with any monitoring requirement imposed by this consent must be at the consent holder's own expense.
- (c) That the consent holder shall pay to the Council all required administrative charges fixed by the Council pursuant to section 36 in relation to:
  - (i) the administration, monitoring and supervision of this consent;
  - (ii) charges for the carrying out of the Council's functions under section 35 in relation to this consent; and
  - (iii) charges authorised by regulations.

**Special conditions**

- 1. That the consent holder shall, as far as reasonably practicable, spread its generation during daylight hours in order to maximise the beneficial effect of artificial flows in the lower Waiwhakaiho River.
- 2. That the Taranaki Regional Council may review any or all of the conditions of this consent by giving notice of review during June 2001, June 2006, June 2011 and/or June 2016 for the purpose of ensuring that the conditions are adequate to deal with any adverse effects of the water use on the environment.

Signed at Stratford on 16 June 2016

For and on behalf of  
Taranaki Regional Council

---

A D McLay  
**Director - Resource Management**

**Land Use Consent**  
**Pursuant to the Resource Management Act 1991**  
**a resource consent is hereby granted by the**  
**Taranaki Regional Council**

Name of  
Consent Holder: TrustPower Limited  
Private Bag 12023  
TAURANGA

Consent Granted  
Date: 4 September 1996

**Conditions of Consent**

Consent Granted: To erect and maintain structures in the Mangamahoe Stream in the Waiwhakaiho Catchment to dam the stream to form Lake Mangamahoe for hydroelectric power generation purposes at or about 2607400E-6231200N

Expiry Date: 1 June 2021

Review Date(s): June 2001, June 2006, June 2011, June 2016

Site Location: Lake Mangamahoe Junction Road New Plymouth

Legal Description: Pt Sec 53 Hua & Waiwhakaiho Hundred Blk X Paritutu SD

Catchment: Waiwhakaiho

Tributary: Mangamahoe  
Lake Mangamahoe

**General conditions**

- (a) That on receipt of a requirement from the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council (hereinafter the Chief Executive), the consent holder shall, within the time specified in the requirement, supply the information required relating to the exercise of this consent.
- (b) That unless it is otherwise specified in the conditions of this consent, compliance with any monitoring requirement imposed by this consent must be at the consent holder's own expense.
- (c) That the consent holder shall pay to the Council all required administrative charges fixed by the Council pursuant to section 36 in relation to:
  - (i) the administration, monitoring and supervision of this consent;
  - (ii) charges for the carrying out of the Council's functions under section 35 in relation to this consent; and
  - (iii) charges authorised by regulations.

**Special conditions**

- 1. That the consent holder shall maintain and operate the structures, to the satisfaction of the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council.
- 2. That the Taranaki Regional Council may review any or all of the conditions of this consent by giving notice of review during June 2001, June 2006, June 2011 and/or June 2016 for the purpose of ensuring that the conditions are adequate to deal with any adverse effects of the structures on the environment.

Transferred at Stratford on 31 July 2007

For and on behalf of  
Taranaki Regional Council

---

**Director-Resource Management**

**Land Use Consent**  
**Pursuant to the Resource Management Act 1991**  
**a resource consent is hereby granted by the**  
**Taranaki Regional Council**

Name of  
Consent Holder: TrustPower Limited  
Private Bag 12023  
TAURANGA

Consent Granted  
Date: 4 September 1996

**Conditions of Consent**

Consent Granted: To erect and maintain structures associated with the diversion of water from the Waiwhakaiho River into Lake Mangamahoe for hydroelectric power generation purposes at or about 2607800E-6229800N

Expiry Date: 1 June 2021

Review Date(s): June 2001, June 2006, June 2011, June 2016

Site Location: Lake Mangamahoe, Junction Road, New Plymouth

Legal Description: Lot 5 DP 4414 Pt Secs 13 & 166 Hua & Waiau SD

Catchment: Waiwhakaiho

Tributary: Lake Mangamahoe

**General conditions**

- (a) That on receipt of a requirement from the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council (hereinafter the Chief Executive), the consent holder shall, within the time specified in the requirement, supply the information required relating to the exercise of this consent.
- (b) That unless it is otherwise specified in the conditions of this consent, compliance with any monitoring requirement imposed by this consent must be at the consent holder's own expense.
- (c) That the consent holder shall pay to the Council all required administrative charges fixed by the Council pursuant to section 36 in relation to:
  - (i) the administration, monitoring and supervision of this consent;
  - (ii) charges for the carrying out of the Council's functions under section 35 in relation to this consent; and
  - (iii) charges authorised by regulations.

**Special conditions**

- 1. That the consent holder shall maintain and operate the structures, to the satisfaction of the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council.
- 2. That the consent holder shall install and maintain, to the satisfaction of the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council, a structure at the diversion weir to enable the passage of native fish, juvenile trout and adult trout.
- 3. That the consent holder shall maintain a device capable of meeting the residual flow requirements of the consent, to the satisfaction of the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council.
- 4. That the Taranaki Regional Council may review any or all of the conditions of this consent by giving notice of review during June 2001, June 2006, June 2011 and/or June 2016 for the purpose of ensuring that the conditions are adequate to deal with any adverse effects of the structures on the environment.

Transferred at Stratford on 31 July 2007

For and on behalf of  
Taranaki Regional Council

---

**Director-Resource Management**



**Discharge Permit**  
**Pursuant to the Resource Management Act 1991**  
**a resource consent is hereby granted by the**  
**Taranaki Regional Council**

Name of  
Consent Holder: TrustPower Limited  
Private Bag 12023  
TAURANGA

Consent Granted  
Date: 4 September 1996

**Conditions of Consent**

Consent Granted: To discharge up to 150,000 litres/second of water from Lake Mangamahoe via a spillway into the Mangamahoe Stream in the Waiwhakaiho Catchment under emergency conditions associated with hydroelectric generation purposes at or about 2607400E-6231200N

Expiry Date: 1 June 2021

Review Date(s): June 2001, June 2006, June 2011, June 2016

Site Location: Lake Mangamahoe, Junction Road, New Plymouth

Legal Description: Pt Sec 53 Hua & Waiwhakaiho Hundred Blk X Paritutu SD

Catchment: Waiwhakaiho

Tributary: Mangamahoe  
Lake Mangamahoe

**General conditions**

- (a) That on receipt of a requirement from the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council (hereinafter the Chief Executive), the consent holder shall, within the time specified in the requirement, supply the information required relating to the exercise of this consent.
- (b) That unless it is otherwise specified in the conditions of this consent, compliance with any monitoring requirement imposed by this consent must be at the consent holder's own expense.
- (c) That the consent holder shall pay to the Council all required administrative charges fixed by the Council pursuant to section 36 in relation to:
  - (i) the administration, monitoring and supervision of this consent;
  - (ii) charges for the carrying out of the Council's functions under section 35 in relation to this consent; and
  - (iii) charges authorised by regulations.

**Special condition**

- 1. That the Taranaki Regional Council may review any or all of the conditions of this consent by giving notice of review during June 2001, June 2006, June 2011 and/or June 2016 for the purpose of ensuring that the conditions are adequate to deal with any adverse effects of the discharge on the environment.

Transferred at Stratford on 31 July 2007

For and on behalf of  
Taranaki Regional Council

---

**Director-Resource Management**

**Land Use Consent**  
**Pursuant to the Resource Management Act 1991**  
**a resource consent is hereby granted by the**  
**Taranaki Regional Council**

Name of  
Consent Holder: TrustPower Limited  
Private Bag 12023  
TAURANGA

Consent Granted  
Date: 6 March 2006

**Conditions of Consent**

Consent Granted: To erect, place and maintain a culvert in an unnamed tributary of the Waiwhakaiho River for access purposes at or about GR: P19:071-301

Expiry Date: 1 June 2020

Review Date(s): June 2008, June 2014

Site Location: Lake Mangamahoe, Junction Road, New Plymouth

Legal Description: Lots 3 & 4 DP 20530

Catchment: Waiwhakaiho

### **General conditions**

- a) On receipt of a requirement from the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council the consent holder shall, within the time specified in the requirement, supply the information required relating to the exercise of this consent.
- b) Unless it is otherwise specified in the conditions of this consent, compliance with any monitoring requirement imposed by this consent must be at the consent holder's own expense.
- c) The consent holder shall pay to the Council all required administrative charges fixed by the Council pursuant to section 36 in relation to:
  - i) the administration, monitoring and supervision of this consent; and
  - ii) charges authorised by regulations.

### **Special conditions**

1. The consent holder shall adopt the best practicable option, as defined in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991, to avoid or minimise the discharge of silt or other contaminants into water or onto the riverbed and to avoid or minimise the disturbance of the riverbed and any adverse effects on water quality.
2. The exercise of this consent shall be undertaken generally in accordance with the documentation submitted in support of application 4114. In the case of any contradiction between the documentation submitted in support of application 4114 and the conditions of this consent, the conditions of this consent shall prevail.
3. The consent holder shall notify the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council, in writing at least 48 hours prior to the commencement and upon completion of the initial installation and again at least 48 hours prior to and upon completion of any subsequent maintenance works which would involve disturbance of or deposition to the riverbed or discharges to water.
4. Any instream works shall take place only between 1 November and 30 April inclusive, except where this requirement is waived in writing by the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council.
5. The consent holder shall ensure that the area and volume of riverbed disturbance shall, so far as practicable, be minimised and any areas which are disturbed shall, so far as practicable, be reinstated.

## Consent 6810-1

6. After allowing for reasonable mixing, being a mixing zone extending seven times the width of the surface water body at the point of discharge, the discharge shall not give rise to any of the following effects in any surface water body:
  - a) the production of any conspicuous oil or grease films, scums or foams, or floatable or suspended materials;
  - b) any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity;
  - c) any emission of objectionable odour;
  - d) the rendering of fresh water unsuitable for consumption by farm animals;
  - e) any significant adverse effects on aquatic life.
7. Except with the written agreement of the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council, the structure[s] authorised by this consent shall be removed and the area reinstated, if and when the structure[s] are no longer required. The consent holder shall notify the Taranaki Regional Council at least 48 hours prior to structure[s] removal and reinstatement.
8. The exercise of this consent shall not alter the natural flow of the river or restrict the passage of fish.
9. The exercise of this consent shall not result in the significant ponding of water upstream of the culvert.
10. Prior to the exercise of this consent, the consent holder shall provide for the written approval of the Chief Executive, Taranaki Regional Council, a site erosion and sediment control management plan.
11. This consent shall lapse on the expiry of five years after the date of issue of this consent, unless the consent is given effect to before the end of that period or the Taranaki Regional Council fixes a longer period pursuant to section 125(1)(b) of the Resource Management Act 1991.
12. In accordance with section 128 and section 129 of the Resource Management Act 1991, the Taranaki Regional Council may serve notice of its intention to review, amend, delete or add to the conditions of this resource consent by giving notice of review during the month of June 2008 and/or June 2014, for the purpose of ensuring that the conditions are adequate to deal with any adverse effects on the environment arising from the exercise of this resource consent, which were either not foreseen at the time the application was considered or which it was not appropriate to deal with at the time.

Signed at Stratford on 6 March 2006

For and on behalf of  
Taranaki Regional Council

---

**Director-Resource Management**

