

#	Name	District				Yarrow Stadium Options										LGFA		Comments
		NP	S	ST	O	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	O	Y	N		
1	James Aitken				1										1			I want to let you know of my support for the idea of rebuilding New Plymouth's main rugby stadium, Yarrow Stadium, on the grounds where the New Plymouth Raceway is currently standing. If the New Plymouth Raceway races were moved to the Te Kapua Park Race Course in Stratford it would open the opportunity to transform Pukekura Raceway into a fantastic multisport complex. This complex could have a main modern stadium centrepiece with a capacity of around 25,000 that has a roof, maybe retractable if it is within reach of budget, and then various fields and courts surrounding it to support grassroots and some more social tournaments of Rugby, Football (Soccer), Hockey, Netball, Tennis and other sports played in New Plymouth and Taranaki.
2	Logan Silson				1										1			I want to let you know of my support for the idea of rebuilding New Plymouth's main rugby stadium, Yarrow Stadium, on the grounds where the New Plymouth Race Course is currently standing. If the New Plymouth Race Course events were moved to either Te Kapua Park Race Course in Stratford or the Hawera Race Course, it would open the opportunity to transform the New Plymouth Race Course into a fantastic multisport complex while also giving more racing events to either Stratford or Hawera. This complex could have a main modern stadium centrepiece with a capacity of around 15,000 that can be expanded to over 20,000 for larger events that could host all Football codes and if possible Cricket, and then various fields and courts surrounding it to support grassroots and some social tournaments of Rugby, Football (Soccer), Hockey, Netball, Cricket, Tennis and many other sports played in New Plymouth and Taranaki. The New Plymouth Community would benefit hugely from an all in one sports multiplex in a centralised location. There is the option of creating a High-Performance Sports NZ base with so many sports grounds in one place and room to develop more such as indoor squash, badminton, and a full-sized pool. This would all be across the road from WITT which would be able to develop courses for the various sports. By having so many people in one location there are opportunities for businesses to set up food stalls and trucks, even a marketplace on weekends. This would add to the economy in Taranaki along with events for everyone to enjoy. The New Plymouth Raceway and existing buildings are on a huge amount of land that we as a community do not benefit enough from, this would help fix that enormously by having a world class stadium for a city of our size that can host multiple sports and events, grassroots sports fields and courts, and a park for people/dogs to play in with the option of weekend markets hosted in a prime area. The stadium being built here will be distanced from residents so they will not be disturbed by the noise of sports and events going on at night which is a big bonus. There will also be room for parking to support the stadium and community sports which would be a large improvement on where Yarrow Stadium is located now. With Yarrow Stadium needing at least both stands repaired to return it back to a fully working state and this costing \$55m and not being much of a gain for New Plymouth, I think that we should consider fixing just one of the stands for a lower price so the TRFU can play games out of Yarrow temporarily while the new stadium could be being built to help keep costs down and to not bankrupt the TRFU. With most sports then sharing one base the costs of running the amenities and maintaining the grounds will work out much cheaper than individual locations and because the various sports can pool resources, they have the option of getting more expensive things for the enjoyment of the community. To help fund this endeavour you could sell some, or all, of the Yarrow Stadium grounds to developers for sub-division as it is in a great area, also the various other community sports grounds such as the Netball courts beside the Waiwhakaiho River could be sold. You could charge a small fee for parking at the multi-sports hub when it is finished. Some of the larger businesses in Taranaki such as TSB, Todd energy, Fonterra, etc. could be approached for sponsorship of the stadium and smaller businesses for the infrastructure of the surrounding sports grounds. The Government, NZRU, and other sports bodies could be approached for funding as this would be a massive boost for grassroots sports in our region that would boost NZ as a whole. This image was created in support of the multisport complex idea by a member of the community. It gives a good idea of how the sports fields and facilities can fit in this area. In short this would be an incredible project for Taranaki and New Plymouth to undertake that could be completed over the years as an ongoing project.
3	Abbey Silson				1										1			I want to let you know of my support for the idea of rebuilding New Plymouth's main rugby stadium, Yarrow Stadium, on the grounds where the New Plymouth Raceway is currently standing. If the New Plymouth Raceway races were moved to the Te Kapua Park Race Course in Stratford it would open the opportunity to transform Pukekura Raceway into a fantastic multisport complex. This complex could have a main modern stadium centrepiece with a capacity of around 25,000 that has a roof, maybe retractable if it is within reach of budget, and then various fields and courts surrounding it to support grassroots and some more social tournaments of Rugby, Football (Soccer), Hockey, Netball, Tennis and other sports played in New Plymouth and Taranaki.
4	Peter Hodgkinson	1					1											I believe insufficient emphasis has been made on the following items - which may result in further capital costs in the near future (irrespective of option). These items may also have affected option choice if they were properly rationalised as part of the investigations. 1. Traffic and parking impact - may affect design of stadium. 2. Stadium Evacuation Procedure - may affect design of stadium. 3. Response to terrorist/fire/earthquake/volcano/disaster - may affect design of stadium. 4. Each option should have a Traffic Management Plan for events. 5. Generally, parking should be considered e.g. has any thought gone into a park-and-ride system with a turn-around spot for buses?. 6. Future-proof your stadium design - check with Government on forthcoming legislation or ideologies - so as to ensure that future stadium evacuations, or traffic plans are allowed for in current design. 7. If you do put effort into traffic/parking etc. - consider consulting with (example) Everton or Liverpool football clubs - who have stadia in housing areas - and likely have robust procedures and policies. 8. If you do put effort into a park-and-ride system - consider consulting with golf's The Open event organisers.
5	Mitchell Graham	1				1												Create a playing surface that will suit the requirements of International cricket as well as winter codes. Sports all year round. Grass banks for viewing the matches are a great family occasion, imagine Yarrow Oval, just like Hagley Oval or University Oval. Include a cricket pavilion in the renovation.
6	Will Johnston	1							1						1			It has been a sad 2018 for Taranaki sport all due to the stadium-woes. However, the TRC has an opportunity to get Yarrow Stadium back to how we all knew it, with some expansion. Option 4 is an ideal idea and will ensure that the character of the stadium isn't compromised, but at the same time expands the facility to make it even better. While Option 2 would be my second option, #4 is a perfect replacement to expand the facility. We cannot lose sight about what Yarrow Stadium brings to the region. Option 1 is a massive step backwards for a province which feeds off being given All Black fixtures and other major events higher than provincial level. #1, is the cheapest option, but not appropriate for what our region aims to achieve in the sporting world. Option 4 would ensure that it is still possible to achieve that, while also accommodating to the market outside of sport with expanded areas behind the East Stand. I understand that extra funding would be required for Option 4, hence why I could settle with Option 2 that won't compromise the stadium. Yarrow Stadium was named in the top three of the best rugby stadium's in the country, so let's not forget what it was like. We have an opportunity to have a say and an opportunity to make Yarrow Stadium brilliant again!
7	Lee Hurley			1		1												There is no option for removal without replacement. South Taranaki council are being asked to support this, but here in Manaia they have closed our sports facility and it will not reopen, that was used by our locals and kids who will not travel to New Plymouth but you want us to pay for your facility. Yarrow's stadium is used by professional sports groups like NZRFU etc., they are million dollar businesses, they have million dollar sponsors, They should be good enough businessmen and women to fund their own sports without coming to towns 100km away to get money from everyone probably more than 50% will not use, if they cannot manage to manage their funds they should never be in a position where they manage finance at all. Users should pay same as Len Lye gallery, What they worry about is if prices go up they know attendances will fall, which should be an indication that even those who use the stadium are not so committed as to pay more, but will attend if it is subsidised by others. Unemployed people, pensioners. Low income workers, cannot afford to travel to make use of the stadium, even if they had an interest in what goes on there, so they are asking us to subsidise those who do use it and can afford at present rates to use it. This should never have even been considered. Vote NO
8	Ross Calgher	1				1												We have as ratepayers already paid in the way of our rates many times for this white elephant now you want to on top of what we are paying to fix the disgusting work that has been done, the millions that were spent not years ago upgrading kitchens etc. just before oh Dear we are not earth quake proof. That have not been used???. That upgrade should not have been done till the earthquake test was carried out. This whole stadium has been a disaster from day one. With the rebuild the cyclists had to go [good on them they built their own set up]. We would have

#	Name	District				Yarrow Stadium Options										LGFA		Comments
		NP	S	ST	O	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	O	Y	N		
						1												crickets there/oh dear it's too small for cricket. A multi sports stadium yea right it for Rugby let the rugby union build it not the ratepayers .After all the rugby is what is was built for. I do not follow rugby or cricket or bike racing there or other sports that need help here. Road being closed for Motorsport are horrendous cost to council, we need a motor racing track here. As a pensioner where do I find the extra money to pay for this? In a few years it will need more money spent on it and here we go again. Its needs not wants. But of course you have already made up your mind and this is only lip service to say we have consulted with the community.
9	Alan Brasell	1					1											No comments.
10	Leon Bell	1															1	Let's think of the future and be prepared to expand. Attract more International Rugby games. Perhaps cricket. More sport events. The north exit of the city had out grown it's self before it was completed so let's not do the same here with the stadium. Yes it is a lot of money. At \$271,000,000 now but in 10 years it will be double that. Think for the future. Not just now.
11	Shirley Paul	1				1												Enough money has been spent and then wasted on the stands so just demolish stands. Our rates are getting expensive enough now.
12	Deane Whitmore, Tse Taranaki & Associates Ltd	1				1												There are enough facilities around the province to distribute the rugby viewing population which is on the decline due to better coverage from SKI Sports and Spark now entering the arena of sports telecasts.
13	Peter Marks			1			1											Charging ratepayers an extra 50.00 is a bit steep there's a lot of ratepayers that won't be able to afford it and I shouldn't have to pay for a building I'd never use.
14	Barry Upson	1				1												I ask whether the option of selling Yarrow Stadium (redevelop into residential), move New Plymouth RC to Egmont RC (Hawera) and creating a new multisport facility at the NP Racecourse has been considered. Such a project would: 1. Provide funding towards the project from the sale of Yarrow Stadium. 2. Create more much needed residential land for NPDC. 3. Solve Egmont racetrack pending closure. 4. Create a sports complex with ample joint facilities and parking and cater for big rugby and cricket events as well as other sports.
15	Allan Gordge	1								1								Should make the effort to do upgrades within reason! Option 2 should be the minimum requirement and NOT go backwards by taking the very cheap options.
16	Martin Kidby	1					1											The main reason I have voted no2, is that it will mainly be used for Taranaki rugby, the stands will never be full, so why add more seating. If a roof is added this will be great for concerts, but then what happens to the bowl, best outdoor venue in the north island, shame to see that not used.
17	Paul Garvin	1									1							It would be nice to have a roof but option 4 is the best & most common-sense approach. Do it now as it will never be cheaper.
18	Dr Stuart Jeanne Bramhall	1				1												Owing to major transition expected in energy and dairy industry, there are much higher priorities for ratepayers hard earned dollars.
19	Paul Woodgate	1					1											This proposed (targeted)rates rise is looming at a time when more demands are about to be made on ratepayers, one of which is an increase in waste disposal charges. Our council and mayor have held the rate increase this year to 3.8%,citing ,amongst other things, families under financial stress. That is appreciated. I won't quote facts and figures-others have that information. PLEASE consider those in the community who are older and/or on a fixed income. Regional and District Councils have a responsibility always to the folks who pay them. Thank you.
20	David & Serena Loake	1				1												
21	Nita Richardson	1					1											
22	John Craig		1			1												Totally unnecessary to do a massive job, and at such a big cost to ratepayers, especially in Stratford and South Taranaki districts.
23	Kevin Barriball	1					1											None of the above. You say there's no material damage so what are we fixing for \$55 million dollars? Demolish the East Stand and have a grass embankment No material damage to the Western Stand so what's to fix. Cover the rest of the terraces and upgrade the seating. You now have a ground that keeps people protected from the weather and the ground would be ideal for International cricket.
24	David Bird	1								1								The addition to East stand will be hugely beneficial for a variety of events and worth extra investment.
25	Karen Martin	1															1	This option will pay for itself in the future and will attract many more artists for concerts, which will also provide revenue to local business.
26	Barbara Hammonds	1				1												I had to choose one but really my preference is none of the above. I think the option suggested by Tony Bedford should be explored further. I.e. demolish the west stand and repair the east stand.
27	Dave Huzziff	1				1												Remove the roofs and leave the stands there.
28	R J O'Halloran	1				1												The current and projected numbers of spectators in my view do not justify the necessity for two covered stands or a targeted rate. I recommend that the west stand be demolished and that the East stand be repaired.
29	Richard Woodd	1					1											The TRC's support for Option 2 involves a major leap of faith because the BERL report does not analyse the past use and does not even attempt to quantify future use of the stadium. It states: "In future, Taranaki can expect that the Present Value to them of the future stream of value shall be considerably larger than that estimated at \$100 million for 2003 to 2017, because the repaired Yarrow Stadium will last considerably longer than 15 years, and will provide a higher level of service and amenities in future than in the past. " I would have thought it would be important to summarise the past use in terms of types of use and the numbers attending, and show not averages but actual, so it will be obvious if interest is declining, and possibly discuss the reasons why. Also, what is the potential for an increased range of uses, such as perhaps cricket and concerts. Rugby is held up to be the anchor pin. I believe that major rugby fixtures held here have declined in frequency, as have the attendances. BERL does note however that the benefits of the stadium cannot be monetised and concludes: "It would significantly compromise New Plymouth's and Taranaki's attraction to people and businesses if Yarrow Stadium was not repaired or replaced." I am not anti-stadium and I realise it is a difficult financial choice for the region.

#	Name	District				Yarrow Stadium Options										LGFA		Comments
		NP	S	ST	O	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	O	Y	N		
45	Dillon O'Sullivan			1			1											Progress needs to be now so repairs can start at the end of the rugby season and the park is ready for 2019. TSB stand first. The delay in deciding what to do is an insult to the ratepayers of Taranaki. If you cannot start the repairs by August 2019 you must stop charging ratepayers. My rates are not allowing me to enjoy a facility I pay for. Nowhere else do you pay for something and get nothing in return.
46	Pamela McCarroll	1					1											After reading information received on the future Yarrow Stadium. I have come up with the following . * Repair the East stand FIRST and get it up and running then doth e West stand. As history usually shows that building always take longer to erect and cost more. So the sooner work is under the way the better. *Attendance by matches could be increased by making the prices more reasonable. Think of a free day or bargain prices for early buyers. * Look at trying to utilize the facilities more not only the buildings but the grounds. Talk to other sporting organizations, clubs etc. Could be a chance of extra income. *Look how Tim Shadbolt turned Invercargill around. Think outside the square and make Taranaki buzz again. I wish you all the best of luck with this project will be watching with great interest. Regards Pamela McCarroll
47	Flo Blyde	1							1								1	This is a good facility bringing great events into the region. I would like to see this remain.
48	Malcolm Greig	1															1	Build an all-weather multi-use international quality sports and entertainment facility at the NP race course site. Financing the project would include selling the Fitzroy golf club and Rugby Park. Shift horse racing to Hawera. Integrate the new facility with the Bowl of Brooklands and Pukekura Park. All facilities would then be within walking distance of the CBD and many current accommodations. Only the most courageous, strongest, determined and most visionary of leadership would overcome the inevitable aggressive, self-serving and narrow minded objections from a hundred plus Fitzroy golfers, another few hundred NP racing people and other self interest groups focused on their current personal interests and circumstances rather than the greater good of providing for future generations of many, many thousands of our citizens.
49	John Major Disability Information Centre	1					1											If the East Stand is to be altered, the accessible seating, could they be installed in a less exposed area as when it rains from the west, the seating is very exposed to the weather (rain).
50	Emma Salmon	1					1										1	We need a multi sports facility as our current one is outdated. More people play other sports than rugby in Taranaki so it would be more beneficial for our community than upgrading Yarrow stadium to only house rugby. Council rejected a proposed update of TSB stadium recently & yet are willing to spend more money than that on yarrow stadium. I think it's only fair that we do some future proofing now for the sports facilities in New Plymouth.
51	Hayley Walls	1				1												The cost to the public for options 2-8 is too large for a single use facility that is used a handful of times a year for predominantly one sport. The TRC should have investigated the option of a multiuse sports facility that could be used by a much larger portion of the Taranaki population. In particular the New Plymouth area is very short of indoor stadium space for sports such as basketball, netball, volleyball, Futsal. All of these sports are growing in Taranaki while the number of junior Rugby players is rapidly decreasing yet we prioritise this sport over growing sports?
52	Robert Lord	1							1									-
53	Paul Lobb	1					1											No option above for what I would prefer. I would like another option of demolish west stand and replace with open seating. Repair East Stand.
54	Jim Duff	1							1									I support the strengthening of the stadium although I don't believe there's anything wrong with it just over zealous engineers however the powers that be must select their option then first apply to the " Provincial Growth fund ". At least 50% of the cost should be applied for I think this is the perfect fit for this fund Of tax payers money after all rate payers have built the stadium once. The strongest case possible must be put up and then rate payers maybe pay and bank roll the project after that. This stadium has so much history so it must be maintained. I have been going there for 60 years right back to the late 50s shield era.
55	Vodafone Warriors				1		1											I can confirm the Vodafone Warriors would always be interested in travelling to New Plymouth and other regions around New Zealand, but in reality it comes down to the economics of doing so. We need it to be financially robust enough for us to do so, and for this to happen we need to generate a gate of approximately 18-20,000 people therefore depending on the capacity of the stadium with appropriate corporate space I can confirm there would be interest. Hopefully this assists with the decision making for the new stadium.
56	Nitro Circus				1		1											On behalf of any future Nitro Circus Live tours or other events we plan on bringing to NZ, we would definitely consider holding the show at the new stadium. Good luck with the redevelopment and I look forward to seeing the end result.
57	Milton Alfred William Griffin			1		1												I object to paying AGAIN for Yarrow Stadium. If New Plymouth people want the Stands replaced they should, 1. Hold accountable the people responsible for not building them properly the first time (only 17 years ago.). 2. Find Sponsors to cover much of the cost. 3. Have New Plymouth Council residents cover the rest of the cost.(after all New Plymouth people did not pay anything towards the Hub in Hawera).
58	Grant Boyde		1			1												There is no option for only having one stand, the \$58.65 [in gst] for the 4515 Stratford rate payers comes to \$264,804 a year so that \$6,620,118 over the 25 years. Also the New Plymouth Council control it so as in the past it has been a underutilized standard asset. What measures would be put in place so it is fully utilized .As i have been told by a very large number of ratepayers that 6 million dollars would be better going into our own towns assets that would also support the whole of Taranaki .A rethink in a lower priced option between option 1 and 2 should be explored.
59	Taranaki Rugby Football Union Inc				1		1											Taranaki Rugby Football Union (TRFU) takes this opportunity to thank the Taranaki Stadium Trust (TST) and the Taranaki Regional Council (TRC) for providing Yarrow Stadium as the premier regional events centre to the public of the Taranaki region since 2003 through to December 2017. During this time the TRFU was a tenant at the facility in what was considered one of the best venues in New Zealand and an iconic stadium throughout the world. Over this 15-year period, a Berl report completed in October 2018 estimates the total economic value to the Taranaki region of the Yarrow Stadium Regional facility was \$100M. Events at this regional facility over the same period have attracted 245,000 visitors to the Taranaki province and an estimated \$45-\$55M added to Taranaki GDP. There is no argument that the Taranaki region needs a Regional Facility for a multitude of purposes. Hosting a significant variety of events, with the iconic Yarrow Stadium the jewel in the provinces' crown, provides a sense of pride to our region where the above noted economic value is testament. The challenging position that the region now faces due to the earthquake prone issues with the east and west stands at the venue which have deemed the facility unfit to host significant events is frustrating and a serious detriment to the province. We have lost our ability to bring major events to Taranaki and to our 118,000 people. We require a collective 'Team Taranaki' approach to remedy this situation to ensure that we at least reinstate the facility back to previous capacity as a minimum to continue to attract these key public occasions. The TRFU thank the TST and TRC for working within their limitations and providing option two where they are proposing to repair both stands and update facilities which the TRFU fully supports. The TRFU is also supportive of option four which is a repair of both stands, additional seating and community facilities that will provide the region with a true national convention centre if the extra funding for this option can be found. Taranaki Rugby is in its 134th year of existence being the sixth oldest province in New Zealand, a foundation member of NZ Rugby and the sixth highest producer of All Blacks with 82. We are a not for profit

#	Name	District				Yarrow Stadium Options										LGFA		Comments
		NP	S	ST	O	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	O	Y	N		
136	Lynette Childs	1					1											
137	Scott Williamson			1			1											
138	Mike Childs		1				1											
139	Helen Foreman	1				1												Why can't you just earthquake strengthen what is there? This should have been an option! No need for all of the extras like a gym etc. Time to spend some money on other sports!
140	Jaimin Benton	1					1											
141	Shane M Butler	1					1											
142	Jonathan Walker	1								1								To stay commercial attractive and offer Taranaki a home for events the stadium must not only be repaired but upgrade to meet the demands of future events, if we do nothing now we will need to spend more later.
143	Jim Clarkson		1			1												I do not believe upgrade costs should be at ratepayer expense. Happy to pay an increased fee if using the facility = user pays.
144	Joshua Swetman	1					1											Yarrow Stadium is a big value to the Taranaki community and the rugby community the faster that this can be fixed the better hence my vote for the option to fix the stands and upgrade the facilities. Yarrow Stadium is one of the best stadiums in the country with awesome views for spectators and one of the best maintained grounds around, I don't think that should be changed at all.
145	David Bishop	1									1							
146	Wayne Hughes				1		1											Taranaki needs a regional sports facility.
147	John Lynskey	1					1											
148	Carole Lynskey	1					1											
149	Anna	1										1						
150	John Eagles	1					1											Support option 2 but with upgrade to option 4 if funds available It is important that Taranaki has a national and international standard Stadium available for Rugby and other events The additional facilities set out in option 4 would increase the use and ability for Taranaki to attract events and conferences for the benefit of persons resident in New Plymouth The economic benefit to Taranaki as set out in the BERL report is important to continue to bring into the Province
151	Dwight Murfitt	1									1							
152	Ross Andrews	1									1							
153	Brian Edlin	1									1							
154	Bryan Boulton	1									1							
155	Jim Cameron	1									1							
156	Neil Barnes	1									1							
157	Damian Leuthard	1									1							
158	Ian Kearns	1									1							
159	Gary Wilks	1					1											Stop pissing around and get on with doing something constructive. You have wasted one year plus already. The way you are going (Option 1 will become the option because the present facilities will be rundown and need replacing). "They have rebuilt the Kaikoura route quicker than "you lot" have done on this project"
160	Mike Stachurski	1					1											Please get on with it.
161	Don Morris	1					1											Just get the repairs done ASAP so we all get on with life and stop the bleeding.
162	John Davidson	1					1											Get on with it. Don't sit around. It needs to be started now. New Plymouth needs this Stadium for all different fixtures.
163	Owen Williams	1					1											As a long-time supporter of the TRFU, I have seen many games played at Rugby Park/Yarrows Stadium. The closure was a huge disappointment and took away the enjoyment and desire to watch games when seated or standing behind the goal posts. I appreciated the efforts to accommodate spectators in 2017 but it was not the same. I did not attend some games for this season. I have had discussions with a number of TRFU followers who have stated they will NOT attend until seating is available in the stands. Should funding become available I endorse the OPTION 4 with extra seating etc. Please make it happen quickly – probably too late for 2020 season. Can work be carried out on the stand with the least work required first? All the best – Go the "NAKI".
164	Gary Robertson	1									1							
165	Mike Sandle	1									1							I believe we need to re-invest into Yarrow Stadium to future proof our region in attracting World Class Sporting Events, entertainment, and community initiatives. Taranaki is an attractive place to live and in a changing world I see Taranaki growing. We need to change the mind-set that Yarrow Stadium is rugby only. Rugby should co-exist with other sports as well as community events. Adding Community facilities will also enhance and make the facility attractive in hosting numerous other events. We need to be forward thinking and leave something for future generations. Either that and roll over and stagnate. We have an opportunity to be a regional leader. If we do nothing or take a cheap option we are letting down future generations. We are small but we should be innovative.
166	Lesley Burkett				1						1							New Plymouth is an up and coming city which is growing exponentially. Yarrow Stadium is an asset that needs to be upgraded to a high standard so it remains a facility for the community and also an asset that will bring in tourists and visitors, not only to watch rugby, but to attend cultural events as well. Nga mihi nui koutou.
167	Henry Marchant		1								1							I believe that this is a great opportunity to future proof the stadium as much as possible. Taranaki needs a facility like this, just as important as roads and parks.
168	Paul Roberts	1					1											I also support option 4 if additional third party funding can be sourced. I do not agree with Sport Taranaki and some New Plymouth based sporting organisations assertion that the TRC funding the re build of the stadium will hold back the growth of their sports as the development and growth of facilities such as the TSB Stadium should led by the NPDC as it only New Plymouth residents that will benefit from this. This is quite different from the regional stadium that provides regional benefit.

#	Name	District				Yarrow Stadium Options										LGFA		Comments
		NP	S	ST	O	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	O	Y	N		
169	Grant Morris	1							1									
170	Jane Gower	1				1												I support an option between 1 and 2 however, not if it jeopardises the future of other sports. I don't believe there is not another option around \$30mil which would have the stands and the rugby union could fund the other 'nice to haves' themselves. Attendance at rugby matches NZ and worldwide is falling, we shouldn't be investing all our money into one sport when so many other community sports are restricted in their facilities and turning teams away. We need to grow sport participation in Taranaki, not just focus on one code.
171	Andrew Thompson	1							1									
172	Laura Campbell	1							1									
173	Stefanie Chapman	1					1											I have only ever been to one game at Yarrow Stadium and think \$55m is a lot of money to spend on one sport's stadium. I would like to see the background developed with astroturf and toilet/change room facilities to cater for other sports (football, basketball, netball etc) with potentially coin-operated lighting. This way the community can actually use these facilities other than just rugby games.
174	Danny Chapman	1															1	If we go for a new stadium with a roof it will attract more events to the region. It may be expensive but surely over time will prove its worth.
175	Christopher Sole	1					1											I would prefer option 4 if funding was able to be secured. Returning Yarrow Stadium to full operational standards just as soon as possible is critical for rugby in Taranaki. The stands and the facilities have so many more uses than just rugby as well. They are a community asset that has been fought long and hard for over many years and to see the facilities lying idle on the chance that something may happen is such a waste of the past time and effort.
176	Max Murray	1					1											Taranaki Rugby desperately needs to have functioning grandstands to remain viable long term. Option 2, \$55 Million is the pragmatic solution across all of the options presented. As a rate payer I'm happy to foot the additional costs to ensure Taranaki rugby is sustainable into the future.
177	Stratford Eltham Rugby Sports Club		1				1											To keep rugby alive and to promote bringing the All Blacks to New Plymouth/Taranaki we need Yarrow Stadium to be repaired to Option 2.
178	Brian Phelan	1					1											I find it hard to see the prohibitive cost to do some form of reinstatement.
179	Rex Hawker	1					1											A city the size of New Plymouth must have a workable sports arena. We need this to help all young players achieve their goals. 1,000 rugby players with dreams of playing on the main ground of Yarrow Stadium. New Plymouth cannot afford to be without a stadium. For the culture of the city and surrounding districts the Yarrow Stadium must be repaired.
180	Sharon Bent	1					1											
181	Ross Dallas	1					1											The lack of a functional stadium has in one year decimated the once proud performance of our Taranaki Team and the current support will be eroded again this year. Rugby is one sport that unites this province – no other team or sport can do what rugby does to bring both North and South Taranaki together. A new stadium is needed and it is needed now!
182	Nathan James McDonald		1				1											We need Yarrows brought back to some status for major events in Taranaki – soccer, Warriors, rugby, All Blacks etc. Without the stadium we aren't Taranaki.
183	Taranaki Rugby Referees Association				1				1									The Taranaki Rugby Referees Association Executive (TRRA) representing 70 rugby union referees, fully support the upgrade of Yarrows Stadium, with a preferred option of four. This iconic facility needs an urgent upgrade to provide for a multitude of events, which has the capabilities to provide events essential to the future of the provinces economic value. We also support the Taranaki Rugby Football Union In Its endeavour to form a collective 'Team Taranaki' approach to have this facility back to its previous capacity and added Improvements. Yarrows Stadium is recognised as one of the best of its kind in the country. Other options around the region have not the capacity or the Infrastructure to accommodate the many events or the corporate facilities that are available. Covered seating to accommodate crowds to over 20,000,plus lighting changing, toilet facilities, modern catering outlets, which is a requirement for many major events that the province brings to the region. The venue Is also situated within the city limits, reasonably close to the New Plymouth CBD where accommodation, hospitality outlets are situated. Ground entry Is well positioned with considerable parking within walking distance to the ground and on site as well. We are collective In supporting the upgrade and repair. The province requires the immediate commencement of the upgrade to its glorious best. The earlier the work begins on Yarrow Stadium to bring this regional fadlity, which is essential to the growth of the Taranaki province, the more this great province of ours will prosper In the years ahead.
184	John Bannan	1															1	None of these options should be considered. First, the Council should immediately remove the covered roof from the West Stand and leave the buildings and seating as is, so they can be used. There should be no earthquake risk left (no extra cost to ratepayers). 2 nd Business should be given 12 months to come up with a plan the fix the east stand, at there cost not ratepayers. If business got together they would repair the East Stand at a fraction of the quoted price. They are the ones who make money out of rugby parke.
185	Sue and John Mitchell	1					1											
186	Vernon Cleaver			1			1											
187	Deidre Cleaver			1			1											
188	Darren Coombe	1															1	I personally believe that this is a great chance for The Taranaki District Council to use this as a chance to improve the stadium by putting a roof over the ground. It is going to cost more but we need to look into the future and see that it will turn out to be a wise and profitable investment. Being that it will be only one of two cover stadiums in New Zealand. It will bring more sporting events and other forms of entertainment to New Plymouth as it has done so for Dunedin. For the council to overlook this opportunity and select the cheaper option will be taking the easy road out. Where if the Taranaki District Council were to invest in a brand new stadium with a roof it would show that they are truly invested and keen to see a successful and profitable future for not only the sport and entertainment sector in Taranaki but also for the locals that would use and enjoy the stadium. Ignoring the individuals that are coming forward and supporting this opportunity to invest in a new stadium with a roof and in the future of sport and entertainment in Taranaki would show that the Taranaki Regional Council is weak and unable to make the right decisions for the region and its people.
189	Alison Hodges	1				1												Too much money to spend on one sport. Would rather have one multi-sport stadium that would be used daily compared with the main rugby ground being used a few times a year.
190	Sarah Emeny	1					1											
191	Robert Gordon	1					1											I have selected option 2. I think the best option is removing Yarrow Stadium and building a new stadium on the land currently used by New Plymouth Race Course.

#	Name	District				Yarrow Stadium Options										LGFA		Comments
		NP	S	ST	O	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	O	Y	N		
192	Darryl Gaudin	1				1												The priority for the community and for ratepayer expenditure is community sport facilities which enable thousands of residents to be active every week as identified in the Regional Sports Facility Strategy. Consultation regarding proposed enhancements has not been transparent or community-wide, evidence around decisions has not been provided, and the enhancements do not align with the Strategy or the current processes followed by sports for facility development. We support that a repair of some scale needs to be undertaken but require more detail of what is included in the \$36m is required and what scale of stadium is appropriate for the region now and into the future. We do not support the \$19m of enhancements which should be accounted for within the existing Asset Management Plan and/or via the Regional Facility Strategy process if sport-related. We feel strongly that there is a great need for an equitable approach to facility improvements and funding across sports.
193	Gavin & Mary Dey		1			1												We have no objection nor preference for planned upgrading of the Yarrow Stadium as such. However, we do both object strongly to paying for this work for the following reasons: (1) We have already funded this facility in the past, we should not have to be doing it a second time. If the original designers were at fault in some way, then they should be perused for costs. (2) We have not and do not use this facility. Those who do should be the ones paying, not the ratepayer. This is basically a rugby facility so let the fans, players and governing bodies meet the costs. (3) Other less popular sporting groups pay for their own facilities e.g. motorsport, golf, thoroughbred racing etc. If the rugby fraternity can't afford or raise the funding then they should cut their cloth to suit. (4) Attendance, income and operating cost forecasts for this type of facility are notoriously inaccurate and almost always overly optimistic, the Len Lye Centre being a classic example. (5) There is probably not a single stadium in this country that is self-funding, almost all requiring local government support at some point to survive. A glaring example currently is the Auckland Council bailout of Eden Park stadium to the tune of \$63 million. Let's be realistic, we can't afford it so it shouldn't proceed and certainly not at the ratepayers' expense. We are not a bottomless pit for vanity projects, let alone those outside of our town.
194	Travis Stewart Taranaki Cricket Association Inc				1									1				Taranaki Cricket is committed to upgrading Pukekura Park as the main first class ground in our province. To date TCA has had no correspondence with the Taranaki Regional Council or developers of the proposed renovations to Yarrows to even investigate if cricket was interested in being accommodated. The space discussed for the multi-sport complex would not be suitable for cricket and therefore as mentioned above TCA is committed to Pukekura Park as our number one ground. Pukekura Park is an iconic ground and if developments are made it is in line to host Woman's World Cup matches in 2021. TCA supports some sort of development to Yarrows as TRFU and the province deserve a fit for purpose stadium that can cater for high level rugby, league and soccer fixtures.
195	M Jackson	1												1				The priority for the community and for ratepayer expenditure is community sport facilities which enable thousands of residents to be active every week as identified in the Regional Sports Facility Strategy. Consultation regarding proposed enhancements has not been transparent or community-wide, evidence around decisions has not been provided, and the enhancements do not align with the Strategy or the current processes followed by sports for facility development. We support that a repair of some scale needs to be undertaken but require more detail of what is included in the \$36m is required and what scale of stadium is appropriate for the region now and into the future. We do not support the \$19m of enhancements which should be accounted for within the existing Asset Management Plan and/or via the Regional Facility Strategy process if sport-related. We feel strongly that there is a great need for an equitable approach to facility improvements and funding across sports.
196	Marina Healey	1												1				I support a repair of the stadium on some scale but I feel the community requires more detail of what is included in the \$19m of enhancements which really should be accounted for within the existing Asset Management Plan for the stadium. All sports are struggling with facility needs so there also needs to be more thought around specific community use of the stadium and be clear about what this might look like for either other codes or the wider community. This can only be achieved through consultation with other potential users, not just a small number of existing users of the stadium. I feel strongly that there is a great need for an equitable approach to facility improvements and funding across all sports - not just for rugby.
197	Paul McCurdy	1												1				I believe at maximum it needs "Fixing" to how it was so its usable and that's it (Or demolish and sell the real-estate to fund a true multisport facility). New Plymouth needs better facility's for "Global" sports of all types that are taking numbers away from rugby. Rugby will always be our National sport, but in ten years' time there will be less School and club teams that the already dwindling numbers from 10 years ago. "The priority for the community and for ratepayer expenditure is community sport facilities which enable thousands of residents to be active every week as identified in the Regional Sports Facility Strategy. Consultation regarding proposed enhancements has not been transparent or community-wide, evidence around decisions has not been provided, and the enhancements do not align with the Strategy or the current processes followed by sports for facility development. We support that a repair of some scale needs to be undertaken but require more detail of what is included in the \$36m is required and what scale of stadium is appropriate for the region now and into the future. We do not support the \$19m of enhancements which should be accounted for within the existing Asset Management Plan and/or via the Regional Facility Strategy process if sport-related. We feel strongly that there is a great need for an equitable approach to facility improvements and funding across sports."
198	Mel Scott NPOB Netball	1												1				The priority for the community and for ratepayer expenditure is community sport facilities which enable thousands of residents to be active every week as identified in the Regional Sports Facility Strategy. Consultation regarding proposed enhancements has not been transparent or community-wide, evidence around decisions has not been provided, and the enhancements do not align with the Strategy or the current processes followed by sports for facility development. We support that a repair of some scale needs to be undertaken but require more detail of what is included in the \$36m is required and what scale of stadium is appropriate for the region now and into the future. We do not support the \$19m of enhancements which should be accounted for within the existing Asset Management Plan and/or via the Regional Facility Strategy process if sport-related. We feel strongly that there is a great need for an equitable approach to facility improvements and funding across sports."
199	Kylie Albon	1												1				"The priority for the community and for ratepayer expenditure is community sport facilities which enable thousands of residents to be active every week as identified in the Regional Sports Facility Strategy. Consultation regarding proposed enhancements has not been transparent or community-wide, evidence around decisions has not been provided, and the enhancements do not align with the Strategy or the current processes followed by sports for facility development. We support that a repair of some scale needs to be undertaken but require more detail of what is included in the \$36m is required and what scale of stadium is appropriate for the region now and into the future. We do not support the \$19m of enhancements which should be accounted for within the existing Asset Management Plan and/or via the Regional Facility Strategy process if sport-related. We feel strongly that there is a great need for an equitable approach to facility improvements and funding across sports." I strongly feel that there are more than just rugby in Taranaki and other sports like hockey and basketball and two of the fastest growing sports. Hockey has one turf in NP that is below standard and one in Stratford - most towns have ensured that their hockey turfs are up to tournament standards therefore inviting many players and their families to their district usually for a week at least per tournament with up to 25 teams playing. Come on NP District Council stop putting rugby on a pedestal and start looking into what the town really wants - Multi Sports facilities.
200	Michele Lace	1												1				I support a community sport facility so ALL sports people in the community and outside are able to use it. I think repairs cost to the Yarrow Stadium but not upgrade/enhancement costs by ratepayers is fair.
201	Kirsten Adam Masters Hockey Club				1									1				The priority for the community and for ratepayer expenditure is community sport facilities which enable thousands of residents to be active every week as identified in the Regional Sports Facility Strategy. Consultation regarding proposed enhancements has not been transparent or community-wide, evidence around decisions has not been provided, and the enhancements do not align with the Strategy or the current processes followed by sports for facility development. We support that a repair of some scale needs to be undertaken but require more detail of what

#	Name	District				Yarrow Stadium Options										LGFA		Comments
		NP	S	ST	O	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	O	Y	N		
																		is included in the \$36m is required and what scale of stadium is appropriate for the region now and into the future. We do not support the \$19m of enhancements which should be accounted for within the existing Asset Management Plan and/or via the Regional Facility Strategy process if sport-related. We feel strongly that there is a great need for an equitable approach to facility improvements and funding across sports.
202	Amanda Burling		1											1				The priority for the community and for ratepayer expenditure is community sport facilities which enable thousands of residents to be active every week as identified in the Regional Sports Facility Strategy. Consultation regarding proposed enhancements has not been transparent or community-wide, evidence around decisions has not been provided, and the enhancements do not align with the Strategy or the current processes followed by sports for facility development. We support that a repair of some scale needs to be undertaken but require more detail of what is included in the \$36m is required and what scale of stadium is appropriate for the region now and into the future. We do not support the \$19m of enhancements which should be accounted for within the existing Asset Management Plan and/or via the Regional Facility Strategy process if sport-related. We feel strongly that there is a great need for an equitable approach to facility improvements and funding across sports.
203	Darren O'Connor	1												1				The priority for the community and for ratepayer expenditure is community sport facilities which enable thousands of residents to be active every week as identified in the Regional Sports Facility Strategy. Consultation regarding proposed enhancements has not been transparent or community-wide, evidence around decisions has not been provided, and the enhancements do not align with the Strategy or the current processes followed by sports for facility development. We support that a repair of some scale needs to be undertaken but require more detail of what is included in the \$36m is required and what scale of stadium is appropriate for the region now and into the future. We do not support the \$19m of enhancements which should be accounted for within the existing Asset Management Plan and/or via the Regional Facility Strategy process if sport-related. We feel strongly that there is a great need for an equitable approach to facility improvements and funding across sports.
204	Carissa Reynolds	1												1				"The priority for the community and for ratepayer expenditure is community sport facilities which enable thousands of residents to be active every week as identified in the Regional Sports Facility Strategy. Consultation regarding proposed enhancements has not been transparent or community-wide, evidence around decisions has not been provided, and the enhancements do not align with the Strategy or the current processes followed by sports for facility development. We support that a repair of some scale needs to be undertaken but require more detail of what is included in the \$36m is required and what scale of stadium is appropriate for the region now and into the future. We do not support the \$19m of enhancements which should be accounted for within the existing Asset Management Plan and/or via the Regional Facility Strategy process if sport-related. We feel strongly that there is a great need for an equitable approach to facility improvements and funding across sports."
205	Jack Walls	1												1				The priority for the community and for ratepayer expenditure is community sport facilities which enable thousands of residents to be active every week as identified in the Regional Sports Facility Strategy. Consultation regarding proposed enhancements has not been transparent or community-wide, evidence around decisions has not been provided, and the enhancements do not align with the Strategy or the current processes followed by sports for facility development. We support that a repair of some scale needs to be undertaken but require more detail of what is included in the \$36m is required and what scale of stadium is appropriate for the region now and into the future. We do not support the \$19m of enhancements which should be accounted for within the existing Asset Management Plan and/or via the Regional Facility Strategy process if sport-related. We feel strongly that there is a great need for an equitable approach to facility improvements and funding across sports.
206	Ryan Walls	1												1				The priority for the community and for ratepayer expenditure is community sport facilities which enable thousands of residents to be active every week as identified in the Regional Sports Facility Strategy. Consultation regarding proposed enhancements has not been transparent or community-wide, evidence around decisions has not been provided, and the enhancements do not align with the Strategy or the current processes followed by sports for facility development. We support that a repair of some scale needs to be undertaken but require more detail of what is included in the \$36m is required and what scale of stadium is appropriate for the region now and into the future. We do not support the \$19m of enhancements which should be accounted for within the existing Asset Management Plan and/or via the Regional Facility Strategy process if sport-related. We feel strongly that there is a great need for an equitable approach to facility improvements and funding across sports.
207	Hayley Walls Tukapa Netball Club	1												1				The priority for the community and for ratepayer expenditure is community sport facilities which enable thousands of residents to be active every week as identified in the Regional Sports Facility Strategy. Consultation regarding proposed enhancements has not been transparent or community-wide, evidence around decisions has not been provided, and the enhancements do not align with the Strategy or the current processes followed by sports for facility development. We support that a repair of some scale needs to be undertaken but require more detail of what is included in the \$36m is required and what scale of stadium is appropriate for the region now and into the future. We do not support the \$19m of enhancements which should be accounted for within the existing Asset Management Plan and/or via the Regional Facility Strategy process if sport-related. We feel strongly that there is a great need for an equitable approach to facility improvements and funding across sports.
208	Eileen Hall Basketball	1												1				The priority for the community and for ratepayer expenditure is community sport facilities which enable thousands of residents to be active every week as identified in the Regional Sports Facility Strategy. Consultation regarding proposed enhancements has not been transparent or community-wide, evidence around decisions has not been provided, and the enhancements do not align with the Strategy or the current processes followed by sports for facility development. We support that a repair of some scale needs to be undertaken but require more detail of what is included in the \$36m is required and what scale of stadium is appropriate for the region now and into the future. We do not support the \$19m of enhancements which should be accounted for within the existing Asset Management Plan and/or via the Regional Facility Strategy process if sport-related. We feel strongly that there is a great need for an equitable approach to facility improvements and funding across sports.
209	Robert Olliver	1												1				I fully support the repair of both stands however any upgrade is a separate issue and should be considered as such. If there is to be any upgrade then that should be funded by the users directly [i.e the rugby community in particular]. Any expanded seating is ridiculous with crowd attendance decreasing in most sports. Also consideration might be given to a small portion [say \$5m] being repaid by a portion of future ticket sales so the direct customer pays for the facilities they are using.
210	Zanta Jones	1												1				The priority for the community and for ratepayer expenditure is community sport facilities which enable thousands of residents to be active every week as identified in the Regional Sports Facility Strategy. Consultation regarding proposed enhancements has not been transparent or community-wide, evidence around decisions has not been provided, and the enhancements do not align with the Strategy or the current processes followed by sports for facility development. We support that a repair of some scale needs to be undertaken but require more detail of what is included in the \$36m is required and what scale of stadium is appropriate for the region now and into the future. We do not support the \$19m of enhancements which should be accounted for within the existing Asset Management Plan and/or via the Regional Facility Strategy process if sport-related. We feel strongly that there is a great need for an equitable approach to facility improvements and funding across sports.
211	Antoniah Snooks NPBA				1									1				"The priority for the community and for ratepayer expenditure is community sport facilities which enable thousands of residents to be active every week as identified in the Regional Sports Facility Strategy. Consultation regarding proposed enhancements has not been transparent or community-wide, evidence around decisions has not been provided, and the enhancements do

#	Name	District				Yarrow Stadium Options										LGFA		Comments
		NP	S	ST	O	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	O	Y	N		
	and Basketball Taranaki																	not align with the Strategy or the current processes followed by sports for facility development. We support that a repair of some scale needs to be undertaken but require more detail of what is included in the \$36m is required and what scale of stadium is appropriate for the region now and into the future. We do not support the \$19m of enhancements which should be accounted for within the existing Asset Management Plan and/or via the Regional Facility Strategy process if sport-related. We feel strongly that there is a great need for an equitable approach to facility improvements and funding across ALL sports." It is time a wider and more long term approach to ALL sports is considered in the Taranaki community for the benefit and development of our rangatahi, crucial to competing on the national sporting circuit.
212	Mike Plant	1												1				I am supportive of some work being necessary and required at Yarrow Stadium however am objecting to the vast amount of either 36M or 55M being spent for what is essentially a minority activity. At a time where other sports (hockey, netball, basketball as examples) are experiencing significant growth and pressure it would be wiser to widen the community based output of any expenditure to encompass the wider number of people. Additional funding needs to be prioritised over both rugby and a facility which has a minority participation rate and limited usage amount.
213	Peter Clark	1												1				As a ratepayer I am not in favour of the Options which have been put forward. The emphasis should be on not burdening the Ratepayers. The Regional Council and the NPDC need to have a rethink on this important decision. There is no Option which includes other Sports. It is Paramount that the consideration of other Sports like Athletics, Cycling, Cricket Netball, League, Soccer as well as Rugby are considered to make a Sports Complex capable of representing Taranaki and New Plymouth in the Sport Arena. It is hard to understand how the two Stands are not up to standard in 2018 as they are still relatively new. Question – Were the stands built to a standard suitable for the site in the first place? Suggestion – Remove the worst affected Stand, and open the area vacated by that Stand to accommodate other Sports. As finance becomes available. Then work on bring the one Stand up to scratch. This would require a price tag which would be acceptable to Ratepayers. I think if this sort of approach was taken, there would be a better chance of an agreement by the people of Taranaki.
214	Nick Taylor	1												1				The priority for the community and for ratepayer expenditure is community sport facilities which enable thousands of residents to be active every week as identified in the Regional Sports Facility Strategy. Consultation regarding proposed enhancements has not been transparent or community-wide, evidence around decisions has not been provided, and the enhancements do not align with the Strategy or the current processes followed by sports for facility development. We support that a repair of some scale needs to be undertaken but require more detail of what is included in the \$36m is required and what scale of stadium is appropriate for the region now and into the future. We do not support the \$19m of enhancements which should be accounted for within the existing Asset Management Plan and/or via the Regional Facility Strategy process if sport-related. We feel strongly that there is a great need for an equitable approach to facility improvements and funding across sports.
215	Al Dobson	1					1											
216	Peter Walsh	1												1				I think there should be a new multi sports stadium built in the middle of the existing race course area incorporating as many Taranaki sports facilities as possible & sell off the existing area of Yarrows Stadium.
217	Laine Hopkinson	1										1						As a parent and sports fan (44 years young) i think we need to think forward rather than what we think we can afford now. The reality is that we need a decent stadium able to facilitate larger sporting events such as All Blacks games and we should be thinking further ahead with the potential cost our children could end up having to bear in mind if we don't spend now to save later. My concerns are these: If we go with the \$50M odd option it's a band aid fix which i think the community will regret eventually when we then have to spend more money to upgrade down the track in what will likely be a more expensive world that we, our children and grandchildren will be living in. The additional \$16M approx for option 4 is a good spend now because it will be at least double when we realise we didn't do enough i would suggest. We need to consider return on investment. In my opinion this option gives us opportunity to get faster returns without needing to spend more down the track. Surely that's a sensible investment. I would like to point something else out here. Im a basketball man involved with the Mountainairs and other aspects of this sport. I'm torn with this because on the one hand we need a decent stadium for Rugby, soccer and League. However - we have a massive need for an adequate indoor facility. TSB stadium is inadequate and with the growth in basketball alone we need this remedied. The ideal is to have a multi sports centre for all indoor sports that not only is used for playing in but also for corporate hosting etc.. One suggestion i have is for you to connect with the Aims Games organisers and your connections in Tauranga - why can't we have appropriate facilities to undertake an event like Aims. The money into the Tauranga community during that week must be huge and having attended this event i think we have a better infrastructure currently to deal with the demand! Yes our friends at the TRFU need a solution - so does Netball, Basketball, Volleyball, Badminton and all the other minority sports out there. The racecourse area is the ideal place to do something sustainable for our region's future - not just with one sport (rugby) in mind. Let's be honest they will be the main benefactors. Think big - find a solution that places us well for the future not just sticking a band aid on a cut.
218	Graeme and Kathy James	1					1											DISCLAIMER: I ONLY SUPPORT REPAIR OF THE STANDS FOR \$36M, BUT YOU DIDN'T LET ME TICK THAT BOX ABOVE. The priority for the community and for ratepayer expenditure is community sport facilities which enable thousands of residents to be active every week as identified in the Regional Sports Facility Strategy. Consultation regarding proposed enhancements has not been transparent or community-wide, evidence around decisions has not been provided, and the enhancements do not align with the Strategy or the current processes followed by sports for facility development. We support that a repair of some scale needs to be undertaken but require more detail of what is included in the \$36m is required and what scale of stadium is appropriate for the region now and into the future. We do not support the \$19m of enhancements which should be accounted for within the existing Asset Management Plan and/or via the Regional Facility Strategy process if sport-related. We feel strongly that there is a great need for an equitable approach to facility improvements and funding across sports.
219	Mel Cook	1										1						For not a lot additional cost, the stadium could fulfil a greater range of uses, option 4 is a good one. Better cost-benefit ratio than option 2 and option 4 would be a net option 2 to the community with having an equity partner on board.
220	Frederick Cayetano	1												1				We need to spend it on other sports as well. Basketball courts, tennis courts, swimming pools. Rugby is not as big as it used to be. The \$55M should be distributed to different sports. We already spent too much money on the Yarrow Stadium and it has killed tax payers rates. No need for a flash stadium that cannot be filled with people. If we are spending this much money, I suggest rate payers to be free as we partly own them. "The priority for the community and for ratepayer expenditure is community sport facilities which enable thousands of residents to be active every week as identified in the Regional Sports Facility Strategy. Consultation regarding proposed enhancements has not been transparent or community-wide, evidence around decisions has not been provided, and the enhancements do not align with the Strategy or the current processes followed by sports for facility development. We support that a repair of some scale needs to be undertaken but require more detail of what is included in the \$36m is required and what scale of stadium is appropriate for the region now and into the future. We do not support the \$19m of enhancements which should be accounted for within the existing Asset Management Plan and/or via the Regional Facility Strategy process if sport-related. We feel strongly that there is a great need for an equitable approach to facility improvements and funding across sports."
221	Trent Riddick Taranaki Badminton Association				1									1				"The priority for the community and for ratepayer expenditure is community sport facilities which enable thousands of residents to be active every week as identified in the Regional Sports Facility Strategy. Consultation regarding proposed enhancements has not been transparent or community-wide, evidence around decisions has not been provided, and the enhancements do not align with the Strategy or the current processes followed by sports for facility development. We support that a repair of some scale needs to be undertaken but require more detail of what is included in the \$36m is required and what scale of stadium is appropriate for the region now and into the future. We do not support the \$19m of enhancements which should be accounted

#	Name	District				Yarrow Stadium Options										LGFA		Comments
		NP	S	ST	O	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	O	Y	N		
279	Rae West		1											1			The priority for the community and for ratepayer expenditure is community sport facilities which enable thousands of residents to be active every week as identified in the Regional Sports Facility Strategy. Consultation regarding proposed enhancements has not been transparent or community-wide, evidence around decisions has not been provided, and the enhancements do not align with the Strategy or the current processes followed by sports for facility development. We support that a repair of some scale needs to be undertaken but require more detail of what is included in the \$36m is required and what scale of stadium is appropriate for the region now and into the future. We do not support the \$19m of enhancements which should be accounted for within the existing Asset Management Plan and/or via the Regional Facility Strategy process if sport-related. We feel strongly that there is a great need for an equitable approach to facility improvements and funding across sports.	
280	Karina Huffam	1												1			"The priority for the community and for ratepayer expenditure is community sport facilities which enable thousands of residents to be active every week as identified in the Regional Sports Facility Strategy. Consultation regarding proposed enhancements has not been transparent or community-wide, evidence around decisions has not been provided, and the enhancements do not align with the Strategy or the current processes followed by sports for facility development. We support that a repair of some scale needs to be undertaken but require more detail of what is included in the \$36m is required and what scale of stadium is appropriate for the region now and into the future. We do not support the \$19m of enhancements which should be accounted for within the existing Asset Management Plan and/or via the Regional Facility Strategy process if sport-related. We feel strongly that there is a great need for an equitable approach to facility improvements and funding across sports."	
281	Rebecca Langton Taranaki Thunder women's basketball	1												1			"The priority for the community and for ratepayer expenditure is community sport facilities which enable thousands of residents to be active every week as identified in the Regional Sports Facility Strategy. Consultation regarding proposed enhancements has not been transparent or community-wide, evidence around decisions has not been provided, and the enhancements do not align with the Strategy or the current processes followed by sports for facility development. We support that a repair of some scale needs to be undertaken but require more detail of what is included in the \$36m is required and what scale of stadium is appropriate for the region now and into the future. We do not support the \$19m of enhancements which should be accounted for within the existing Asset Management Plan and/or via the Regional Facility Strategy process if sport-related. We feel strongly that there is a great need for an equitable approach to facility improvements and funding across sports."	
282	Andy Bedford St. Pius X Hockey Co-ordinator	1												1			"The priority for the community and for ratepayer expenditure is community sport facilities which enable thousands of residents to be active every week as identified in the Regional Sports Facility Strategy. Consultation regarding proposed enhancements has not been transparent or community-wide, evidence around decisions has not been provided, and the enhancements do not align with the Strategy or the current processes followed by sports for facility development. I support that a repair of some scale needs to be undertaken but require more detail of what is included in the \$36m is required and what scale of stadium is appropriate for the region now and into the future. I do not support the \$19m of enhancements which should be accounted for within the existing Asset Management Plan and/or via the Regional Facility Strategy process if sport-related. I feel strongly that there is a great need for an equitable approach to facility improvements and funding across sports."	
283	Andrew Bedford Opunake High School Hockey			1										1			"The priority for the community and for ratepayer expenditure is community sport facilities which enable thousands of residents to be active every week as identified in the Regional Sports Facility Strategy. Consultation regarding proposed enhancements has not been transparent or community-wide, evidence around decisions has not been provided, and the enhancements do not align with the Strategy or the current processes followed by sports for facility development. We support that a repair of some scale needs to be undertaken but require more detail of what is included in the \$36m is required and what scale of stadium is appropriate for the region now and into the future. We do not support the \$19m of enhancements which should be accounted for within the existing Asset Management Plan and/or via the Regional Facility Strategy process if sport-related. We feel strongly that there is a great need for an equitable approach to facility improvements and funding across sports."	
284	Brent Youngson	1												1			The priority for the community and for ratepayer expenditure is community sport facilities which enable thousands of residents to be active every week as identified in the Regional Sports Facility Strategy. Consultation regarding proposed enhancements has not been transparent or community-wide, evidence around decisions has not been provided, and the enhancements do not align with the Strategy or the current processes followed by sports for facility development. We support that a repair of some scale needs to be undertaken but require more detail of what is included in the \$36m is required and what scale of stadium is appropriate for the region now and into the future. We do not support the \$19m of enhancements which should be accounted for within the existing Asset Management Plan and/or via the Regional Facility Strategy process if sport-related. We feel strongly that there is a great need for an equitable approach to facility improvements and funding across sports. o None of the above are a preferred option o Need a fiscally responsible option that gets the Stadium back to service with the least impact on ratepayers o Noting that only 1 event every 3 years attracts more than 12,000 spectators and no matter the visitor experience elite level spectator sport is experiencing a downward-trend in attendees since the stadium was first upgraded nearly 20 years ago o Any additional funding from external sources (ie PGF, TSB Community Trust, NZ Lotteries) should be utilised to minimise the impact on ratepayers o The \$69million option (4) benefits corporate users (ie expos, conferences etc) who could be supporting private conference venues and supporting local business, this is not the core business of Council to fund facilities for such events o New Plymouth ratepayers do not accept that they will be liable for 78% of the costs plus up to 100% of the additional works should the \$69 million option proceed; this will negatively impact other community sport priorities outlined in the Regional Sport Facility Strategy o Sport-related facility upgrades (ie multi-sport turf, TRFU gym and building) should go through the Facility Steering Group as for all other codes and prioritised against need o There is concern that the large investment in Yarrow Stadium by ratepayers and other potential funders will have negative impacts on other priority facility projects for sport and recreation in Taranaki	
285	Tara Fevre			1										1			The priority for the community and for ratepayer expenditure is community sport facilities which enable thousands of residents to be active every week as identified in the Regional Sports Facility Strategy. Consultation regarding proposed enhancements has not been transparent or community-wide, evidence around decisions has not been provided, and the enhancements do not align with the Strategy or the current processes followed by sports for facility development. We support that a repair of some scale needs to be undertaken but require more detail of what is included in the \$36m is required and what scale of stadium is appropriate for the region now and into the future. We do not support the \$19m of enhancements which should be accounted for within the existing Asset Management Plan and/or via the Regional Facility Strategy process if sport-related. We feel strongly that there is a great need for an equitable approach to facility improvements and funding across sports.	
286	Rachael Harris			1										1			Recognising that we need to pay something toward the regional facility, I am most comfortable with option 2. I am happy to pay a lesser amount towards the necessary repairs to the Stadium - but I am not comfortable with the amount of other upgrades mentioned or what has been projected for the South Taranaki ratepayers. We already have a top class facility in our own district that is already paid for by our District Council rates, so I think at the advertised \$51 (which actually turns out is \$58.65 flat targeted rate - a bit sneaky) is exorbitant. I don't think you can argue that our most southern towns of Waitotara, Waverley and Patea get a significant enough economic benefit from the Stadium to equate to a \$59 jump in rates per property, or even that our main hub Hawera sees that sort of benefit. I would suggest that this would be more palatable if you had put up a 'repair only' option with a more realistic price tag.	
287	Brett Harris			1										1			The price you are proposing South Taranaki residents pay is unjustified. It benefits very few and economically it doesn't benefit those except those in the immediate surrounding areas. South Taranaki District residents would see little to no benefit from this, and it doesn't equate to the jump in rates that you are proposing. The dishonest manner in which it was proposed is also concerning. I recognise the need for Taranaki ratepayers to contribute to a regional facility through, let's say, repairing the stands - however the upgrades that have been included in Option 2 aren't justified and are exorbitant. Is there a way that you could put a simple 'repair only' option on the table?	

#	Name	District				Yarrow Stadium Options										LGFA		Comments
		NP	S	ST	O	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	O	Y	N		
288	Bizlink Hawera (Business Association)			1										1			Thank you for the opportunity to provide a submission on the Yarrow Stadium Funding options as part of your Annual Plan 2019/20. Bizlink Hawera (Business Association) represents more than 400 Hawera businesses. Summary of our submission: Bizlink understands that doing nothing is not a viable option and is in support of the structural repair of the stands at Yarrow Stadium. However, Bizlink does not support any of the options in the Consultation Document, and asks the TRC to consider repairing the stands at an estimated cost of \$33 million. In particular Bizlink has concerns about the way the repairs of the stands are to be funded. We believe it is unreasonable to impose such a large rate increase in one year and that the funding model for the Yarrow Stadium is flawed and detrimental to the ratepayers of South Taranaki. Bizlink acknowledges the regional significance of the Yarrow Stadium as a (predominantly rugby) venue and agrees with the need to repair the stands and get the stadium up and running again. We also understand that the cost of repairing the stands is actually approximately \$33 million - the remaining \$22 million included being for additional upgrades, (including things like new seating, lighting, extra retail/beverage spaces, car parking, astro-turf, a new building that will house a gym, toilets and changing rooms, audio visual upgrades etc). These upgrades may be desirable, but, given the circumstances, at this point in time they are unnecessary 'nice-to-haves'. We agree we should contribute something towards the \$33 million cost for repairing the stands, but do not agree to the \$22 million for additional works..Bizlink does not believe these additional new projects totalling \$22 million should have been combined with the repair of the stands and we cannot see the justification for the additional expenditure when ratepayers are already faced with a \$33 million bill. We do not necessarily object to these new projects going ahead but we do object to our (South Taranaki) ratepayers, who receive none of the revenue the Stadium generates and will receive little/none of the benefits from these projects, having to fund them. We ask, that if you decide to proceed with these projects that you find external sources of funding to pay for these additional facilities as stated in Pg 19 of the Yarrow Stadium Strategic Plan 2015-25. We are also concerned that the funding of Option 2 will have a negative impact on future funding of other sporting codes and regional assets. We consider the preferred treatment provided to rugby union through this proposal is not equitable and not aligned with your own Regional Facilities Strategy. While we accept that South Taranaki ratepayers should make some contribution to the repair of the stands, we are very concerned about the level of contribution. Option 2, TRC's stated preferred option, would see an increase of over \$47 per South Taranaki ratepayer (from \$11 to \$58.65 per ratepayer). This is a substantial, and in our view unreasonable, increase in one year. South Taranaki ratepayers have previously each paid \$11 a year (plus GST) for the Yarrow Stadium. This contribution continued even after the initial loan was paid off in 2012. So in the last 7 years South Taranaki ratepayers have contributed in excess of \$1 million towards the upkeep of the stadium. If you add the contributions from North and Central Taranaki ratepayers the contributions toward the Stadium (not including revenue generated) should conservatively exceed \$5 million. The question has to be asked as to where this money has gone? If revenue generated from the venue has not been able to cover operating expenses (including maintenance) perhaps the whole way the facility is managed needs to be reviewed. Bizlink believes that all of the proposals put forward fail to recognise that the benefits for South Taranaki are substantially less than for other districts due to the distance from the stadium (especially for those ratepayers who live in Patea or Waverley) and that the funding model used to come up with the (17%) ratio is completely outdated (based on a study conducted some 20 years ago). We believe this should have been re-examined prior to consultation. As a way of minimising the impact on all Taranaki ratepayers we would like the TRC to consider extending the loan period (which should reduce the upfront cost) and programme the projected work in such a way that borrowing can be spread. For example TRC may complete only 10-20% of the work required in the first year. If this is the case you don't need to loan fund for the full amount in the first year, but increase it incrementally as loans need to be drawn down. In conclusion Bizlink Hawera does not believe that option 2 as it stands (your preferred option as outlined in your consultation document) is fair or reasonable. We also feel that the 17% ratio you have apportioned to South Taranaki ratepayers is too high for the actual benefit we receive and that the model used to justify this is outdated. We do accept that doing nothing is not an option and are in support of the structural repair of the stands at Yarrow Stadium at an estimated cost of \$33 million. However the additional \$22 million of new projects or upgrades are an unnecessary burden for all our ratepayers, which we are strongly opposed to being funded in this manner and would like you to seriously reconsider.	
289	Agnieszka Collett	1												1			I support that a repair of some scale needs to be undertaken but require more detail of what is included in the \$36m is required and what scale of stadium is appropriate for the region now and into the future. We do not support the \$19m of enhancements which should be accounted for within the existing Asset Management Plan and/or via the Regional Facility Strategy process if sport-related. I feel strongly that there is a great need for an equitable approach to facility improvements and funding across sports."	
290	Grant Scott	1												1			The priority for the community and for ratepayer expenditure is community sport facilities which enable thousands of residents to be active every week as identified in the Regional Sports Facility Strategy. Consultation regarding proposed enhancements has not been transparent or community-wide, evidence around decisions has not been provided, and the enhancements do not align with the Strategy or the current processes followed by sports for facility development. We support that a repair of some scale needs to be undertaken but require more detail of what is included in the \$36m is required and what scale of stadium is appropriate for the region now and into the future. We do not support the \$19m of enhancements which should be accounted for within the existing Asset Management Plan and/or via the Regional Facility Strategy process if sport-related. We feel strongly that there is a great need for an equitable approach to facility improvements and funding across sports."	
291	Erika Maifea	1												1			"The priority for the community and for ratepayer expenditure is community sport facilities which enable thousands of residents to be active every week as identified in the Regional Sports Facility Strategy. Consultation regarding proposed enhancements has not been transparent or community-wide, evidence around decisions has not been provided, and the enhancements do not align with the Strategy or the current processes followed by sports for facility development. We support that a repair of some scale needs to be undertaken but require more detail of what is included in the \$36m is required and what scale of stadium is appropriate for the region now and into the future. We do not support the \$19m of enhancements which should be accounted for within the existing Asset Management Plan and/or via the Regional Facility Strategy process if sport-related. We feel strongly that there is a great need for an equitable approach to facility improvements and funding across sports."	
292	Sheona Brough	1												1			"The priority for the community and for ratepayer expenditure is community sport facilities which enable thousands of residents to be active every week as identified in the Regional Sports Facility Strategy. Consultation regarding proposed enhancements has not been transparent or community-wide, evidence around decisions has not been provided, and the enhancements do not align with the Strategy or the current processes followed by sports for facility development. We support that a repair of some scale needs to be undertaken but require more detail of what is included in the \$36m is required and what scale of stadium is appropriate for the region now and into the future. We do not support the \$19m of enhancements which should be accounted for within the existing Asset Management Plan and/or via the Regional Facility Strategy process if sport-related. We feel strongly that there is a great need for an equitable approach to facility improvements and funding across sports."	
293	Marie Lamberte	1							1									
294	Courtney Devlin	1												1			The priority for the community and for ratepayer expenditure is community sport facilities which enable thousands of residents to be active every week as identified in the Regional Sports Facility Strategy. Consultation regarding proposed enhancements has not been transparent or community-wide, evidence around decisions has not been provided, and the enhancements do not align with the Strategy or the current processes followed by sports for facility development. We support that a repair of some scale needs to be undertaken but require more detail of what is included in the \$36m is required and what scale of stadium is appropriate for the region now and into the future. We do not support the \$19m of enhancements which should be accounted for within the existing Asset Management Plan and/or via the Regional Facility Strategy process if sport-related. We feel strongly that there is a great need for an equitable approach to facility improvements and funding across sports."	

#	Name	District				Yarrow Stadium Options										LGFA		Comments
		NP	S	ST	O	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	O	Y	N		
295	Sara Matheson	1												1			The priority for the community and for ratepayer expenditure is community sport facilities which enable thousands of residents to be active every week as identified in the Regional Sports Facility Strategy. Consultation regarding proposed enhancements has not been transparent or community-wide, evidence around decisions has not been provided, and the enhancements do not align with the Strategy or the current processes followed by sports for facility development. We support that a repair of some scale needs to be undertaken but require more detail of what is included in the \$36m is required and what scale of stadium is appropriate for the region now and into the future. We do not support the \$19m of enhancements which should be accounted for within the existing Asset Management Plan and/or via the Regional Facility Strategy process if sport-related. We feel strongly that there is a great need for an equitable approach to facility improvements and funding across sports."	
296	Travis Strickland	1												1			The priority for the community and for ratepayer expenditure is community sport facilities which enable thousands of residents to be active every week as identified in the Regional Sports Facility Strategy. Consultation regarding proposed enhancements has not been transparent or community-wide, evidence around decisions has not been provided, and the enhancements do not align with the Strategy or the current processes followed by sports for facility development. We support that a repair of some scale needs to be undertaken but require more detail of what is included in the \$36m is required and what scale of stadium is appropriate for the region now and into the future. We do not support the \$19m of enhancements which should be accounted for within the existing Asset Management Plan and/or via the Regional Facility Strategy process if sport-related. We feel strongly that there is a great need for an equitable approach to facility improvements and funding across sports. I am a 36 year old construction worker who works full-time. I love the game of basketball and find there are limited indoor facilities to play here in Taranaki. The TSB Stadium closes at 5pm (once I am off of work) and the YMCA has one court that is often booked for other events outside of basketball. I was once a social worker and witness the positive outcomes of the youth through their involvement with positive peers, coaches, and mentors. Personally, I would advocate for a New Plymouth Community Centre that would be replete with a modern weight room, studio for a myriad of classes (yoga, pilates, martial arts), basketball courts, squash court, cardio area, conference rooms, and rock climbing wall. I propose this would be a worthwhile investment into the mental and physical health of the community and future generations. It would be funded through membership costs and could possibly be subsidized to help lower-income families. Some form of child care provided by the facilities staff would be a benefit as well. Thank you for this consideration and may blessings continue forth for ourselves, community, and planet.	
297	Lara Sanderson and Reilly Borg	1												1			"The priority for the community and for ratepayer expenditure is community sport facilities which enable thousands of residents to be active every week as identified in the Regional Sports Facility Strategy. Consultation regarding proposed enhancements has not been transparent or community-wide, evidence around decisions has not been provided, and the enhancements do not align with the Strategy or the current processes followed by sports for facility development. We support that a repair of some scale needs to be undertaken but require more detail of what is included in the \$36m is required and what scale of stadium is appropriate for the region now and into the future. We do not support the \$19m of enhancements which should be accounted for within the existing Asset Management Plan and/or via the Regional Facility Strategy process if sport-related. We feel strongly that there is a great need for an equitable approach to facility improvements and funding across sports."	
298	Kevin & Carolyn Murphy	1					1										Thank-you for the opportunity to provide a submission on Yarrow Stadium. We support Option 2, the Taranaki Regional Councils preferred option; however we strongly encourage the Council to work with potential co-funding partners with the aim of enabling Option 4 to be exercised. Like I am sure all New Zealanders we were shocked with the destruction, devastation and loss of life that resulted from the 2010 & 2011 Christchurch earthquakes. Few however could have predicted the long term impact that the quakes would have on the whole of New Zealand as building standards were reviewed and substantially modified to reflect and mitigate the enhanced risk we all face in this special place we live, Aotearoa, New Zealand. We find ourselves here therefore not from an issue of our own making, we need to make Yarrow Stadium is fit for purpose for the standards as we know it today. Taranaki is indeed a place like no other. We have both been privileged to live here all our lives and as a result have enjoyed the benefits that this region has to offer. It was and continues to be a fantastic region to grow and nurture families, we have been the beneficiaries of the natural beauty and the added infrastructure that surrounds us and so to have our children. Since the days of the early settlers this region has been fortunate to have had numerous visionary leaders, entrepreneurs and philanthropists who together with our communities have enabled us to build and develop parks, stadiums, theatres, walkways, galleries, and numerous other recreational facilities that make us the envy of other regions with a similar population. We want the future generations of whanau who choose to live work and play in this region to enjoy the benefits we have, to achieve this we need to continually invest and reinvest in our infrastructure to ensure Taranaki continues to be a place of choice for our families of the future. It is disappointing that there is some talk in our community that an investment in the reinstatement and upgrading of Yarrow Stadium is an investment that will be of significant benefit to Taranaki Rugby but will be of precious little value and may well be to the detriment of other sporting codes. Over the years we have been privileged to attend a variety of 'events' at Yarrow Stadium or as it was formally known Rugby Park. These have included, but not limited to, Rugby (School, Club, Provincial, Super and All Blacks), Soccer (local and International), Rugby League, Cricket, Military Tattoos, Multi Ethnic Festivals, meetings, Conferences, and other celebratory events. Many of these events are etched in our memories and are often topics of conversation when the wider family get together and reminisce. Yarrow Stadium has and will continue to be a multi-purpose venue. We need to reflect and be thankful of what we have been given and benefited from by our forefathers but most importantly we must continue to be visionary to take the region forward. We urge the Taranaki Regional Council to support the repair and upgrade of Yarrow Stadium.	
299	Tina Johnson			1										1			The stadium should be user-pays funded i.e. the cost to demolish, renovate etc is added to the ticket prices. It is a commercial venture and if it cannot be funded as such then it is not viable. It should not be propped up by ratepayers. The choice between the 8 options depends on which one is commercially viable through the users paying. The issue of South Taranaki ratepayers funding a venue in North Taranaki will, therefore, become irrelevant.	
300	Analisa Mary Karipa	1												1			"The priority for the community and for ratepayer expenditure is community sport facilities which enable thousands of residents to be active every week as identified in the Regional Sports Facility Strategy. Consultation regarding proposed enhancements has not been transparent or community-wide, evidence around decisions has not been provided, and the enhancements do not align with the Strategy or the current processes followed by sports for facility development. We support that a repair of some scale needs to be undertaken but require more detail of what is included in the \$36m is required and what scale of stadium is appropriate for the region now and into the future. We do not support the \$19m of enhancements which should be accounted for within the existing Asset Management Plan and/or via the Regional Facility Strategy process if sport-related. We feel strongly that there is a great need for an equitable approach to facility improvements and funding across sports.	
301	Russell Cleaver	1					1										In my view no money should be spent on Yarrow Stadium repairs or redevelopment at this stage. However, the only way to complete an online submission is to tick one of the Options present by the TRC. Hence, I have ticked the option that requires the least spend of \$6 million. The TRC need to be far more transparent in the consultation process. Prior to any public consultation taking place an independent enquiry should be held to identify, for the ratepayers, exactly what the issues are with each of the stands, what was the cause of these issues, where does the responsibility for these problems lie and what legal remedies are available to recover some of the cost of getting these stands back into a usable state. This should be a detailed document, not the brief paragraph that we are being given presently. Any Council that respects its ratepayers this should be looking to answer these questions in detail first and make this information publicly available. It is worth noting that Taranaki ratepayers have already paid tens of millions of dollars to the construction, upgrading and maintenance of Yarrow Stadium - perhaps a sum in the vicinity of \$30 million? And now, for reasons that most of us are unsure of, we are being asked to pay again. Another problem that I have with this process is that the consultation document is weighted heavily in favour of the TRC's (and the TRFU's) preferred option – option 2. There's number of viable options that would have costs between \$6 million (Option 1 – Demolish Stands and grass banks) and \$55 million (Option 2 – Repair Stands and update). Why were these not presented as options for ratepayers to choose from? This should be a process that allows ratepayers to choose their preference not to for them to be led by the nose by the Regional Council to choose the TRC's preferred option 2. From information that is now in the public arena it	

#	Name	District				Yarrow Stadium Options										LGFA		Comments
		NP	S	ST	O	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	O	Y	N		
																		appears that the cost of repairing both Stands, without upgrades, is only \$36 million (although some interested ratepayers, who are well qualified to judge, consider even this sum to be excessive). But, why was this information not presented in the consultation document? And, why are these upgrades even included when many (all?) of them are already budgeted for in the 2018 – 2028 (draft?) Yarrow Stadium Asset Management plan (which includes for \$15 million of capex spending on the Stadium)? This can only be seen as an opportunistic attempt to bring forward many of the upgrades that were planned to take place in the future. What about the option of just repairing one Stand? In the consultation document the TRC's Q & A on this matter seems contradictory to me. On one hand the idea of repairing just one Stand is describe as, "simply not sensible", with the cost being not much different to Option 2. However, further down in the same paragraph, it says that the cost of the repair of each Stand is about the same. Surely that means that, without upgrades, demolishing one stand (half of \$6 million = \$3 million) and repairing the other stand (half of \$36 million = \$18 million) would cost \$21 million – very different to the cost of option 2. What about the possibility of removing the case with stadium promotion Grandstand to assist in achieving a less costly repair of this Stand? That would leave us with just one covered Stand, however the TRC seem to think that it is imperative to pursue the two-covered-stands option. Why is it, "not sensible", to look at a one-stand option – as is stated in the consultation document? Five of the 10 regional stadia that are noted in the Berl report have only one stand– and some of those are very basic stands. Furthermore, all of those with more than one stand (Yarrow Stadium excluded) serve populations far greater than Taranaki's. Strangely, while there is a shortage of these lower cost options presented in the public consultation document, options five through to eight are provided as choices despite the fact that, with price tags of \$121 million to \$271 million, most would readily accept that they are way beyond the realms of possibility. Again, this strengthens the bias towards the TRC's preferred Option 2. Despite being labelled as a multi-purpose stadium, the bottom line is that it is not! It is predominantly used to host half a dozen provincial championship rugby game each year, each drawing average crowds of, perhaps, 6000 people – about 1500 of which are attending on complementary tickets – so about 4500 paying spectators. And, as is usually the case with stadium promotion economics the economic benefits to the region are vastly overstated – an, 'enjoyment value', of \$48 million from attending matches at Yarrow Stadium is almost laughable! I recall how, prior to its construction, Yarrow Stadium was promoted as being a self-funding operation. This vision lasted for about 18 months into its operation – when it was handed to the ratepayers to pick up the tab. Ever since then the Stadium has been a black hole for large sums of ratepayers money and this looks set to continue at even greater levels should the spending of at least \$55 million go ahead. Actual payments towards this work will cost the Taranaki ratepayers over \$100 million over the next 25 years. Additionally, we can also expect tens of millions of further CAPEX expenditure, on the Stadium, over this period. It's obscene that so much money should be spent for the benefit of so few! One can only wonder at the alternative ways in which this vast sum of money could be spent for a much more wide-spread benefit to Taranaki communities. The bottom line; be transparent, have an independent enquiry and make public the exact details that have lead to the current situation, pursue any possible legal remedies, consult on a variety of less costly and less aspirational repair options – excluding upgrades – and then start spending ratepayers money as carefully as if it's your own. If all of this takes more time, then so be it! You owe it to the ratepayers of Taranaki. Thank you for considering my submission.
302	Lee Kennedy	1																
303	Michelle Zehnder	1																Collaborate with other sporting codes to get a multi-use sports space.
304	Catherine Robb	1					1											
305	Alex Scott	1																There must be an element of fund raising for this project so that donators can get a tax credit rather being charged GST through our rates. If there were a fund raising process the money would come as apparently some people like rugby. The proposed (soccer) football fields at Bell Block are probably going to face a whole lot of council nonsense and lose some of their own land and incur a lot of costs, white the rugby community are probably going to get off scot-free. Personally I would rather it the other way around. As far as traffic management is concerned I can't believe the Stadium don't have to deal with this problem, yet the Football people in BBK do. I find the traffic during the rugby games a right pain. I can't get to my favourite Chinese takeaways while there's rugby game on and other problems associated with the traffic and the lighting. If there were however to be a rate payer funded rugby pitch with chairs around it, then it must be a multi-use facility. By multiuse I mean it would have to have an indoor concert venue for 15000 or more people. I would also expect to see an end to people crying foul about the cost of the Len Lye centre and Govett Brewster. As strange as it may seem there is life outside rugby. I would also like to know why the engineers aren't liable for this cluster %@&#.
306	Kathleen Lauderdale	1				1												I am in support of option 1 at this time as I am aware of the significant investment the community has already made into this code and not other codes facilities. Also water and public transport services are inadequate and failing. Climate change mitigation and community development and resilience low carbon economy needs significant work so resources will need reprioritising. I am aware there is a good argument for strong community cultural and sporting facilities and activities to be supported by Council and had the above concerns been addressed or more broadly I would reconsider my choice. At this time this has not occurred. I note "consultations" on transitions cost \$500.00 to attend which makes contributions from affected people prohibitively expensive and means only a few elite or business can attend. These consultations, given the expense being unaffordable, therefore also do not have mandate either in my view.
307	Neil Sulzberger	1																I support a level of investment in Yarrow Stadium that the Taranaki Regional Council has with its preferred option two, however, the \$55 million should include funding from outside sources. If this funding is not obtained then scale back the project and I would support demolishing the west stand to make it uncovered seating. I have not been able to find any readily available information in the consultation/ submission process about the proposed 'enhancements' which is a concern when you are talking about millions of dollars - I ask why this was not included. The information I have regarding enhancements may not be 100% correct. I will go back to about the year 1998. New Plymouth District Council came to an agreement with the Taranaki Rugby Union that council will take ownership of Rugby Park (Yarrow Stadium) and develop the Park. NPDC at the time emphasized that Yarrow Stadium was being built as a "Multi Sports Stadium". The planning at the time was rushed with little to no consultation with other Taranaki sporting codes to find out their requirements as a Multi Sports Stadium. The planning was so bad that international cricket could not be played at Yarrow Stadium despite NZ Cricket requesting the ICC (International Cricket Council) give dispensation to Yarrow Stadium for international cricket to be played there because of ground size. The ICC even visited Yarrow and they still did not give the dispensation. I was Chairman of Taranaki Cricket Association and match manager for most of the cricket games played at Yarrow. The Berl report mentions T20 cricket at Yarrow Stadium and the spectator figures presented in the report – 40,000 in the few games that cricket was played at Yarrow – a generous figure would be 6,000 – 8,000 spectators. When you look at the history of Yarrow the only regular user is rugby. FIFA Football Under 20 World Cup and Rugby World Cup were 'one off' events, three NRL Rugby League games over 11 years and with the All Blacks there is no guarantee of matches in the future as there are many stadiums like Yarrow in NZ, therefore the funding stream becomes very shaky. There is talk that some of the enhancements are for a new turf on the main ground and up-grading the lights on the towers. The money for these projects should have been budgeted for in the 5 or 10 year plan with life expectancy of the turf identified, so why are the Taranaki ratepayers being asked to fund these. Prudent financial management should have these items already budgeted for and money set aside. One of the disadvantages that Yarrow Stadium has - it cannot attract open air concerts because of the beautiful Bowl of Brooklands. It has been well documented that sports crowds around New Zealand for regular fixtures are declining. The Berl report states that for Taranaki NPC games 2003 – 2017 the average spectators at a NPC game were 6,000 – 8,000 spectators. There is no guarantee that Taranaki will be allocated an All Black test – the Berl report states that New Plymouth and Taranaki can argue strongly to be awarded a future test. I consider a number of other centres in New Zealand will also put a strong case to NZ Rugby. To spend \$55 million of ratepayers money in the 'hope' of getting an All Black test is, I consider irresponsible. Taranaki Rugby Union previously had an administration office in Yarrow Stadium and now there is talk about building a new administration building and gym for the Taranaki Rugby Union. If this goes ahead then the Regional Council is becoming involved in the commercial area with a new building as a landlord which is not a core function of Council. Undertaking necessary repair work to Yarrow is one thing but to provide a new administration building and a gym is a slap in the face to other Taranaki sporting codes, some of whom have inadequate facilities available for their sport. In a recent article about Eden Park it stated 'you don't build stadiums for occasional events'. Is the Yarrow repair work /rebuild about the requirements of Taranaki Rugby and the upgrade of some facilities (enhancements) to compete with present day businesses in New Plymouth? I have not seen any Business Review reports that identify that New Plymouth or the wider Taranaki area has a shortage of venues to host conferences, weddings etc. The Berl report mentions that the use of the hospitality area for a range of events has improved in recent times and this is a positive. I do not support the Regional Council spending money in investing in a bigger hospitality area and competing with the private sector which is not your core business – how big is big enough! If there is a lesser spend on enhancements then the cost to hire Yarrow should be less which could

#	Name	District				Yarrow Stadium Options										LGFA		Comments
		NP	S	ST	O	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	O	Y	N		
																		make the possibility of hiring Yarrow to other Taranaki sporting codes more affordable and attractive. This should be a key focus of the Regional Council – how can more sports be involved at Yarrow Stadium. I ask the Regional Council to reconsider your preferred ‘option two’ because \$55 million is an obscene amount of Taranaki ratepayer’s money to spend for basically one sport – rugby. Taranaki ratepayers should not be expected to fund a new administration building and gym for rugby even if the money is raised from other sources - NO other sporting code has the Regional Council providing this type of facility for them. Your proposal is to mortgage every New Plymouth District ratepayer for 25 years at \$87.40 per year which equates to \$2,185 over the 25 years. I strongly recommend that TRC councillors pause and think - ‘are we moving too fast’. In 1998 the planning was rushed for rugby and did not include other sporting codes apart from ‘lip service’ and it appears we are going down the same path again. The \$55 million should include funding from outside sources.
308	David Cooper New Plymouth Basketball Association Inc	1												1				The priority for the community and for ratepayer expenditure is community sport facilities which enable thousands of residents to be active every week as identified in the Regional Sports Facility Strategy. Consultation regarding proposed enhancements has not been transparent or community-wide, evidence around decisions has not been provided, and the enhancements do not align with the Strategy or the current processes followed by sports for facility development. We support that a repair of some scale needs to be undertaken but require more detail of what is included in the \$36m is required and what scale of stadium is appropriate for the region now and into the future. We do not support the \$19m of enhancements which should be accounted for within the existing Asset Management Plan and/or via the Regional Facility Strategy process if sport-related. We feel strongly that there is a great need for an equitable approach to facility improvements and funding across sports.
309	Graeme and Nancy Blackstock	1				1												Due to the state of Taranaki Rugby and the lack of use over the last few years we believe the investment should be shared among some of the other codes.
310	Krystyna Beardman Skate Sports	1												1				The priority for the community and for ratepayer expenditure is community sport facilities which enable thousands of residents to be active every week as identified in the Regional Sports Facility Strategy. Consultation regarding proposed enhancements has not been transparent or community-wide, evidence around decisions has not been provided, and the enhancements do not align with the Strategy or the current processes followed by sports for facility development. We support that a repair of some scale needs to be undertaken but require more detail of what is included in the \$36m is required and what scale of stadium is appropriate for the region now and into the future. We do not support the \$19m of enhancements which should be accounted for within the existing Asset Management Plan and/or via the Regional Facility Strategy process if sport-related. We feel strongly that there is a great need for an equitable approach to facility improvements and funding across sports. The cost factor is simply too much, who is responsible for the current building design and lack of earthquake proofing? Why would anyone want to "build" an additional "change room" and gym building - logistics tells us this is not conducive to the overall "plan" Why can this not be included in existing building - Is it in fact a change room/ gym at all or is it an additional administrative block? SURELY a more productive plan would be to relocate Sport Taranaki to TSB Stadium (as an example) or similar and use their facility as an admin block? If they are willing of course to relocate at all. I don't watch rugby, it seems the viewing public has declined? The sport we have been involved with had the foresight 20 years ago to build its own facility as otherwise the sport would not even exist in today's climate. Yes , we are a "rugby" nation, why can ticket sales not cover the "loan" to repair the venue? The cost of repair should be covered by the fans NOT the general public. Marketing the venue for other purposes, sponsors, concerts, etc should be utilised to offset the cost.
311	Steven and Suzy Hale	1					1											Expand field if possible to cater for major cricket games also. If spending the money to repair & update, please chase more events to be held e.g. more rugby games, more community events/concerts/dirt bike motor-cross events!
312	South Taranaki District Museum Trust (STDMT)																	<i>LEGACYBOX</i> Online Documentary Channel for Taranaki LEGACYBOX is an online documentary channel to promote Taranaki people undertaking extraordinary things - go behind the scenes with these mini-documentaries delving into their fascinating lives. These mini-documentaries feature people from broad interest areas including the Arts, Sciences, the Natural Environment, Tangata Whenua, Sports, Technology, and History backgrounds. Filmed in 4K digital video, these mini-documentaries will feature Taranaki people, e.g. farmers, ecologists, environmentalists, artists, creators, independent thinkers, cultural influencers, business leaders, promotion experts, mountaineers, gardeners, bee-keepers, leaders of the land, and anyone else doing extraordinary things.
313	Bridgett Harrison OJSC and Spotswood badminton club	1												1				The priority for the community and for ratepayer expenditure is community sport facilities which enable thousands of residents to be active every week as identified in the Regional Sports Facility Strategy. Consultation regarding proposed enhancements has not been transparent or community-wide, evidence around decisions has not been provided, and the enhancements do not align with the Strategy or the current processes followed by sports for facility development. We support that a repair of some scale needs to be undertaken but require more detail of what is included in the \$36m is required and what scale of stadium is appropriate for the region now and into the future. We do not support the \$19m of enhancements which should be accounted for within the existing Asset Management Plan and/or via the Regional Facility Strategy process if sport-related. We feel strongly that there is a great need for an equitable approach to facility improvements and funding across sports.
314	Craig Allen	1					1											This must be done for the sake of Taranaki rugby and the community as a whole.
315	Donald Caskey	1					1											
316	Lyall Bunn	1					1											
317	Becca Cunningham	1					1											
318	R A Lash	1					1											
319	Maureen Green		1				1											
320	Gary Dunlop			1					1									
321	Steve Cunningham	1					1											
322	Ana Cleaver	1				1												I am against spending a huge sum of money like \$55 million just to host a few games of provincial rugby each year - especially when most matches are attended by less than 5000 paying people.
323	Climate Justice Taranaki				1	1												Climate Justice Taranaki opts for Option 1, demolishing the stands in favour of grass banks. Our chief reason for choosing this option is our belief the funds are better spent on TRC projects that reduce carbon emissions. Given climate scientists' estimate that humankind can only prevent catastrophic climate change (and the potential extinction of humanity) by halving carbon emissions by 2028, we feel TRC has an obligation to place the reduction of carbon emissions at the very top of their spending priorities. Since TRC already funds a bus service, a commitment to subsidise that service to the extent that it significantly reduces private vehicle use would seem a good place to start. Currently many Taranaki car owners express interest in using the bus service for work commutes and errands - but simultaneously lament the total impracticability of doing so. This relates either to the infrequency of scheduled buses (especially in South Taranaki

#	Name	District				Yarrow Stadium Options										LGFA		Comments
		NP	S	ST	O	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	O	Y	N		
																		- buses serving coastal towns between Hawera and New Plymouth run only once a week) or because the fares are so high it's still cheaper for them to take their cars. WITT has consistently requested a daily coastal bus service to facilitate student access to further education. There is a clear need for this service which upon improvements such as frequency, will empower the 'transport disadvantaged' as defined in the Regional Public Transport Plan for Taranaki (2014-2024). The plan is coming up for review, so it is timely to consider potential savings that can be channelled into public transport. Financial support for community initiatives such as shared electric vehicles would also be very much worthwhile. By going with Option 1 (which costs \$6 million), TRC has the opportunity to use the \$49 million difference between Option 1 and Option 2 (TRC's preferred option) to reduce fares, increase the frequency of service and otherwise improve Taranaki's public transport to the point it makes a serious dent in private vehicle use. Other venues like the Brookland Bowl get huge crowds despite having no seating. Winter sports people are tough people so they should be used to bringing umbrellas and seats if needed. There are other venues for indoor winter concerts.
324	Emma Hutchinson	1				1												I am against spending a huge sum of money like \$55 million just to host a few games of provincial rugby each year - especially when most matches are attended by less than 5000 paying people.
325	Graeme Parkinson	1					1											
326	Richard Hutterd		1				1											Hurry up and get the stands sorted out.
327	Billy Hutchinson	1				1												
328	Russell Wood	1							1									This is a unique existing, centrally located facility which will be difficult to replicate elsewhere. Even if the racecourse is altered to provide a suitable area, the costs to produce an international playing field as we have now would be extremely prohibitive. A long term vision should be implemented over time to provide other public facilities within the boundary. A 50 year view could include a covered arena, the repositioning of the existing Star Gym, to a larger more suitable position which could include improved facilities for multi indoor sports as well as the existing badminton etc. There may be a possibility that a Rugby Club may not require this facility in the distant future, further opening up the gym and playing fields to include other codes such as football, league, hockey, volleyball etc. Let us not forget the history of 'Rugby Park'. The crowds that used to attend a major rugby game as a percentage of the population then. Our population will continue to increase. Leisure time will increase and although the percentage of the population that will require this may not be the same, we need to provide the facilities for their use and encourage New Plymouth to continue to punch above its weight to encourage population growth and investment in Taranaki. I am sure the future population will not only want to look at Art Works. What decision will our grandchildren appreciate us for?
329	Brent Taylor NZ Tattoo & Art Festival/ NZ Junior Sevens	1							1									If the conference facilities at Yarrows are expanded & upgraded it could be an option to host the NZ Tattoo & Art Festival, as it looks like to TSB Stadium will never be expanded or upgraded. I have also just launched a new event, NZ Junior Sevens which will be held at Yarrow Stadium every September over 2 days. The stadium is used for more than just Taranaki Rugby, making it larger & more multi-purpose will encourage more events to be hosted there.
330	Emma Smaller	1														1		The priority for the community and for ratepayer expenditure is community sport facilities which enable thousands of residents to be active every week as identified in the Regional Sports Facility Strategy. Consultation regarding proposed enhancements has not been transparent or community-wide, evidence around decisions has not been provided, and the enhancements do not align with the Strategy or the current processes followed by sports for facility development. We support that a repair of some scale needs to be undertaken but require more detail of what is included in the \$36m is required and what scale of stadium is appropriate for the region now and into the future. We do not support the \$19m of enhancements which should be accounted for within the existing Asset Management Plan and/or via the Regional Facility Strategy process if sport-related. We feel strongly that there is a great need for an equitable approach to facility improvements and funding across sports.
331	Debbie McKinlay		1														1	Everything Building/Structure In New Zealand is Earth Quake Prone to one extent or another and risk is something we all live with every day. While it is acknowledged that we recently have already spent a huge amount on the Stadium, regardless I do think that you are going to move ahead and upgrade both stands. Begrudgingly I feel that if it has to be done this should be the only option that is chosen! Repair the Stands to the required Standard only at a lower price! Not \$55 Million. (About \$106,00 Million over 25years) I do not agree with the plans to Update Facilities in your preferred Option 2. Everything else is just a wish list that we as Ratepayers should not have to keep paying for.
332	Ray Willy			1			1											Yarrow Stadium in its present condition is like a concentration camp with all the barb wire and fences round the stands. Their used to be a buzz round the place with the kids and teenagers decked out in amber n black enjoying themselves with all their friends. The stadium is now like a morgue, no atmosphere what so ever!!!
333	Scott Ireland	1					1											
334	Anne Sim	1					1											
335	Hadyn Jones	1															1	Hi Regional Council. You are facing a generational decision. A decision you are making on behalf of the people of Taranaki. This decision will impact how and where my children and their children watch and play their sport. Currently it appears you have gone away, researched the sporting and community landscape and come back with one option. One option. In a world of various multi-sport stadiums, offering a hub for many sports on many levels, you have gone away and come back with one option. To replace a rugby stadium with a more expensive rugby stadium. The only decision you appear to be making is how much of the rate payers money (for generations) you want to spend on this one-sport facility. You have presented no other choice. That's failing the people of Taranaki. Are you telling me no one at the regional council thought to pick up the telephone and talk to a funding partner like the NPDC and put together a combined option of a stadium which caters for as many users as possible in a location which is central to New Plymouth. If I read the Taranaki Daily News correctly, the current options are opposed by all sports in the region but rugby. The options are opposed by Sport Taranaki and goes against the Taranaki Regional Sports and Recreation Facility Strategy. Besides all of that, it's the wrong stadium in the wrong part of town for the benefit of one sport which is itself failing. The Mitre Ten Cup is a second tier competition which is losing money and sending the vast majority of the rugby unions into financial ruin. Even with the quickest of googling you can see that if it wasn't for significant funding from the NZRU, most provincial unions would be facing financial ruin. It will not survive in it's current form yet we are building a stadium to house a dwindling supporter base with a sport losing player numbers. Secondary School Rugby lost nine percent of it's players between 2017 and 2018. That's a bleak future. As for economic benefit, I've been told the New Zealand Secondary School Basketball tournament brings more funding into a provincial region than an All Blacks fixture. That's many teams, staying for a whole week - seven days of full hotels rather than one night. I urge you to go back to the drawing board, consult with the NPDC and look at a facility which caters for as many sports as possible at as many levels as possible. This is a generational decision and the people of the province you serve deserve better than a one sport stadium in the wrong part of town dressed up as as "options." Thanks for taking the time to consider my submission. Cheers Hadyn Jones P.S. Team Taranaki Football team tried to use Yarrow's Stadium but it proved too expensive to rent. If a sports stadium is unusable by 99 percent of the sports in the region, isn't it by definition the wrong facility for it's community. So when presented with an opportunity to start a-fresh, why would we want to build the same thing again?
336	Joshua Hamilton	1															1	The priority for the community and for ratepayer expenditure is community sport facilities which enable thousands of residents to be active every week as identified in the Regional Sports Facility Strategy. Consultation regarding proposed enhancements has not been transparent or community-wide, evidence around decisions has not been provided, and the enhancements do

#	Name	District				Yarrow Stadium Options										LGFA		Comments
		NP	S	ST	O	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	O	Y	N		
																		not align with the Strategy or the current processes followed by sports for facility development. We support that a repair of some scale needs to be undertaken but require more detail of what is included in the \$36m is required and what scale of stadium is appropriate for the region now and into the future. We do not support the \$19m of enhancements which should be accounted for within the existing Asset Management Plan and/or via the Regional Facility Strategy process if sport-related. We feel strongly that there is a great need for an equitable approach to facility improvements and funding across sports."
337	Grant Hassall Taranaki Rugby Supporters Club				1		1											We support the repair of the stands and the update of the facilities at Yarrow Stadium. Having the stadium back into this state will greatly aid the province in lifting its national and international profile - thereby enhancing our chance of visitors - and without it will see a number of possible events literally head east. Taranaki has done it before and can do it again. Further, we further recommend that our (the Taranaki Rugby Supporters Club) prior lease on space in the TSB Stand be renewed. Our club has 150 members and desperately needs space at Yarrow Stadium in order to continue to achieve our objectives of a) supporting Taranaki's representative sides and, most importantly, b) to support all junior and related rugby activities. Without a room at a repaired Yarrow Stadium our club, the Taranaki Rugby Supporters Club, with 150 members, will almost certainly close. That would be a tragedy for a club that is over 40 years old and in fact traces its origins back to the halcyon days of the 1950s.
338	William Simpson	1										1						I want to speak from a individual perspective, a rate payer that wants to see value for money.. The world has changed and rugby is no longer a preferred sport. We a talk about team sports yet we have an idea that is thinking individuals and "I" rather than an "US" . People of Taranaki need to look at building big and better for the future. Building for all the sporting people not just one sport. Those days are now over. Rugby does not bring in enough revenue for rate payers to invest such a large amount of money to retain Yarrow Stadium. Yarrow Stadium must be demolished and replaced and an all-round sporting stadium that is inclusive, that involves all major sports. Netball, Hockey, Cricket and many other indoor sports that require a stadium to be able to grow their sports even soccer. I am opposed to the possibility of even spending one cent on one sport that my family do not follow or support when I see other sporting bodies struggling to supply the basics for their sports, like swimming, gymnastics, karate and there are many others that I may not know about. TRC talks about prudent spending and using their monies wisely then if this is their aspiration then they need to look wider and take a risk ad build a complex that facilitates for all sports within Taranaki not just Rugby. Waitara is a Town that leads by example of what not to do, they raised money to build a gymnasium, and 3/4 roof for netball and in time a changing room and facility for Rugby League leaving all other sporting bodies in the cold even the netball courts are exposed unfinished and appalling, TRC and NPDC gave not support financially for this project so why Yarrow Stadium.
339	Tony Bedford	1														1		My name is Tony Bedford. I have had over 30 years involvement in both community and professional sport predominantly in rugby at local, regional, national and international level. I am against redevelopment and enhancement of Yarrow Stadium to a level that was previous with capacity over 25,000 and with two major grandstands. Our community does not warrant a facility of this size, cost and ongoing operational costs. As the Berle report stated there has been one occasion in the last 11 years an attendance of 20,000 excluding All Black games. A Stadium of a capacity of 15,000 would have accommodated events that have been held at the stadium over the last 11 years. Taranaki Rugby has not averaged 8000 per game in the last 14 years! The main stadium is predominately used for rugby. Nationally live attendance for rugby outside of test matches is dropping..... Highest average attendance for Super Rugby in Australasia last year was just over 15,000 by the Hurricanes. Wellington attendance for Mitre 10 Cup last year averaged just over 3800 while Waikato who hosted the final in the Division that Taranaki are in attracted just over 5000 people. I don't feel that stadia the current size should be built so you have a capacity crowd for an All Black game once every 3 or 4 years is justified and funded by rate payers, likewise having the facilities upgraded to host functions and weddings. I am also against additional ratepayer funded enhancements for the stadium such as offices and gymnasium for a selected sports code while many others do not have the same opportunity. If rugby needs these facilities then they should be funding them themselves like local rugby clubs and other sports clubs do. It is interesting to note that other facilities----TSB Stadium, Aquatic Centre etc have had upgrade proposals deferred due to the NPDC not having the funding available at the present time and the desire to minimize rate increases. I urge the TRC to look at a stadium that suits the community needs. A one stand stadium with a capacity of around 15,000 would be acceptable to host all of the events that have been held over the last 10 years excluding All Black tests. While the costs could be similar to reinstatement the ongoing costs of maintenance and attendance should be less. Could the TRC provide publicly a full list of annual operating costs for the stadium with associated income from events and tenancies without any outside contributions from grants, council, etc. With the community funding the redevelopment there needs to be more detailed long term planning of the whole of the facility as the whole of the area is underutilized. Toilets and pay for play pitches for social and formal sport on the outlying fields would be advantageous for the wider community who are going to fund this upgrade.
340	Colin Neighbours	1					1											The Taranaki province has a lot to be proud of, and it stands tall in NZ sport by producing champions not only on the sporting field, but also from the wider environment that is Taranaki- Just as Mt Taranaki is our icon it appears as a beacon and instils a level of pride in our Province. The Stadium is our arena and has been the battlefield for rugby contests in the past hosting many a memorable Ranfurly Shield or Mitre 10 game; and it will continue for the future just as long as we the community are bold enough to take up the challenge and fix it properly-not only with No-8 wire but provide enhancements which will appeal to an even wider audience. The cost of any of the Options 2-8 looks daunting, but when one takes into consideration the benefits the Stadium has given the whole of Taranaki since 2002, we have no choice but to carry out repairs and an upgrade, so future generations can also enjoy what we have up to 2018. To do nothing or even No. 1 is not an option if we are to compete with other regions around NZ and stay ahead of the pack. I have overheard quite a few comments about dwindling numbers of patrons because of the ease of watching a game at home (or the pub). I remain of the belief that if the Stadium facilities provide a comfortable environment, coupled with the ability of each provincial rugby union to produce a competitive team with a flair in the style of play, then the fans will turn up- It wouldn't do any harm to combine forces with other stadiums around the country and put pressure on the powers that be, to get them to release All Blacks to play for their home province more often. With policies currently in place are we ever likely to see a Barrett play for Taranaki again? Finally the€ share of costs per households look acceptable to me on either Options 2 or 4, but the price put on Commercial/industrial users looks a bit steep, particularly for those outside of the food and hospitality areas. Because TRC will undoubtedly get a very favourable interest rate and the borrowing not need to increase, could there be a nil inflation cap put on the levy so that the cost will not rise but get "cheaper" for households to bear over the life of the loan? Or alternatively should the TRC as sole shareholder of Port Taranaki come into a windfall at any stage then some of those funds be used to ease the household's burden? *Whilst I support Option 2 preferred by TRC, Option 4 looks more acceptable to a wider range of the Taranaki population, although I do not see the need for further seats. Having the gym separate from the main stand would not only free up some valuable space but make it more accessible to a wider range of potential users.
341	Mark Robinson				1		1											A fully functioning regional stadium is absolutely critical to Taranaki's identity and further development. The social, recreational / leisure, sporting, and economic benefits are clear and have been well articulated in the BERL Report on Stadium Value. If our province wishes to remain relevant as a national player in hosting significant events across a wide range of entertainment sectors then the investment in the stadium is required. The many positive spin-off's such as attracting people to live and being a more complete life-style and tourist destination are also well known. Although the re-development is critical for the survival of Taranaki Rugby, this is a much bigger, broader opportunity to secure than rugby's future alone. If we do not re-develop the stadium to an acceptable modern standard for hosting significant events, it will result in very significant lost opportunity across multiple generations for our communities and broader region.
342	Bryan Campbell			1			1											
343	Chiefs Rugby Club				1		1											The Chiefs Rugby Club LP (CRC) would firstly like to acknowledge the work that the Taranaki Stadium Trust (TST) and the Taranaki Regional Council (TRC) has done to develop Yarrow Stadium in to the premier multi sports facility in Taranaki. Yarrow Stadium is considered one of the best sporting venues in New Zealand and an iconic stadium throughout the world. It hosts events such as rugby Internationals that brings millions of dollars into the Taranaki economy. The Taranaki Rugby Football Union plays a huge roll in attracting such events. Yarrow Stadium also hosts a range of other sporting and non-sports events that the Taranaki public would not enjoy to the same extent without a fully functioning Yarrow Stadium. Over the course of the last ten years, numerous fixtures and events at Yarrow Stadium have been broadcast nationally and internationally, putting a spotlight on the Taranaki Region. The Gallagher Chiefs team have played six

#	Name	District				Yarrow Stadium Options										LGFA		Comments
		NP	S	ST	O	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	O	Y	N		
																		games at Yarrow Stadium since Taranaki Rugby Football Union invested in the CRC in 2014: 2014:Blues/Warratahs 2015: Hurricanes/Brumbies 2016:Sharks 2017:Reds. Planning was underway to host a Gallagher Chiefs match at Yarrow Stadium in 2018. Unfortunately the TST found itself in a challenging position due to the discovery of earthquake prone issues with the east and west stands. This ultimately put an end to Yarrow Stadium's ability to host Super Rugby fixtures at the venue. Rugby plays a prominent role in the wider Chiefs Region and Taranaki is no exception. Taranaki has a proud history and the province produced some fantastic rugby talent over the Unions 134 year history. Current Gallagher Chiefs contracted players include: Mitchell Graham . Angus Ta'avao . BradteySlater . Reuben O'Neill . Finn Hoeata . Mitchell Brown . LachlanBoshier . Pita GusSowakula . TeToiroaTahuruirangi Kini Naholo Sean Wainui Tom Florence Coaches; Colin Cooper and Neil Barnes also developed their playing and coaching careers in Taranaki. Yarrow Stadium is a significant and important piece of infrastructure that plays a major role in the region. Yarrow Stadium or Rugby Park has been the constant in the evolution of rugby in Taranaki. The TSB Hub and the TET Stadium in Inglewood are fantastic community facilities but not at the capacity required with minimum standard lux lighting for televised nights games and SANZAAR minimum standard requirements for changing facilities to host Super Rugby competition matches. As neither of these venues meet minimum SANZMR requirements we do not need to discuss minimum covered seats and hospitality requirements to generate revenue needed to successfully operate a Super Rugby match. The CRC hosted the Hurricanes at the TSB Hub at Hicks Park in Hawera, June 2018. This was a "friendly" match played at 1pm. Currently there are no other viable options in the region to host Super Rugby competition games. The CRC support option two in the first instance with an upgrade to option four if additional funding can be found. It is important to Taranaki that the Stadium is returned to full capability. The opportunity in this awful situation is to refurbish the venue and set plans and funding in place to provide for the ongoing maintenance and appropriate development of the facility. The CRC look forward to returning Super Rugby back to Yarrow Stadium as soon as possible. We thank you for the opportunity to submit on this matter.
344	Therese Campbell	1																TRC are to be acknowledged and commended for endeavouring to resolve the Yarrows Stadium issues but there are insufficient options tabled to make an informed decision. The issues of most concern are: • Transparency and clear disclosure about what is included in Option 2 (\$55million). The split of stands repairs and enhancements was not shown in the consultation documents. The community had to rely on seeing a media article prior to consultation to realise the split. No disclosure or transparency that Rugby is getting a new building separate from the grandstand, listed as 'Gym and Changing rooms' on the plans rather than 'Rugby Sports House' to house all the staff as well for this code and the fact that it is included in the 'Repairs to the Stand' costs. • The significant gap between Option 1 of grass banks (\$6m) and Option 2 (\$55m) repair and enhancements. More options including repairing just one stand and the straight repair of both stands without all the enhancements should also have been added for community consultation as well as an option to choose none of the options which only became available on request 3 working days from close of submissions. • The 'enhancements' appear to be a combination of maintenance items already in the existing asset management plan that we are already rated for and a wish list of current 'Users' of the stadium as opposed to what is practically required to meet anticipated future spectator numbers. • The enhancements include an Artificial Turf on the No. 2 field which lists as the benefits that 'sports' can use. No other sport (other than rugby) has been consulted about the suitability of an artificial turf at the Yarrows stadium location. There has been a Sports Facilities Strategic steering group set up of which the 3 district councils and other funders are a part of to consider and make recommendations for sport and recreation facilities but this 'enhancement' as not been through this process. There needs to be transparency and equity of process for all sports. • In identifying the preferred option there seems to be a lack of research and evaluation of spectator attendance trends (particularly for rugby which is suffering declining participation as well) and frameworks that have been created for future facility planning. According to the research available facilities in the future will need to be more adaptable, inclusive, attractive, multi-purpose, and better meet the needs of a wider range of activities and users. • Even though I myself still attend the games at the stadium to show support for rugby (unfortunately family and friends do not anymore), as a ratepayer with adult children who will bear the burden for the next 25 years, we do not want to be committed to this level of debt for one facility that has spasmodic use. People today have far more diverse interests and individual councils have an opportunity to invest future funds in projects that have a far wider appeal and community use. Recommendation : • TRC to propose more cost effective options that utilise facility planning frameworks and are reflective of current trends, the size of our region and meet an identified need. • Any costs that are specific to one sport need to follow a transparent and equitable process through the 'Sport and Recreation Facilities Steering Group' that all other sports are required to follow. TRC could also consider taking a more holistic approach to sport and recreation in the region and follow Northland Regional Council's example : https://www.nrc.govt.nz/news/2018/september/38m-of-sports-facilities-funding-allocated-by-nrc/
345	David Walker	1																Preferred 8. Be brave for the future of Taranaki and lead Regional New Zealand and don't be held back by conservatism within Taranaki. 2nd Preference Option 2.
346	Lloyd Morgan Chairman Taranaki Synthetic Turf Trust				1													The Trust would like Councillors to consider the following. TSTT supports the reinstatement of Yarrow Stadium to its previous operating level. Councillors need to note the following reservations. 1. The reinstatement should be limited to making good the Grandstands and not the enhancements and improvements listed in Option 2, or 4 as these have been allocated funding in the pre-existing Yarrow Stadium Strategic Plan via the existing TRC rate (\$876,000/annum). 2. The current TRC proposal fails to sufficiently consider regions pressing requirement for other sport facilities and this proposal will generate ratepayer resistance to develop options with a wider level of use resulting in a significant opportunity missed. 3. The draft proposal includes a synthetic surface (Field 2), of which TSTT obviously has an interest. This does not have the stated versatility and thus could be regarded as misleading. TSTT has some knowledge around these options which it would readily discuss. 4. The budgeted repair cost seems extremely high given the current asset value of the stands. Has consideration been given to further engineering community consultation to develop a more cost effective solution. Our committee is concerned at the proposals' depth of research and the apparent lack of insurance cover of such a significant asset.
347	Paul Munro	1					1											There was a figure in the media of \$38 million to repair the two stands and I presume the difference is the costs of the updating of facilities. Depending on the details I would prefer we just get the stands repaired and defer any upgrades until we can afford them.
348	Josh Thomson	1					1											
349	Hugh Barnes	1																I support only the seismic repair of the east and west grandstands to return them to the full use that existed prior to the discovery that these buildings were earthquake prone and unable to be occupied by the spectator public. Any update of the facilities should be completed in line with the Yarrow Stadium Asset Management Plan 2015 to 2025 and beyond. The projected \$4.25 million increased rate take per year under the Council recommended option 2, for the stadium facility including the enhancements is not justified when the current \$826K rate take is projected to cover most of the enhancements listed under the AMP. The Council needs to be cognisant that the Taranaki rate payers have already paid significantly for the Yarrow Stadium facility upgrades in the past, so accepting that we need to pay again to return it to the original standard is already a bitter pill to swallow. Refer to attached letter in support of my submission.
350	Graham Mourie			1			1											In supporting option 2 as the preferred option I note the facilities positive benefits to the Taranaki region and community providing both social and economic support and opportunity. Facilities of the nature of Yarrows Stadium provide communities with the ability to provide and participate in events of both a local, regional and national nature. While the type and scope of these events may change as the needs of the region move with time and fashion the facility will endure enhancing the lives of present and future generations living in the region. To not take advantage of the current opportunity to rebuild and upgrade the stadium to meet future needs would be a major mistake - one which would be hard for future generations to remedy. I applaud the foresight and courage of the Council in moving quickly to address this opportunity.
351	Megan Gundesen Taranaki				1													We believe there is an Option 1.5 that should be explored. Taranaki region ratepayers need more information the enhancements included in Option 2. TRC should organise another meeting at Sport Taranaki to explain the breakdown of enhancements to the other sporting codes and thereby potentially obtain their better support. Transparency on Option 2 thus far has been lacking.

#	Name	District				Yarrow Stadium Options										LGFA		Comments	
		NP	S	ST	O	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	O	Y	N			
	Equestrian Network																		
352	Julian Todd, Spaces & Places Consultant Sport New Zealand				1									1					<p>In 2014 Sport NZ published the "Better Value From New Zealand Sport Facilities", a Sporting Facilities Framework. This framework identified the challenges that we face as communities grow and evolve and our sporting facilities age and wear out. To respond to these challenges the Sporting Facilities Framework seeks to improve the strategic decision making around facility development and encourage smart investment in sport and recreation facilities so that these facilities are fit-for-purpose, well utilised, affordable and future proofed. To achieve this the Sporting Facilities Framework developed six-principles to overcome the problems of the past and deliver the greatest benefits for all New Zealanders. The six principles are: Meeting an Identified Need; Sustainability; Partnering/Collaboration; Integration; Future Proofing; Accessibility. Sport NZ's role in bringing this framework to life is through:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Providing Leadership – engaging across the sector, facilitating alignment and collaboration between all those with an interest in sporting facilities • Guiding Investment – through the Sporting Facilities Framework, help New Zealand spend money wisely on sports and recreation facilities • Enabling others – developing a network of facility specialists across the sector, providing tools, resources and templates for the sector to use and the development of national and regional facility strategies <p>In addition to the Sporting Facilities Framework, Sport NZ also adopted its Community Sport Strategy 2015 – 2020 which acknowledged that while we have a rich sporting heritage that every generation to date has been fortunate to inherit, this is under threat from societal changes. Sport NZ's Strategy acknowledges this, provides the case for change, philosophy, outcomes and priorities to ensure that more young people and adults can participate in sport and active recreation. Spaces & Places is one of five strategic priorities within the Community Sport Strategy which has a focus on alignment and collaboration between those with an interest in the planning and development of sporting spaces and places. For the Taranaki Region this has resulted in the development of a Regional Sport and Recreation Facility Strategy, led by Sport Taranaki and in partnership with the regions three local councils, which has defined the current network of community sport and recreation facilities across the region, the quality of that network, the gaps in that network, and what the future needs of the region are. Adopted by the local councils in the region and with support from regional funders there is now a Regional Steering Group made up of representatives of the local councils, funders, Sport NZ, and chaired by Sport Taranaki tasked with adding value to proposals for community sport and recreation infrastructure for the region. Sport NZ's observations, feedback and recommendations in response to the proposals for the future of Yarrow Stadium are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sport NZ acknowledges the important role Yarrow Stadium plays in the life of the Taranaki Region, from a social cohesion, economic impact, sport and cultural experience perspective, and that the facility is synonymous with the importance of rugby to the region. • Also acknowledged is that under the empowering legislation, the Taranaki Regional Council is limited in its involvement with the sport and recreation sector to that of Rugby Park in New Plymouth (Yarrow Stadium). • Sport NZ supports the efforts of the Regional Council to find a solution to the current earthquake prone East and West Stands of Yarrow Stadium Development Options • Sport NZ recommends that the Regional Council reconsider the options currently being considered as part of this Annual Plan process for the following reasons: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Appropriate Scale of Options – except for Option 1, all the options being considered maintain a total, permanent stadium capacity in excess of 20,000 and in the case of the preferred options 25,000. Maintaining a permanent capacity at this level exceeds the need as described in the Berl report 'Yarrow Stadium value to the Taranaki community'. This report indicates approximately 975,000 have used the stadium over the last 15 years which equates to filling the stadium only 2.5 times per year. In addition, Taranaki Rugby as a principle user of the stadium occupies on average just 24% to 32% of the proposed capacity in the preferred Option 2 for regular NPC games. Other higher interest games such as Ranfurly Shield matches occupy just 40% to 51% of the proposed capacity. Even with an improvement in the quality of the facilities following redevelopment it is difficult to see a scenario where these figures will increase sufficiently to require this capacity on a regular basis. We note that there is a significant gap in costs between Option 1 (\$6m) and Option 2 (\$55m). Given Sport NZ's concern of the appropriate scale of response, it is unclear whether the Regional Council has considered other solutions that would fall between these two options with a reduced permanent seating capacity. Sport NZ recommends the Regional Council to consider this as a matter of priority. o Quality of the Preferred Option 2 – Sport NZ notes that the overview of Option 2 states "On the downside, there will be little evidence of any change to the Stadium, and the use and capacity of hospitality areas remains limited." Sport NZ recommends the Regional Council to reconsider this approach. Maintaining a permanent capacity that is not needed to the detriment of the user experience would be an error in the view of Sport NZ. Sport NZ recommends the Regional Council to consider a smaller scale development with greater flexibility of spaces, an enhanced experience for both performers/players and visitors alike, and which would have the opportunity to generate a better return on investment and reduce operating and maintenance costs in the long term. o Capacity for Significant Events – Sport NZ acknowledges that should the Regional Council consider a lower permanent capacity, then there may be times when Yarrow Stadium will wish to host events of significance to the wider Taranaki Region and which will require higher levels of capacity. If not already considered, Sport NZ recommends the investigation of options that would allow the Stadium capacity to be temporarily increased for those major events. Costs • While Sport NZ acknowledges that Taranaki Regional Council is only mandated to act in respect of Rugby Park in New Plymouth, we know that the Council is also aware that any decisions it makes with regards to the rates it levies has a material impact on the residents of the Region and therefore on the wider network of community facilities including those relating to sport and active recreation. Any decision the Council makes regarding the redevelopment of the Stadium has an opportunity cost attached to it which impacts the ability of the community to respond to the other sport and recreation needs it has. Those needs are well documented through the Taranaki Regional Sport and Recreation Facility Strategy and include several priority projects for the New Plymouth District. As the Regional Council proposes a rate which will be structured so that those living in the north of region pay a higher percentage than those in the south this is likely to have a negative impact where new community facilities are most needed. Sport NZ requests that Council when considering its level of investment into the Stadium, take a wider network view of sport and recreation needs for the whole region. In 2016, Sport NZ reported a 7.7% decline in adult participation over a 16-year period between 1998 and 2014, the 2017 Active NZ Survey identifies a decline in participation between the ages of 15 and 17. Sport NZ as a strategic priority is working with multiple partners including Sport Taranaki to address this decline and community sport and recreation facilities are an important part of the solution. Those facilities also provide the foundation for the pathways of future athletes who may one day perform at the Stadium or represent Taranaki on the national or international stage. Ensuring that the Taranaki Region has a complete network of fit-for-purpose facilities is the responsibility of all the stakeholders in the region and requires all of them to work together. • Sport NZ notes that the preferred Option 2 at \$55m represents the most Council can and should prudently borrow, and that Option 4 at \$69m could only proceed if outside funding were available. We are aware that with many earthquake strengthening projects around the country, project costs have increased significantly as detailed design and construction prices are agreed. Reducing the overall scale of the project would be one way for Council to mitigate this risk given the financial limit Council finds itself operating within and we would encourage consideration of this approach. • Sport NZ recommends that the Council give consideration to the list of 11 Essential Enhancements totalling \$8.6m and the 14 High Priority Enhancements totalling \$9.59m. It is unclear whether any prioritisation of these enhancements have been undertaken. A number of these would appear to be renewals of existing assets which should have been appropriately depreciated, in which case funding should already be available for these items so it is not clear to us why they would be captured in this project. Summary Sport NZ recognises the importance to the Taranaki Region of having a fully functioning and fit for purpose Yarrow Stadium. We believe that the Stadium best fulfils its role when it is considered as part of an overall network of sport and recreation facilities that support the desires and aspirations of every person in the Region. Given that, we believe that careful consideration needs to be given to the scale of any proposal for redevelopment. Based on the evidence provided there remain significant questions and concerns regarding the Options being considered, both in terms of the future function of the stadium itself and the associated impacts in maintaining the wider network of community facilities with the associated benefits that the residents of Taranaki can enjoy. Sport NZ's overall recommendation is that Taranaki Regional Council reconsider its currently preferred option and look to develop a more modest solution that would reduce the capital burden on the Council, reduce ongoing operational costs, and improve the quality of experience for performers and spectators alike.
353	Rob Wheeler	1					1											<p>I support Option 2 in the first instance but would upgrade to Option 4 if additional funding can be found. Yarrow Stadium is Taranaki Rugby's spiritual home and its reinstatement with proposed Option 2 would ensure that Taranaki can continue to host all key rugby events at local, provincial, super rugby and international levels. It is vital that the works are undertaken as urgently as possible to ensure that these objectives can be achieved.</p>	
354	Shaun Le Bas Gymnastica Gym Club	1												1				<p>We at Gymnastica Gym Club agree that the stadiums should be repaired at the cost of 36M. We do not agree with decision making process for the 19M on upgrades to the facilities. As the upgrades are to make this venue a true multi-sports venue and as all other sporting codes are now required to provide notification and reports to the 'Taranaki Regional Sport Facility Steering Group', we would recommend that the proposed 19M goes through this same process. The steering group is made up of the Territorial Local Authorities, Community Funders, Sport New</p>	

#	Name	District				Yarrow Stadium Options										LGFA		Comments
		NP	S	ST	O	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	O	Y	N		
																		Zealand, and Sport Taranaki. The Steering Group aims to maintain an up-to-date view of the entire network of facilities in Taranaki, to review all challenges and ideas, and to provide initial feedback. Should the Regional Councils propose 19M upgrade be assess against the criteria set out in the Steering groups Framework and for this group to provide public recommendations.
355	Denise Hill Taranaki Hockey Federation				1									1				Taranaki Hockey Federation submission to Yarrow Stadium consultation. The Federation would like Councillors to consider the following. THF supports the reinstatement of Yarrow Stadium to its previous operating level. Councillors need to note the following reservations. 1. The reinstatement should be limited to making good the Grandstands and not the enhancements and improvements listed in Option 2, or 4 as these have been allocated funding in the pre-existing Yarrow Stadium Strategic Plan via the existing TRC rate (\$876,000/annum). 2. The current TRC proposal fails to sufficiently consider regions pressing requirement for other sport facilities and this proposal will generate ratepayer resistance to develop options with a wider level of use resulting in a significant opportunity missed. 3. Taranaki Hockey, along with other sports codes, have been working with Sport Taranaki, and sup-porting the Taranaki Facilities Strategy which has assessed the state of sports facilities in Taranaki and assessed the needs for the future based on predicted population growth. As such we are promoting the "sports hub" concept as recommended in this document. We do not consider the Yarrows Stadium fulfils the "hub" concept that will cater to the wider needs of Sports in Taranaki. All improvements that are Rugby specific, over and above reinstating the grandstands, should be dealt with through the Taranaki Facilities Strategy, on a level playing field with all other sports codes. 4. The budgeted repair cost seems extremely high given the current asset value of the stands. Has consideration been given to further engineering community consultation to develop a more cost effective solution. Our committee is concerned at the proposals' depth of research and the apparent lack of insurance cover of such a significant asset.
356	Ruth Mackay Patea Community Board			1										1				Repair Stand to required standard, without adding any extra facilities. We also want an exemption of a rate increase for outlying areas such as Ngamatapouri, Waitotara, Waverley and Patea. Preferably complete exemption or if not possible a reduced rate increase from what the rest of Taranaki will be charged
357	Merv and Annette Bain	1					1											
358	Rudy Gesterkamp	1												1				Apologies I have had no time to read any of the materials or follow the press on this issue and so am very un-informed, but today is closing day and I want to make one simple point. The list of 8 options is missing the one that you should be following which is to do the minimum repairs to both stands to restore them to usable as they were before being condemned and NOTHING MORE. We don't need empire building and we don't need conference facilities and we don't need to compete with other big cities (options 2 to 8 - some of which are patently inappropriate and I suspect are mostly there to just make the repair look cheap for us in contrast?). Option 1 is a step backwards that leaves us lagging behind what we had and behind what a city and province of our size should have. It's bad enough that we are having to pay twice for our facilities so just spend the minimum to get us back what we had, which was a good stadium for provincial rugby which can occasionally be good for some other stuff. The crowds and the usage do not justify spending anything more. Allow \$35million to get them both safe and nothing else. If you are going to spend anything more than that spend it on good lawyers to sue those responsible for \$35m so that it does not actually cost us twice.
359	Clare Rinckes	1												1				Just repair stands. Upgrades should take their turn as per upgrades to all other sporting facilities.
360	Paul Schrader Armourshield Group - South Taranaki			1										1				Support seismic repair of grand stands only at best rate payer cost practical, as rate payers have already paid for the stadium.
361	Steve Tew New Zealand Rugby				1		1											New Zealand Rugby is very grateful to the Taranaki Regional Council (TRC) for this opportunity to make a submission with regards to the repair or replacement of Yarrow Stadium following its closure due to the earthquake prone assessment. New Zealand Rugby would also like to thank the TRC for its support to date of Taranaki Rugby during this challenging period. New Zealand Rugby's vision is to Inspire and Unify New Zealanders and is one of New Zealand's largest sports organisations, with over 156,000 registered players both male and female. We promote the game at a community level right through to the pinnacle events for both our All Blacks and Black Ferns teams. The Taranaki RFU (TRFU) is a proud member of the New Zealand Rugby Union with a significant history of successful teams and producing players who have contributed as proud Taranaki representatives not only at local but also international level as All Blacks. This is presently epitomised by current All Blacks Beauden, Jordie & Scott Barrett, Waisake Naholo, Te Toiroa Tahuriorangi and Angus Ta'avao. Yarrow Stadium is the physical and spiritual home of Taranaki Rugby. Historically it has been the scene of many memorable rugby moments. And in recent years Yarrow Stadium has played host to fixtures at men's and women's national domestic competition level, (Mitre 10 and Farah Palmer Cups respectively), Investec Super Rugby and All Blacks fixtures. With a playing base of 7,000 players, New Zealand Rugby believes it is important Taranaki continues to have a rugby venue that offers participants an aspirational local pathway to the highest levels of rugby. The BERL report identifies the attachment the Taranaki community has to watching its teams competing in national provincial competitions, citing 6,000-8,000 spectators consistently attending Mitre 10 Cup (and its predecessors) matches between 2003 and 2017. New Zealand Rugby acknowledges that ultimately it is up to the Taranaki community to decide what should be done about Yarrow Stadium, but on making this submission we believe that the best option of those presented is option two, which proposes the repair of both stands and updated facilities. New Zealand Rugby would also support option four which is a repair of both stands, additional seating and community facilities that will provide the region with a true national convention centre, should funding and community support for this option be secured. As well as being a home venue for Mitre 10 and Farah Palmer Cup matches, Taranaki is a shareholder in the Chiefs Super Rugby franchise and has previously hosted many Investec Super Rugby games. The venue has of course also hosted numerous international matches, most recently in 2017 when the All Blacks defeated Argentina 39-22. The Council will be well aware that in addition to the community pride and excitement that high profile rugby fixtures generate, the economic benefit of such matches is significant to the local economy. The BERL report estimates between 2003-2017 an economic impact of over \$60m was derived from approximately 245,000 visitors to the region, 215,000 of whom visited to attend rugby matches. New Zealand Rugby's venue requirements for things such as lighting, team and spectator amenities and corporate hospitality vary for the various levels of fixtures and we would be very happy to provide further information to the Council on the specific minimum venue requirements should that be helpful. While we are not in a position to guarantee future fixtures, our vision to inspire and unify all New Zealanders means that we have a commitment to allocate matches around the country depending on the suitability of the various venues and Yarrow Stadium, once repaired, would once again be an attractive option for appropriate fixtures. In closing, New Zealand Rugby thanks the TRC for the work it has done in identifying the available options and the opportunity to provide this submission. We would also value the opportunity to speak to this submission in person at the hearing scheduled for May 13/14 and intend to do so.
362	South Taranaki District Council			1										1				The South Taranaki District Council (STDC) thanks the Taranaki Regional Council (TRC) for the opportunity to provide a submission on the Yarrow Stadium Funding options as part of the Annual Plan 2019/20. We do wish to speak to our submission. The situation that we all find ourselves in with the need to fund the strengthening of the Yarrow Stadium is a place none of us thought we would be or ever want to be. We would like to take this opportunity to thank the TRC for the work that has been done to date on this project. We acknowledge the huge challenge that the TRC has had and the difficult and complex issues that have to be resolved. Thanks to Chairman David MacLeod for coming and discussing the proposals with our Council. We also acknowledge the challenge that Taranaki Rugby has faced over the last few years and we are keen to see the TRFU return to 'business as usual' as soon as possible. Our Council has discussed and considered the various options presented for consultation. We do understand the need to do something. Yarrow Stadium is a regional facility which does provide benefit to the Taranaki region. However, we are very concerned about the cost to all Taranaki ratepayers and in particular those within the South Taranaki District. We are also concerned about the detrimental effect this situation is having on rugby and the potential negative effect on other sporting codes. We appreciate the additional detailed information that was provided to us by TRC staff. This has helped our Council with its deliberations on this matter and after careful consideration our preferred option is a modified version of Option 2. We understand that Option 2 potentially has two parts to it. 1. The

#	Name	District				Yarrow Stadium Options										LGFA		Comments
		NP	S	ST	O	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	O	Y	N		
																		first part is strengthening the two stands to make them compliant with earthquake codes and regulations. We estimate the cost for this, based on the information provided, to be approximately \$33 million. 2. The second part appears to include a range of extra capital enhancements that could be considered as non-essential items but are over and above the remedial work that is needed to earthquake strengthen the stadium. We estimate the cost of these items, again based on the information provided, to be approximately \$22 million. Given the situation we support strengthening and repairing the stands and getting them back to a usable state. However, at this stage, we do not support the extra \$22 million of capital works some of which include things like new astro-turf, a new TRFU building that will house a gym, toilets and changing rooms, audio visual upgrades etc. See Appendix 1. We believe the extra \$22 million is additional capital expenditure over and above repairs and maintenance. STDC understands that this additional work would require formal agreement from the three district councils under Clause 5 (4)(b) of the Taranaki Regional Council Empowering Act 2001. See Appendix 2. A modified Option 2, would reduce the funding required from the ratepayers of Taranaki by an estimated 40%. While we accept that South Taranaki ratepayers should make some contribution to the repair of the stands, we are concerned about the level of contribution and the amount of a targeted flat rate. We believe the benefits for the South Taranaki District are substantially less than for the other districts due to the distance from the stadium. Based on the calculations for Option 2, we believe it is unreasonable and unacceptable to impose an increase of 463% (going from \$11 per ratepayer to \$58.65) in one year. South Taranaki ratepayers have previously each paid \$11 a year (plus GST) for the Yarrow Stadium. This contribution continued even after the initial loan was paid off in 2012. As such in the last seven years South Taranaki ratepayers have contributed in excess of \$1 million toward the stadium. Furthermore this level of increase applied in such a way has the potential to severely limit our ability to levy rates for future work we want to undertake on our own facilities. We believe there are also some extra measures that could help the TRC ease the burden on our ratepayers. Extending the term of the loan would lower the initial cost. A 30 year loan or longer would lower the upfront cost. We also believe the levy increase could be introduced progressively (staggered) over the first few years as it is highly unlikely that any loans would need to be drawn down all at once, but as the work is completed over a number of years. The targeted flat rate is also especially regressive for our lower valued properties and hits those who can least afford it. We would support a modification to the levy to take this into account. We would also like the TRC to consider a further differential within the South Taranaki District to take into account the distance from the stadium, for instance those in the Patea Ward who are less likely to use the stadium. We would encourage the TRC to carefully consider the points we are raising so we can restore the stadium to what it was at a cost that is more acceptable to all of our ratepayers. In summary we would make the following recommendations: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Focus on strengthening the two stands to make them compliant with today's earthquake regulations at a cost of approximately \$33 million. • Recognise the need to obtain the three councils' formal agreement for capital expenditure over and above the earthquake strengthening work. • Investigate loan funding over a longer period to reduce the upfront cost. • Increase the levy progressively as the loans are drawn down. • Consider more differentials on the levy to take into account distance from the stadium. • Consider modifying the levy so it is a combination of general rate and flat rate to make it less regressive on those who can least afford it. We accept that doing nothing is not an option and believe it is important that the Yarrow Stadium is strengthened to meet the current earthquake standards so it can be returned to business as usual as soon as possible. We also recognise the huge cost to the Taranaki ratepayers and believe that any further costs must be limited and avoided where possible.
363	Taranaki Chamber of Commerce				1		1											Taranaki Chamber of Commerce welcomes the opportunity to provide a submission on the Taranaki Regional Council's Proposed Annual Plan 2019-2020. The Taranaki Chamber is part of a national and global network. We are a not for profit membership based organisation with a current membership of 687 businesses. Our role is to support and inspire a strong and vibrant business community through advocacy, business connections and celebrating business success. The Board of the Taranaki Chamber of Commerce undertakes a leadership role in the business community and represents our members' views in this submission. Local Government Funding Agency Scheme. The proposal for Taranaki Regional Council to become a Guaranteeing Local Authority has not received any publicity and we are certain that the majority of ratepayers are not aware that this is the only proposed way to secure funding for Yarrow Stadium. The probable assumption is that the loan will be from a financial institution. We note that New Plymouth District Council and South Taranaki District Council are shareholders of the LGFA. It appears that TRC has fully analysed the options and we are comfortable with the decision. The Chamber supports Option 2. Yarrow Stadium. Sporting, arts, culture and entertainment events are vital to a robust local economy. The Chamber supports investment by the Council to improve facilities which enhance both local and visitor experiences. However it is important to focus on who the major beneficiaries are. With Yarrow Stadium, it is mainly the region's residents along with hospitality providers and sporting bodies' stakeholders. The Chamber supports Option 2. However this support is based on revision of the proposed targeted flat rate (see below). Targeted Flat Rate. The proposal to move from a UAGC and land value based rating system to a flat rate has met with a lot of disgruntlement from our members. Currently contribution to Yarrow Stadium from small businesses is approximately \$80.00. This change to a flat rate of \$428.30 is a massive increase and also unfair. A small retailer in a single use building in New Plymouth will be paying the same amount as a big box retailer or a complete shopping mall. Our view is that rate allocation should reflect the benefits received and should not be unfairly applied to businesses as a revenue raising mechanism; especially as we are heading into more trying economic times. It is essential that councils do not use rating policy to overcharge the business community if they want to attract and retain business in the district. The Chamber would like to see the proposed rating differential between residential and commercial/industrial categories reduce or retain the current system. The Chamber does not support the proposed change to move to a Targeted Flat Rate for Commercial businesses.
364	John McGifford Central Football				1									1				The priority for the community and for ratepayer expenditure is community sport facilities which enable thousands of residents to be active every week as identified in the Regional Sports Facility Strategy. Consultation regarding proposed enhancements has not been transparent or community-wide, evidence around decisions has not been provided, and the enhancements do not align with the Strategy or the current processes followed by sports for facility development. We support that a repair of some scale needs to be undertaken but require more detail of what is included in the \$36 million is required and what scale of stadium is appropriate for the region now and into the future. We do not support the \$19 million of enhancements which should be accounted for within the existing Asset Management Plan and/or via the Regional Facility Strategy process if sport-related. We feel strongly that there is a great need for an equitable approach to facility improvements and funding across sports.
365	Rebecca McCullough	1												1				This is the perfect opportunity for the council to look beyond Yarrows and explore the option of a multi-sports centre at the racecourse... one that could be utilised by more sports than just rugby.
366	Justine Gilliland Venture Taranaki				1									1				Key to the further success of our region is attracting people to visit, live, work, create, spend, and invest in Taranaki. Having a vibrant and energetic region, community and lifestyle will aid us in this. The provision of diverse and quality public amenities, including sporting and event facilities, can help the region become such a lifestyle, visitor, and investment destination, supporting both inward attraction and resident wellbeing. Ensuring we have sporting facilities which are modern, fit for purpose, and accurately reflect and respond to participation and usage trends is central to Taranaki's value proposition. While we acknowledge that large scale sporting events, such as an All Blacks test match, deliver an economic benefit to the region, we also recognise there is no guarantee of attracting of such events, or the frequency at which they may occur. The ability of other sporting codes or events to attract capacity crowds to the (primarily rugby-focused) stadium is likely to be limited. It is also worth noting there should be a detailed assessment of whether current market demand for meeting, conference and incentive facilities in Taranaki, at the proposed size and scale for the stadium, is generally being sufficiently serviced by both private and public sector facilities. Aspirations for a world-class major event facility for Taranaki are admirable. Prior to selection of a preferred option, an assessment of this investment needs to be undertaken in the context of ensuring there is a diversity of quality facilities on offer throughout the region, to have the greatest impact on liveability, wellbeing and vibrancy.
367	Claudia Gelling	1												1				Do a basic improvement so that there are more funds to bring other sports facilities such as hockey and netball up to a good standard and then improve them all drastically again after that
368	Janice Liddle	1												1				I support Option 1 - demolishing the stands and grassing the area. The Yarrow Stadium is primarily a rugby stadium. According to the Taranaki Rugby's own figures, it has attracted some 245,000 people over the last 15 years. I therefore do not see the need to spend millions of dollars on a facility that attracts some 16,000 rugby supporters per year. Whether this includes other

#	Name	District				Yarrow Stadium Options										LGFA		Comments	
		NP	S	ST	O	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	O	Y	N			
375	Kiana Trott			1			1												
376	Lauren Marlow			1			1												
377	Ian Burroughs			1			1												
378	Cody Taylor			1			1												
379	Bradley Thomson			1			1												
380	Michael Tosland			1			1												
381	Sharna Caskey			1			1												
382	Belinda Wilson			1			1												
383	Jaqua Heddle Netball Taranaki																	1	The priority for the community and for ratepayer expenditure is community sport facilities which enable thousands of residents to be active every week as identified in the Regional Sports Facility Strategy. Consultation regarding proposed enhancements has not been transparent or community-wide, evidence around decisions has not been provided, and the enhancements do not align with the Strategy or the current processes followed by sports for facility development. We support that a repair of some scale needs to be undertaken but require more detail of what is included in the \$36m is required and what scale of stadium is appropriate for the region now and into the future. We do not support the \$19m of enhancements which should be accounted for within the existing Asset Management Plan and/or via the Regional Facility Strategy process if sport-related. We feel strongly that there is a great need for an equitable approach to facility improvements and funding across sports."
384	Wilson Parata			1			1												
385	Fleur Lonsdale	1					1												
386	Ethan Fraser			1			1												
387	Diane Preston			1			1												
388	Justin Tito			1			1												
389	Eric Werder			1			1												Rugby and sports organisations and Taranaki community need Yarrow Stadium running at full capacity immediately. Otherwise TRFU will end-up a back country club equivalent.
390	Tony Anderson			1			1												
391	Kelli Johns			1			1												
392	Phil Orr			1			1												
393	Tui Whana			1			1												
394	Sheveal Ngeru			1			1												
395	Philip Saxton			1			1												
396	Paul Johnston			1			1												
397	Michael Doyle	1																1	Move light towers that are blocking views.
398	Bernadette Bourke			1			1												
399	Pauline Corbett			1			1												
400	Tony Ransfield	1																1	The priority for the community and for ratepayer expenditure is community sport facilities which enable thousands of residents to be active every week as identified in the Regional Sports Facility Strategy. Consultation regarding proposed enhancements has not been transparent or community-wide, evidence around decisions has not been provided, and the enhancements do not align with the Strategy or the current processes followed by sports for facility development. We support that a repair of some scale needs to be undertaken but require more detail of what is included in the \$36m is required and what scale of stadium is appropriate for the region now and into the future. We do not support the \$19m of enhancements which should be accounted for within the existing Asset Management Plan and/or via the Regional Facility Strategy process if sport-related. We feel strongly that there is a great need for an equitable approach to facility improvements and funding across sports."
401	Philip Wells, Therese Campbell Parafed Taranaki																	1	About Parafed Taranaki. Parafed Taranaki (Taranaki Paraplegic and Physically Disabled Incorporated) was founded in 1976. Our aim is to grow and develop sport and recreational opportunities for physically disabled people within Taranaki. Parafed Taranaki can provide physical activity pathways from regional through to international levels. At ParaFed Taranaki we are excited about creating opportunities for disabled people to participate in sport and recreation. Parafed Taranaki is supportive of a repair of Yarrow's Stadium but did not find an option that could allow our members to make an informed decision. We have many members who often make the most of the excellent accessible facilities at the Stadium. Looking to the future we would hope to enjoy the same level of accessibility that we now do. Concerns: We value participation over spectator sport and recreation facilities for our members. We have existing facilities in the region that are not meeting participation demands for our current members that are a priority for our organisation. For example the Aquatic centre is in need of an upgrade to cater for our members and Basketball has a lack of available court space to run the number of teams wanting to enter their competitions which has put our member's participation in jeopardy. With the Aquatic centre in particular being an ongoing issue for us. We have raised this with the NPDC many times and despite several Reports back to Council is still not part of their long-term plan. While TRC may see this as not their concern, their choice of 'preferred option' to spend \$55 million on one facility is not reflective of our member's views on best value for money of ratepayer spend and effectively reduces the ability of each council to invest in other areas of higher community need. We fully support the reinstatement of the Stadium to its previous condition, but feel any further public spend be spread out to other codes and facilities. Our organisation had input in to the 'The Regional Sport and Recreation Facility Approach' which clearly states that "...it is recognised that providing high performance training facilities or International/National level facilities for major sporting events it is not supported in terms of the level of demand and is not economically sustainable for a region of Taranaki's size." There has been a lack of transparency and disclosure around what makes up the preferred option 2, the split between repair and enhancements, the 'Rugby Sports House' included in the repair, the No 2 field being turned in to an artificial turf and some of the enhancements already included in current asset management plans currently rated for. There were also no options offered between \$6 million and \$55 million for a straight repair. The consultation workshop that we attended last year that has seemed to form the basis of the enhancements list was made up of existing users of the stadium rather than a wider community consultation. There also appears to be a lack of research regarding the future proofing of facilities that will need to be more adaptable, inclusive, attractive, multi-purpose, and better meet the needs of a wider range of activities and users. Recommendations: • TRC to propose more cost effective options that utilise facility planning frameworks and are reflective of current trends, the size of our region and meet an identified need. • Any costs that are specific to one sport need to follow a transparent and equitable process through the 'Sport and Recreation Facilities Steering Group' that all other sports are required to follow. • That TRC consider inclusivity and accessibility for participation as a future focus with regard to investment in sport and recreation.

#	Name	District				Yarrow Stadium Options										LGFA		Comments
		NP	S	ST	O	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	O	Y	N		
402	Aaron Ransfield	1												1			The priority for the community and for ratepayer expenditure is community sport facilities which enable thousands of residents to be active every week as identified in the Regional Sports Facility Strategy. Consultation regarding proposed enhancements has not been transparent or community-wide, evidence around decisions has not been provided, and the enhancements do not align with the Strategy or the current processes followed by sports for facility development. We support that a repair of some scale needs to be undertaken but require more detail of what is included in the \$36m is required and what scale of stadium is appropriate for the region now and into the future. We do not support the \$19m of enhancements which should be accounted for within the existing Asset Management Plan and/or via the Regional Facility Strategy process if sport-related. We feel strongly that there is a great need for an equitable approach to facility improvements and funding across sports."	
403	Margaret Fleming	1					1											
404	Patricia Stevenson			1			1											
405	Dudley Burns	1					1											
406	Alex Gardner	1					1											
407	Leah Ransfield	1												1			The priority for the community and for ratepayer expenditure is community sport facilities which enable thousands of residents to be active every week as identified in the Regional Sports Facility Strategy. Consultation regarding proposed enhancements has not been transparent or community-wide, evidence around decisions has not been provided, and the enhancements do not align with the Strategy or the current processes followed by sports for facility development. We support that a repair of some scale needs to be undertaken but require more detail of what is included in the \$36m is required and what scale of stadium is appropriate for the region now and into the future. We do not support the \$19m of enhancements which should be accounted for within the existing Asset Management Plan and/or via the Regional Facility Strategy process if sport-related. We feel strongly that there is a great need for an equitable approach to facility improvements and funding across sports.	
408	Kelly Williams	1												1			The priority for the community and for ratepayer expenditure is community sport facilities which enable thousands of residents to be active every week as identified in the Regional Sports Facility Strategy. Consultation regarding proposed enhancements has not been transparent or community-wide, evidence around decisions has not been provided, and the enhancements do not align with the Strategy or the current processes followed by sports for facility development. We support that a repair of some scale needs to be undertaken but require more detail of what is included in the \$36m is required and what scale of stadium is appropriate for the region now and into the future. We do not support the \$19m of enhancements which should be accounted for within the existing Asset Management Plan and/or via the Regional Facility Strategy process if sport-related. We feel strongly that there is a great need for an equitable approach to facility improvements and funding across sports."	
409	Carol Ryan	1					1											
410	Joel Chard	1					1											
411	Janet McLaney	1					1										We need a stadium in New Plymouth otherwise we become a second class town. Repairs/improvements to Yarrow Stadium need to start ASAP.	
412	Jeff Ward	1												1			Consultation Process Biased. Nowhere on the online consultation form is a provision for ANY OTHER (Tick box) suggestion. A "cost to strengthen the EXISTING structures ONLY" option should have been provided. Nowhere within the Annual Plan/ consultation, nor Council media statements, is the \$36 million needed to reinstate the existing facilities to back to safe for public use, clearly mentioned. It has taken scrutiny of plans by Mr Hugh Barnes to expose that through media, in a very restricted timeframe before submissions closed. One has to wonder if councillors were ever told this, or maybe their blinkered bias in favour of rugby, caused them to brush that sensible alternative aside. It appears that BERL were never asked to do an economic evaluation on that alternative either. Clearly, 'Option One' is not a serious choice, being a retrograde step back to the old Rugby Park, and even worse. All the remaining options on the submission form are serious money gobblers and some just dreaming. Only centres of very large populations can expect to fund expensive stadiums catering for more than 16-20,000 attendees. Yarrow Stadium does not need any more seating. It already has twice as many covered stand seats than is required, but ratepayers are stuck with ongoing maintenance and financing costs of this overbuild. That is due to increasing televised/streamed live events, and the multiplicity of alternative entertainment options available. Already evidence from Westpac Stadium proves that, with even major sporting events failing to fill more than a quarter of seats available. In Rugby's case, there are far too many major rugby games scheduled, many running concurrently against major summer sporting codes events. Patrons of Rugby are choosing to watch world -wide rugby on electronic media, or go to places to see a variety of other sports. Live televised sport is a bigger revenue earner for the sport than attendance at stadiums will ever be. In a way it is a pity that an earthquake did not damage the stadium grandstands, because at least there would be an insurance pay-out and a RATIONAL and realistic choice would then have been possible, by NOT to rebuilding for 8000 covered seats, when half that number is all that is needed. Who Benefits from the extra \$19 Million Upgrade? Whilst public support from the whole of Taranaki rating base was agreed in 2002 for the construction of Yarrow Stadium as a multi-use outdoor sports & entertainment venue, the subsequent upgrades for a Rugby World Cup (a "once in a generation" happening), the proposed \$19 million PLUS! extensions and upgrades to lounge areas, added seating, proposed gymnasium, no2 ground improvements with upgraded lighting, extended sealing of car parking & embankment areas are all PRIMARILY FOR THE BENEFIT OF; RUGBY (more particularly Elite/Professional rugby events) NP District Council to obtain more revenue from hires of indoor facilities, or to offset spending at alternative NPDC operated premises. By excluding the \$19 million for 'Enhancements' Taranaki Regional ratepayers will save not less than \$1.14million p.a. for next 25 years (and beyond). STRATFORD DISTRICT & SOUTH TARANAKI DISTRICT ratepayers are according to proposed TRC rating of those areas, expected to pay towards those "Enhancements" costing at least \$19million. It is right and proper for those district's ratepayers to contribute to the cost of bringing the original stadium & facilities up to a standard that complies with the EQ safety code, but nothing more! Those Councils need to refuse to levy their ratepayer's for any expenditure beyond the cost of EQ strengthening. That saving to Stratford DC is \$235,986 and to South Taranaki it is \$698,833. To attract International Rugby games to Taranaki, NZRFU & TRFU talked up their needs, before they would consider staging an All Blacks game or International game in Taranaki. As a consequence Yarrow Stadium is an 'over-build' to cater for solely those alleged/unnecessary rugby "requirements". Nelson's Trafalgar Park with amenities far less extensive and far less expensive been allocated All Blacks and International rugby games. So too have other regions outside the four main centres, with facilities of a lower standard than Yarrow. This overbuilt and under-utilised stadium has become an ever increasing burden on ratepayers, yet the TRC wants to add more seats, spruce up secondary surrounding grounds and lights, add another gymnasium, all for the benefit of Rugby, or add to the conference facilities, that only benefit NPDC ratepayers & citizens economically, not contributing Central & Southern councils. No Economic Benefits from Yarrow hosting All Black Games. The BERL reports provide a series of examples of the "economic" benefits accruing to the province from investing in facilities & hosting international rugby, or even test matches. Although, the earnings from attendances at Yarrow for these professional rugby NZRFU events is not publicly available, well considered assumptions have to be used. It is reasonable to expect that more than 60 percent of the ticket price for those games will be money flowing out of the province to NZRFU. By gleaning information from BERLs reports we are told that Visitors (from overseas and rest of NZ) to these games bring in \$250 each to benefit Taranaki's economy (actually mostly to benefit New Plymouth). As an example, from a total attendance of 20,000, about 20% will be visitors (4000 people). Those reported visitor numbers appear overgenerous; I wonder if NP and nearby towns could actually accommodate that number in hotels and motels, most of which would normally already have other visitors occupying at least half of their available beds. So 4000 visitors will bring in \$1million. If admission tickets cost on average \$300, then gate takings from 20000 will be \$6 million. Assume 40% of gate is paid for use of facilities at Yarrow (all of which goes to NP District Council (none goes to the stadium owners), so Taranaki gets to benefit with GDP of \$3.4million. Money flow to outside Taranaki is \$3.6million (60% of ticket sales). TARANKI ECONOMY SUFFERS A LOSS of \$0.2million* What if Yarrow Stadium didn't hold that NZRFU event and instead 4000 Taranakians went to a big city to see the play? They would spend 1 million on accommodation, meals, etc in that big city. They would pay \$300 per seat = 1.2m . Total economic value taken from Taranaki = \$2.2million. The remaining 12000 Taranaki rugby fans would stay at home and watch the game live on TV. They will retain in their	

#	Name	District				Yarrow Stadium Options								LGFA		Comments	
		NP	S	ST	O	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	O	Y		N
																	<p>pocket \$3.6million, available for discretionary spending, most of which would be spent on alternative sports, recreation, goods and services within Taranaki province. TARANAKI ECONOMY BENEFITS by \$1.4 million* Economic “trickle down” (multiplier) benefit is applicable but has been ignored because the same magnitude of multiplier effect applies to both economies outside or inside Taranaki, RATING IMPACT into the future. TOTAL RATES for yr 2019-20 per Annual Plan (AP) = \$14.172 million TOTAL RATES for yr 2019-20 per Long Term (LTP) = \$10.532 million Increase in rates per Draft AP 2019-20 = \$3.640 Million or by 34.56% \$55m Yarrow stadium EQ repair & enhancement = \$3.373 Million Other Expenses in AP = \$0.267 m By Restricting Yarrow EQ repair to Estimated \$36m Reduces Loan borrowing by \$19m & rates by = \$1.165m pa. less or by 11.1% NP Ratepayer Target Rate lowers to \$67.40 per household NP Ratepayer Target Rate lowers to \$45.32 per household Whilst the annual household saving doesn’t appear to be very significant, the target rate goes on for at least 25 years (SAVINGS IN RATES totalling over \$29 million). Because the Taranaki Stadium Trust has no prospective income sources from which to repay TRC loan used for EQ strengthening, ratepayers will be paying into the future for as long as the stadium remains standing. PORT TARANAKI DIVIDENDS As a major source of income available to lessen rating levies, the annual dividend projected into the future is expected to be \$8 million p.a over the next 10 years. The port’s most lucrative sources of income at present are from shipping petro-chemicals and logs to China. Both of these major income earners are scheduled to be seriously curtailed over the coming decade. Petro-chemicals by climate-change initiatives (Govt policy and public switching) and forestry, due to unavailability of harvestable trees. A reduction in that dividend by say 50%, would need to be recovered by a 38% increase in the present day level of rates. Alternative cost ‘cutting savings’ will focus on “non-core” regional council functions, so caution needs to be exercised now before committing ratepayers funding into unnecessary long term non-core function projects (e.g. capital extensions at Yarrow Stadium). DANGEROUS PRECEDENT. By originally focussing on the requirements of Rugby at Yarrow Stadium, the stadium is now incapable of meeting international and national cricket standards, lost its cycle track (costly relocation elsewhere), and owing to noise restrictions (and an alternative Brooklands venue) cant host huge VISITOR ATTRACTING crowds (exceeding 30,000) at Rock & Pop Star concerts. These are really major money earners for stadiums elsewhere and for the local economy. By going beyond its original mandate and actually channelling rates into subsidising rugby events; by providing local (cash strapped) TRFU with facilities/lounge/ secondary ground upgrades/lighting/ administration offices at nil or little cost, is it any wonder the other sporting codes are crying foul! Those other codes do their own fund raising and use voluntary labour to provide for those sorts of necessary facilities which they own, often located land leased from their local council. Any further funding of non-regional benefit type infrastructure, must not be supported from Regional Council funds. Otherwise expect a visit from Football, Equestrian, Hockey, Speedway, Bowls, etc, all looking for major funding for their facilities. TRC (and ratepayer funding support) for “home grown” (natural or artificial) attractions that will pull in a high percentage of tourists, is good for Taranaki economy and ratepayer resources should be more focussed on that as the GDP/trickle-down effect is far greater. FINANCIAL DOCUMENT/ REPORTS QUERIED. The Statement of Financial performance 2019-20 (AP & LTAP) doesn’t disclose the council’s investment in Taranaki Stadium Trust (TST) as it has to for Council Controlled Organisations (eg. Port Taranaki, etc). Instead the AP treats the money to be “loaned” to TST for the stadium fix-up as an asset (debt owing by TST to TRC) and as a liability (loan drawn down by TRC from Bank or other provider) as off-set. As at 31 July 2018 TST had a net equity value of \$11.2 million after writing off \$15.5 million impairment value relating to the buildings. That value is not disclosed in either plan. TST has no direct income source from which to repay TRC for any loan borrowings, except for grants/ratepayer contributions from TRC. All income from stadium hires, leases etc goes directly to NPDC. Therefore for the council to show the proposed loans to TST as an asset is dishonest. There is no prospect of the “loan” being repaid, except from the sale of the land and useful structures (which legally is difficult because of the Reserve status of the land). I will be seeking a comment from the Auditor-General in that regard. Taranaki Stadium Trust (TST) Unaccountable to Ratepayers The TST is controlled by two trustees (Mr M Neild a council employee and one elected Councillor). The TST financial report for y/e 31/7/2018 shows trustees have borrowed \$4 million from a \$5 million TSB Bank finance facility and applied that to purchase yet more assets for the Stadium. With no source of income other than grants from TRC, it will be ratepayers of TRC that will eventually have to repay that \$5 million TSB loan on top of the already \$55m proposed stadium “loan”. By creating this intermediate ownership entity (TST) on behalf of the real owners (TRC), scrutiny over expenditure decisions by Trustees is not part of any Annual Plan presented to ratepayers and doesn’t require, ratepayer consultation. Furthermore, the TRC has placed a \$55million ceiling on its borrowing, and because of the separation of control, the TST’s borrowing will not count as breaching the \$55m TRC limitation, despite TRC being ultimately liable to repay TSB if TST defaults. Council should spell out why there is any need for TST, as in reality TRC owns the assets and is totally responsible for TST liabilities. Waitara Endowment Lands. The Annual Plan has estimated \$8 revenue from the council’s share of the above, when the leaseholders pay to freehold their leased property. There was no reference to this in the LTP. The prospect of receiving all of this during 2019-20 year is impractical and grossly overstated. Offsetting the above is Expenditure (not detailed) of \$8 million. It is possible that during y/e 2020 some costs will have been incurred in setting up various committees but in the thousands, not millions! Incidentally, since the level of extra expenditure reported is “significant” in terms of the reporting requirements for AP and LTP, under the Local Govt Act this requires more specific and meaningful disclosure within the AP. Council needs to make appropriate corrections to the draft plan. I wish to attend and speak in support of these submissions.</p>
413	Spotswood Volleyball Club	1											1			<p>The Spotswood Volleyball Club (Inc) does not prefer any of the 8 options detailed above. There are other options that the TRC has chosen not to suggest. These are: a) demolish the stands and build a new stand to seat 5,000. B0 repair the current stands and no updated facilities \$33m. c) Review in 5-10 years the need or necessity to update facilities \$23m. The SVC preference is option a).</p>	
414	Phil Gayton	1											1			<p>I cannot support any of the 8 options as there are others that should have been suggested but the TRC has chosen not to. The other options are: a) demolish the stands and build a new stand to seat 5,000. B0 repair the current stands and no updated facilities \$33m. c) Review in 5-10 years the need or necessity to update facilities \$23m. I personally prefer option a).</p>	
415	Lloyd & Jo Morgan			1									1			<p>Thank you for the opportunity to submit re the Yarrow Stadium repair options. Yarrow Stadium should be reinstated to a viable working condition. However the proposed repair should be reviewed, perhaps by a group of local engineers, to perhaps find a more cost effective solution. There is significant expertise over a range of this project scope available within the region that does not appear to have been consulted Yarrow Stadium is a regional asset and contributes to the general wellbeing of the province, but it is not the only facility that does so. The empowering act allows TRC to support the facility, but it would be a gross exaggeration to see the role including driving economic activity which appears to be part of the justification of the repair. Of significant concern is the lack of transparency and the paucity of information supplied when outlining the proposal. This has resulted in heightened concern, and justifiably higher level of scrutiny, particularly when the financial implications were identified. Developing a sports facility into a social/convention centre with ratepayer funding would appear an unlikely option for public support. The high cost of the repair/upgrade would inevitably result in ratepayer backlash, probably unwittingly on many of the regions remaining sports codes. A review of the regions sports facilities has been completed recently, and some codes are seriously compromised by a lack of basic amenities, yet this proposal appeases a professional, in some ways elitist, albeit popular sports requirements, yet potentially compromises many others. Developing an asset with a wider potential range of users, as the original proposal was marketed would be a more satisfactory outcome. The economic report supplied highlights the historic significance of the stadium but fails to acknowledge the declining support for much of our traditional codes in the modern era. The financial performance of many single code use stadiums nationally is poor, and exacerbated for many located in residential areas due to the lack of flexibility available. This project is flawed in both scope and scale, despite the need and general acceptance to see the stadium returned to useable condition. I would stress that this is an inappropriate, or even deceitful use of ratepayer funds and legislative process. Would it not be prudent to have some form of insurance cover for the stadium, or business interruption. More importantly has there been investigation of potential cost recovery/ damages from the designer, contractor(s) or the certification thereof. Thank you for considering this submission.</p>	
416	Julie Parkinson	1											1			<p>We need a regional stadium to host events in this province. Yarrow Stadium is the logical solution. Get started ASAP.</p>	
417	Sandy Olliver			1									1			<p>Just do it!!!</p>	
418	Keeva Hintz	1											1				
419	Lara Hancock		1										1				

#	Name	District				Yarrow Stadium Options										LGFA		Comments
		NP	S	ST	O	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	O	Y	N		
420	Jeremy Parkinson	1					1											Regional stadia are critical to attracting key events to the Taranaki province. Yarrow Stadium is "iconic" and is a great advertisement for our region. The 245,000 visitors over the 2002-2017 period who visit contribute hugely to the local economy. Get on with it – option two with an upgrade to option four if additional funding can be found.
421	Jan Chard			1			1											
422	Kayla Henry	1					1											
423	Atalya Jakavamoeanga	1					1											Option two appears to be the most obvious solution and most efficient way to get things back-up and growing.
424	Nicole Agnew	1					1											
425	Eddie Olliver	1					1											
426	Kate Maree Anderson			1			1											
427	Denis Sole	1					1											Just get on and do it. Sport in Taranaki needs it or get left behind. The youth of Taranaki need to appreciate top facilities and what it does for the community.
428	Steve Hodson	1								1								
429	JB Bennetts	1					1											
430	Darryl Hodson	1					1											The failure to do this as a minimum will affect the traditional nature and core strength of Taranaki as a region. Our collective strength comes from our traditional activities and industries. It is paramount to our special nature and its continuance that we repair these grandstands as originally intended to restore our mana and standing in the nation.
431	Ollie Bestall			1			1											
432	Tyron Wallace			1			1											
433	Joshua Moffitt			1			1											
434	Tuhurangi Kahukuranui			1			1											
435	Briana Poingdestre			1			1											
436	Richard Baylis			1			1											Move it to racecourse.
437	John Hocking					1	1											
438	Maree Saxton			1			1											
439	Jaymee Saxton			1			1											
440	Kade Saxton			1			1											
441	Vicki Williams			1			1											
442	Maurice Gilmour	1															1	Many thanks for the opportunity to review this consultation document. Certainly, some comprehensive work has been completed to enable your preferred option to be selected and released for wider public consultation. I have selected 'Other' in my feedback given that \$55M is a significant investment into a facility that generally only caters for three sporting codes (as referenced on page 21). It does appear through your report that there has been little, or no interaction with other sporting codes who may use this facility other than NZ Cricket. Given the success and positive impacts of centralised multi-sport 'hubs' across the country (and those even closer to home in Stratford, Hawera & Opunake), I feel it remiss to have not engaged deeper into our local sporting organisations. I would like for wider consultation and feedback forums within these local organisations. For many years there have been plans in circulation through various forums regarding the centralisation of netball, rugby, football volleyball, badminton and basketball into the area in and around the existing racecourse and TSB Stadium. We have a real opportunity to turn these tentative plans into reality and matching other regions whom enjoy such facilities. Yes, I acknowledge the cost of such a project would be significantly greater than a 'patch n repair' philosophy you are recommending for the existing Yarrow Stadium, but this is a real chance to have a facility that is 'Like No Other' Please challenge the status quo and be willing to look beyond what does appear to be a short horizon for the future of our regions sporting facilities.
443	Paul Williams			1						1								
444	Kendyl Gopperth			1			1											
445	Chris Simpson					1	1											
446	Jason Holdem			1			1											
447	Nick and Sue Williams			1			1											
448	Ricki Lockley			1			1											
449	Tania Ormond			1			1											
450	Ellen Aston-Landers			1			1											Spread games around the region and utilise facilities. Club finals spread around teams, coast, south, central.
451	Mike Morresey	1					1									1		
452	Lee Lord			1			1											
453	Krystal Whana			1			1											
454	Damian Lord			1			1											
456	Melissa Wisnewski			1			1											
457	Tamara Canterbury			1			1											
458	Brooke Poingdestre		1				1											
459	Jake Leicester			1			1											Utilise whole stadium and surrounding fields for multiple sports.

#	Name	District				Yarrow Stadium Options										LGFA		Comments
		NP	S	ST	O	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	O	Y	N		
460	Patrick Stevenson			1			1											
461	Joan Gibbs			1			1											
462	Vicki Trolove			1			1											
463	Anna Arends			1			1											
464	Janelle Wright			1			1											
465	Amy Barrett	1					1											
466	Grant Raymond Major	1					1											
467	Colin Caskey	1					1											Or item 4 if sufficient community funding was forthcoming.
468	Lachlan Fenwick	1															1	"The priority for the community and for ratepayer expenditure is community sport facilities which enable thousands of residents to be active every week as identified in the Regional Sports Facility Strategy. Consultation regarding proposed enhancements has not been transparent or community-wide, evidence around decisions has not been provided, and the enhancements do not align with the Strategy or the current processes followed by sports for facility development. We support that a repair of some scale needs to be undertaken but require more detail of what is included in the \$36m is required and what scale of stadium is appropriate for the region now and into the future. We do not support the \$19m of enhancements which should be accounted for within the existing Asset Management Plan and/or via the Regional Facility Strategy process if sport-related. We feel strongly that there is a great need for an equitable approach to facility improvements and funding across sports."
469	Merian Cayetano	1															1	I believe they shouldn't use 50 million for just the yarrow stadium alone. There are other sports that need funding & support like basketball.
470	Donald Slater	1							1									To make all this viable I believe that we must look beyond rugby and now the opportunity presents itself to establish a multi-use events centre i.e., rugby, cricket, league, athletics, culture events. Remember the days of the military tattoo. This is a magnificent venue lets be proactive this is a golden opportunity.
471	Ailsa Slater	1															1	
472	Grant Clement	1					1											It just needs to happen otherwise rugby etc in Taranaki won't be as we know it once it's gone we won't get it back to what it was. Urgent to address this issue.
473	Marg Antonia Major	1					1											
474	Alice Rowland			1			1											
475	Rick McKenna	1					1											
476	Ryan Anderson			1			1											
477	Josh Standen					1	1											
478	Shona Standen					1	1											Taranaki rugby is Yarrow Stadium.
479	Victoria McCullough			1			1											
480	Leah Barnard	1					1											
481	Rebecca Jane Major			1			1											
482	Connor White	1					1											
483	Graeme Fairclough	1							1									
484	Selwyn Watkins	1					1											Thank you for the opportunity to respond to eight redevelopment options. We can do better than this. Except for infrequent events the proposed rebuild of the Yarrow Stadium is too big. Worse ,the current Stadium is in the wrong place. The Berl Report forms the basis of all assumptions The Berl Report attempts to quantify the unquantifiable. Reports of this nature are suspicious because they run the risk of being self-serving. That is they can be written to support the sponsors view. Berl has probably done their best to polish the flimsy records presented to them for utilising the entertainment areas. No matter how these are presented the intention to increase community use has a hollow ring. Option 4 suggests an extensive catering upgrade with hope an outside funding partner can be found. This option is looking for an extra 14 million dollars. This submission does not support Option 4 Further, the Berl Report (October2018)points to attendance of around one million over the 15 year period between 2003 and 2017,on average that's 5,500 per month. This figure may have validity being based on ticket or turngate data; it includes major events such as the Rugby World Cup, All Black tests and so forth. Further ,it includes other sporting codes and 'non-sport' spectacles. Due to field size or other competing events within or outside Taranaki this attendance could have been higher no matter where in New Plymouth the stadium is situated. Overall, some form of stadium is worth having. Reasons for a redesign. Like most stadia Yarrow Stadium remains underused for most of the year. It is hard to get a sense of excitement and involvement when only 6,000to 8,000ardentfansare spread about a stadium capable of seating fifteen to twenty five thousand. From experience most games or events have even fewer attendees. A more intimate atmosphere and hence smaller stadium would serve Taranaki better. The proposed idea of 'build it and they will come' is a dangerous notion. Such ideas fail to take into account the high cost of promotion and servicing an unused facility once the first wave of excitement is over. Running a year-by-year campaign to bolster use has not been factored into the running costs, let alone maintenance and so forth. As it stands the New Plymouth District Council will be liable for the greater proportion of these insidious expenses. Any new stadium must offer something for everyone. As designed the new plan does not. The old adage of 'Rugby, Racing and Beer' no longer cuts it. The world of sport and entertainment has changed. Participation of horse racing is in decline and just like rugby other codes look for handouts and community support; support that's no longer there. The music has changed and so have the drinks. Different sporting codes are gaining a greater following at the expense of the traditional. In particular contact sports are in decline ,even though rule changes have attempted to make sport less injury 'free'. While rugby is still considered by many as New Zealand's national sport fewer are playing the game. Professional sport is costly; player's remuneration, trainers, equipment, travel and accommodation are examples. Expectations of services and facilities are higher; it seems some sports are cannibalising their support base and it's fast becoming the problem of 'who pays'? The cost of attending and participating in games is a constraining factor; discretionary income is shrinking. In other words someone must pay for the high overheads from a more expensive re-build. As councillors you already know people are watching sport in differing ways and have been for years. The digital age has and will change future involvement so arenas for sport need adjustment; new thinking, layout and smaller stadiums. Regretfully players need to adjust to playing at a high level with diminished crowd involvement. Most sports are gravitating towards entertainment for gambling purposes-no gambling no funding. In other words it's not traditional sport anymore. Something has to change but nothing seems to change. As offered the design presented simply replaces the same with

#	Name	District				Yarrow Stadium Options										LGFA		Comments
		NP	S	ST	O	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	O	Y	N		
						1												the same! It runs the risk of being constrained and condemned by this design forever. Design modification. If you are to continue with the current site at Maratahu Street indefinitely or hopefully as a temporary measure until better alternatives are found then a modified Option 1 is best. With such a modification you would remove the roof from the West Stand (Yarrow Stand) complete the geo-tech work to stabilise the seating, protect the food and camera positions and disabled access. You should get on and do this immediately. Tents or caravans can supply any additional accommodation while you sort things out. You should remove the East Stand but keep the changing areas. Further, replace with a temporary stand similar to that in Christchurch-it hasn't done the Crusaders any harm and has already lasted for years while they also vie for the optimum solution. This solution will have all the cost and design benefits a new green-field site can offer. We must do the same. With reluctance this submission can only back Option 1 with modification. Regrettably it's back to the drawing board and you should vote \$500,000for further design and investigation into alternatives using a green-field site A design competition may prove fruitful.
485	Neville Goldsworthy	1					1											Look ahead not behind.
486	Robyn McGregor + 7 others	1							1									I represent R & R McGregor, N & G Mauldes, R & D Mills, J & A Harold. We have been season ticket holders for over 15 years and feel that the actions placed on the TRFU and TRC are totally over the top. However, we now have to make a choice going forward. We feel Option 4 would be fantastic and make the park more economic so that is our "wish" but believe Option 2 is the most realistic and we would be pleased with that. The need to progress the redevelopment is urgent for TRFU and our Taranaki rugby future. Good luck.
487	Bruce Forrest	1					1											
488	Brent Dallison			1			1											It is important that this facility is fixed as soon as possible.
489	David Werder			1			1											Upgrade needs to stadium to support many sporting codes. The upgrade needs to support all of Taranaki.
490	Peter and Sue Crawford			1			1											Not rebuilding our Stadium would consign Taranaki to be seen as a province without vision or fortitude. Taranaki has always been seen as a province that punches well above its weight in its endeavours. Attracting major events to our province whether it be entertainment, sport or business related is imperative to making it attractive for our young people to stay and be future leaders. Not only do we think we should rebuild, but show some real vision and go for option 8, North Island's first covered stadium.
491	John and Sue Hunger			1					1									Keeping this facility is important for the Taranaki community.
492	Garry Robert Hooper			1											1			Taranaki should look to the future not the past.
493	Peter Darney	1							1									Do it and do it quickly. No city is a city without a stadium.
494	Jacqueline Bublitz	1							1									
495	Justine Darney	1							1									
496	Keith Mawson	1							1									We need to provide the best facilities available for the Taranaki community. The cost will never be as affordable as now.
497	Simon Payne	1							1									We need to have the best stadium in the country!!
498	Kelly Lehmann	1							1									Make this happen, We ned it, we want it.
499	Allan Dombroski	1					1											Shift light towers to behind seats. Bloody stupid where they are.
500	Scott Connor	1							1									
501	Kay Fraser	1					1											
502	Sandra Howarth	1					1											
503	Josephine van Santen	1							1									
504	Shanan Dick	1					1											
505	Owen McBride	1					1											
506	Tracy Penny	1					1											
507	Ian Murphy	1													1			
508	Bryce Tonks	1							1									Taranaki rugby and youth in general need a home for a top tier sports facility for the good of the health of the whole community!!
509	Kris Buckley	1							1									
510	Clive McGlashan	1						1										
511	New Plymouth Old Boys' Rugby Club	1							1									It would be unimaginable to think that Taranaki did not have a major stadium to host representative rugby, and other codes, as well as higher level national games from time to time. Yarrow's needs to be rebuilt as quickly as possible before irreparable damage is done to the fabric of sporting life, particularly rugby' in our province. We need our young boys and girls to aspire to higher levels of play and having a provincial stadium is a big part of this. Vibrant communities need to have facilities that give a focus and a heart – Yarrows is vital in this regard.
512	Lyal French-Wright	1							1									Rugby is a major part of the fabric of life in Taranaki and as such a major part of a vibrant, connected and healthy community. Without a stadium there would be a huge effect on the future of rugby as game and as such the health of our young people will be severely compromised. We do not want to be considered a minor province.
513	Noel Henderson	1							1									
514	Pete Cowley	1					1											It is essential; to repair and upgrade facilities for the greater Taranaki area for the future.

#	Name	District				Yarrow Stadium Options										LGFA		Comments
		NP	S	ST	O	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	O	Y	N		
515	Te Runanga o Ngati Ruanui Trust																	Te Runanga o Ngati Ruanui Trust (Ngati Ruanui) welcomes the opportunity to make a submission on the Regional Council's 2019/2020 Annual Plan. Ngati Ruanui acknowledges the significant changes that have been made over the years in respect of annual and long-term planning frameworks and in particular the sections of the these plans which relate to "Working Together with Maori", Ngati Ruanui appreciates the on-going recognition of Maori, iwi and Hapu as a key to making planning documents inclusive and a means to strengthen partnership relationships. In respect of 2019/202 Annual Plan Ngati Ruanui makes the following comments: 1. Overall we support the section entitled "Working Together with Maori". This section continues to build on our initiatives of working together with the Council in our Kaitiaki role. We continue to note the leading way in which this section is placed at the front end of the plan; making the enduring relationship between Council and Maori a strong platform. 2. Ngati Ruanui considers that there needs to a be a stronger intent for active involvement of Maori in the monitoring of our environment; we recommend that the last bullet point under "Resource Consents process" pages 14 and 15 reads as follows "give full and meaningfully input of Maori in the participation of resource consent monitoring ... " 3. Ngati Ruanui actively supports Mana Whakahono a Rohe Relationships as prescribed in the Resource Management Act 1991(RMA). We note that the manner in which this is expressed in the draft Annual Plan is a singular Mana Whakahono a Rohe relationship. We note that by way of explanation under Section 58(O) of the RMA such relationships are not exclusively singular and each iwi of the region may choose to have an individual relationship with the Council. In this respect Ngati Ruanui recommends this section on page 15 react as follows: "The Council will work with iwi to develop Mana Whakahono relationship agreements regarding iwi input into resource management policy development and resource consents". 4. Ensuring active Maori representation on the Council is important to Ngati Ruanui. In this respect the section on representation, page15, is weak and we recommend the following: "Meet with Maori and involve them in the need for establishing a Maori constituency or constituencies under the Local Electoral Act 2001". 5. The level of service, Resource Management, asset out on pages 20to 24 do not include any measure that allow the incorporation Matauranga Maori assessments as a basis for measuring the health of various environmental indicators and most importantly Fresh Water. Ngati Ruanui considers that it is timely that there is inclusion of iwi/hapu based tools and frame works which would assist the more conventional western based models for measuring the condition of our environment. We would offer discussion with the Council officers prior to any hearing on the Annual Plan where we could agree on wording to incorporate Matauranga Maori assessments in the levels of service outlined on pages20 to 24. 6. Ngati Ruanui notes the measures and commentary on a predator free Taranaki, this is supported. We also note specific measures relating to possum control within the Kaitake range and mustelid control surrounding the Maunga. Overall Ngati Ruanui is concerned with the limited measures proposed within the draft plan and the lack of co-ordination with the Department of Conservation; in particular in pest control surrounding and within the Maunga boundaries. We wish to signal to the Council that significant more work is needed to protect our bio-diversity and more coordinated action in the control of pests are required now. Ngati Ruanui seeks further dialogue with the Council on this matter and signals this for short to medium term planning horizons for the Council. The Council will need to be ready for potential governance changes with the pending Maunga settlement.
516	Sonia Thomas				1												1	The priority for the community and for ratepayer expenditure is community sport facilities which enable thousands of residents to be active every week as identified in the Regional Sports Facility Strategy. I support that a repair of some scale needs to be undertaken but do not feel that \$55 million should be spent, especially when it is to the detriment of all other sports in our community. I believe that the set-up of the Stadium in the Nelson/Tasman district is a model that our Regional Council should follow. The scale of their Stadium is appropriate for our region which is of a similar size. Nelson still gets to host the 'big' games of Rugby despite having a more basic set up so why can't we follow their example? I feel that there is a much greater need for a more equitable approach to facility improvements and funding across all sports.
517	Sport Taranaki				1												1	Organisation Overview. Sport Taranaki is one of 14 Regional Sports Trusts throughout New Zealand. Sport Taranaki is a registered charitable trust with a vision for creating an 'Active Healthy Taranaki'. Sport Taranaki has been a local leader in the sport and recreation sector for over 25 years and for the past 10 years has been located in a building to the south-east of the East Stand at Yarrow Stadium. Sport Taranaki serves a population of over 120,000 across three districts, one regional council, one district health board, 94 schools, 35 regional sport and recreation organisations, and over 500 sport and recreation clubs. Sport Taranaki straddles the same area boundaries as the Taranaki Regional Council and both organisations have aligned objectives around 'Improving Lifestyles'. For Sport Taranaki a significant part of our core business is working with clubs, Regional Sports Organisations, Councils, facilities, and community groups to create environments that enable our communities to have positive physical activity, sport, and recreation experiences throughout life. Because of this we have close relationships with many sports codes and understand many of the issues they face in terms of facilities but also with respect to their utilisation of Yarrow Stadium in the past, present, and into the future. Sport Taranaki's Interests in Yarrow Stadium Sport Taranaki are committed to the objectives of the Sport NZ Facility Framework. The Framework Outcomes include strategic decision making around facility development, smart investment, and a network of facilities that are fit for purpose, well utilised, accessible, sustainable, and future proofed. As a key delivery partner for Sport NZ, Sport Taranaki has been integral to the development and implementation of the Taranaki Regional Sport and Recreation Facility Approach. The Approach was developed by a Regional Stakeholder Project Group with assistance from external consultants – Global Leisure Group. The strategy development process included an extensive document review and consultation with sports codes and facilities. The Approach is intended to provide a framework to guide regional and district provision, collaborative planning, and investment. The documentation that informed the Approach includes an inventory of existing facilities, an analysis of the gap between the current provision and future needs of 42 sports, identification of future investment requirements, and establishment of a facility hierarchy for the region, and a prioritised list of recommendations for facility development and rationalisation. Overprovision was identified in some districts and within certain codes as well as gaps in provision, particularly in New Plymouth. It highlighted that New Plymouth is where demand for more provision from population growth and population changes will occur. Facilities will need to be more adaptable, attractive, multi-purpose, and better meet the needs of a wider range of activities and users. The key objectives of the Approach are to develop a strategic region-wide view of the facility network and to identify priorities for future facility planning that respond to the changing landscape of sport. The community sport context for facility development and rationalisation includes pressure on funder investment priorities, an aging network of facilities, changing community demographics and participation trends, increasing user expectations, a decreasing volunteer culture and increasing volunteer burden, an acknowledgment of the hierarchy of facilities, as well as the risks of responding to wants over needs. Ordinarily these objectives would sit outside the realm of commercial and spectator sport which is at the heart of Yarrow Stadium, however the current proposal and preferred option(s) for Stadium development include significant increased ratepayer investment, sport-specific enhancements, and proposed increased 'community use' all of which are of interest to Sport Taranaki and align to the Approach. As such we feel the same rigor and equity must be applied to this project as it would be to all sports facility proposals. The Approach was adopted by the Steering Group in 2018 who have led its implementation. The Steering Group includes representatives from the original Stakeholder Project Group – New Plymouth, Stratford, and South Taranaki District Councils, TSB Community Trust, NZCT, TET, Sport NZ, and Sport Taranaki. The Group has developed a process for reviewing and assessing facility projects (including maintenance and redevelopment) against the priorities, facility planning principles, and criteria set out in the Approach for making recommendations to funders and improving collaborative decision making. Since January 2019 the Group has reviewed 14 projects of varying scale, from sport and recreation organisations for facility improvements, maintenance, or development. Observations. Sport Taranaki acknowledges that Yarrow Stadium is important to the region; it is part of our history, our pride, and our network of sports facilities particularly for high performance sport. We commend Taranaki Regional Council's swift action in ensuring public safety with respect to the earthquake risks that have been identified and your concerted efforts to find a suitable solution to get the Stadium operational once more. Recommendation. Sport Taranaki respectfully asks that Taranaki Regional Council reconsiders the proposed options and provide more information to the public about options between \$6million and \$55million. All options (excluding Option 1) include a permanent capacity of 20,000+; Sport Taranaki does not support this scale of stadium for Taranaki. The BERL Report clearly states that the only times capacity over 15,000 is required is for All Blacks matches once every 3 years and a NPC semi-final in 2014. We believe this additional capacity could be met with temporary seating which could also serve other sports codes within the region if transportable. The Regional Sport and Recreation Facility Approach clearly states that "...it is recognised that providing high performance training facilities or International/National level facilities for major sporting events it is not supported in terms of the level of demand and is not economically sustainable for a region of Taranaki's size. (page 21)". The appropriate scale of solution must be considered in light of the following: • Rugby Park (the site of Yarrow Stadium) is not an appropriate or preferred location for a Multi-Sport Hub due to size, location, current use restrictions • Yarrow Stadium must be considered within the context of the wider network of sport facilities and current needs, priorities, and processes for the region • Declining spectator trends across the country • Declining rugby

#	Name	District				Yarrow Stadium Options										LGFA		Comments
		NP	S	ST	O	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	O	Y	N		
																		participation trends nationally • The changing nature of sport participation and move towards modified sports and informal participation • The NZ Rugby Union's inability to provide certainty over All Blacks fixtures in the regions and the cost to bring such fixtures to Taranaki compared to the benefits of hosting other sporting fixtures (i.e. national secondary schools tournament week) • The impact of a substantial rates increase on our aging population and on our District Council's ability to increase rates for priority sport and community projects Sport Taranaki supports reinstating the function of Yarrow Stadium with the least impact on ratepayers as suitable to service the majority of its Stadium-related events (5,000 – 10,000 spectators). And while we appreciate that additional revenue can be generated through expanding corporate functionality we do not believe that this the main purpose of the Stadium or a priority for ratepayers. Sport Taranaki does not support the funding of sport-related enhancements via this proposed model and asks that these either continue to be accounted for under the existing Asset Management Plan or are considered within the priorities and process of the Regional Sport and Recreation Facility Approach. In terms of rugby, some key challenges have been identified that were common amongst a number of sport codes and included access to a regional training venue (including an artificial floodlit turf for representative and some club players), improved field quality and drainage, a large multi-field venue, training lights, and the ongoing maintenance of clubrooms and changing facilities which highlights why these activities should be considered as part of a collaborative planning process. Sport Taranaki recommends that Regional Council further investigates the empowering legislation as an opportunity to broaden the scope of its powers beyond Rugby Park so that any future or additional rate takings could be considered for targeted regional sport and recreation facility investment. This has been a successful model recently implemented by Northland Regional Council to grow and develop sport and recreation across their region. Thank you for the opportunity to provide feedback on the proposed options for reinstating Yarrow Stadium and for the information that has been provided to date, we look forward to speaking to this submission in May.
518	Bradley Slater	1					1											
519	Karen Slater	1					1											
520	Emma Moscrip	1					1											
521	Emma Slater	1					1											
522	Andrew Slater	1					1											
523	Willie Rickards	1					1											
524	Doug Nielson	1					1											
525	Jarrad Hoeata				1		1											
526	Peter Tennent	1					1											Many thanks for the opportunity to comment on your draft 2019/2020 Annual Plan, specifically relative to the opportunity for this region to again host international events in a world class stadium. I acknowledge some of my perceived conflicts - The stadium bears my wife Rosemary's maiden name. The west stand carries the name of Rosemary's parents (Noel & Melva Yarrow Stand) I sit on the TRFU Rosemary and I have connections (as members, sponsors, trustees, and patrons) with a significant number of local organisations. Rosemary and I own The Devon Hotel. We are responsible for total annual rates payments in the six figures, spread over a number of properties- each will attract a targeted fixed annual charge should this proceed. I would like to congratulate you all on your leadership! For the region to retain and attract people (and investment), it is critical we are seen as a great place to live, to work, and to raise a family. Having a world class stadium, is a vital part of that mix. We need to be perceived to have it all. And that list is exhaustive. It includes a continually enhanced and valued environment we are all proud of, parks, galleries, walkways, education, safety, opportunities for our young people to become the best that they can be, support and opportunities for the elderly and for our most vulnerable.. .the list goes on and on - a region that is truly like no other. Time and time again, it is you all (and the Taranaki Regional Council), that have stepped up Again, I thank you. Never the less, as a region we need to think bigger. I accept the premise that the contribution from the ratepayer purse (through the TRC) should be capped at \$55M. BUT I truly believe we can and should do better. What was an appropriate and world class stadium 20 years ago, is not so now. Yes, I'd love to see the Stadium up and available as soon as possible, but I also believe as a region we have a unique opportunity to think (and develop) a stadium for the next 20 years. It's an opportunity, that will not come again in our lifetimes. I would be disappointed if the government does not also show leadership with a significant top-up to make that possible. Our governments talks about their backing for regional New Zealand – now is the time to support that rhetoric. To create something unique and special for New Zealand, it is critical our government fronts up. I would be disappointed if philanthropic trusts, corporates, and individuals associated with this place do not step up. Chair and Councillors, the issues we are facing at Yarrow Stadium are unfortunate, but presents us a unique opportunity. I ask that you approve the \$55M in your draft 2019/2020 Annual Plan. I also ask that your vision is not restricted to Option2. Time is of the essence, but let's think big picture. Let's give our government and others an opportunity to step up. I am aware that a number of the sporting bodies (most that Rosemary and I support in various capacities) will be making submissions to the effect that the demands of sport are continually changing, with old and new sports and sporting opportunities not being appropriately catered for at present. I accept that is not the specific mandate of the TRC, but I would encourage the TRC and the Yarrow Stadium Trust to work with those codes to maximise the opportunity this presents us. Before contracts are let, it's important we maximise the potential benefits, now and into the future. We need to aspire to have a cutting-edge stadium, that caters for events (sporting and otherwise), that is truly world class - a stadium that caters for the next20 years and beyond. A stadium like no other. I ask: The TRC approves a contributionof\$55M to the reinstatement and enhancement of an International Stadium The TRC instructs the Yarrow Stadium Trust to work with interested parties to maximise the opportunities (sporting and otherwise) with the developed stadium. The TRC instructs the Yarrow Stadium Trust (with the support of appropriate community leaders and organisations) to use best endeavours to attract significant additional financial support. I would appreciate the opportunity to speak to my submission.